

$^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$ **2004Ti06**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, C. G. Sheu, J. L. Godwin, et al.		NP A745 155 (2004)	31-Mar-2004

1965Im01: $^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$. Deduced nuclear properties.1965Wo01: $^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$ E=0.35-0.4 MeV, measured $\sigma(E,E_\gamma)$. ^9Be deduced level, isobaric spin.1971Sc19: $^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$ E=361 keV, measured E_γ , I_γ . ^9Be levels deduced J , π , γ -branching, Γ .1986Be33: $^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$ E≈0.36 MeV, measured thick target yields. ^9Be levels deduced Γ , Γ_d , Γ_γ , Γ_p , Γ_n , Γ_α .1987Zi01: $^7\text{Li}(\text{d},\gamma)$ E≈0.36 MeV, measured $\sigma(E(\text{d}))$. ^9Be deduced Γ , Γ_γ , resonance strength, T-mixing.1993Sc19: $^7\text{Li}(\text{pol. d},\gamma)$ E=6 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$, vector, tensor analyzing power vs θ . Deduced angle integrated σ . ^9Be Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0			
1684			
2429			
2780			
4704			
16977.1	5	3/2 ⁻	389 eV 10 T=3/2
			E(level): from (1987Zi01) $E_{\text{res}}=360.8$ keV 3 and (1986Be33) $E_{\text{res}}=360.7$ keV 18. These are used with the (2003Au03) mass excess tables. Also see (1966La04) who cites 16973 keV 2 based on (1965Im01) and (1965Wo01).
			Γ : partial widths from (1988Aj01) Table 9.4. Widths of 520 eV 90 and 470 eV 60 were measured In (1987Zi01). The weighted average of these measurements is 490 keV 50 (1988Aj01). A new resonant absorption technique was used In (1992Ki05) and the value 389 eV 10 was deduced.
			Γ_γ : using $\Gamma=389$ keV 10 (1992Ki05) reanalyzed the $\Gamma_{\gamma 0}$ values of (1986Zi01) and (1987Zi01) and deduced new values of 16.4 eV 17 and 16.8 eV 13, respectively. (1992Ki05) measured $\Gamma_{\gamma 0}=18.8$ eV 27. The weighted average of these three is 16.9 eV 10. Other values that are not included In the weighted averages of (1992Ki05,2004Ti06) are 18.8 eV 36 (1966Cl01), 8.6 eV 9 (see comments In 1973Be19) and 11.5 eV 14 (1973Be19). Branching ratios are measured In (1971Sc19); they can Be used to deduce $\Gamma_\gamma=23.8$ eV 16.

 $\gamma(^9\text{Be})$

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	Mult.	Comments
12264.25	12.9 13	16977.1	3/2 ⁻	4704	E1	$\Gamma_\gamma=2.2$ eV 3; $B(E1)(\text{W.u.})=4.0\times 10^{-3}$ 6
14.19×10^3 12	13.3 42	16977.1	3/2 ⁻	2780	M1	$\Gamma_\gamma=2.2$ eV 7; $B(M1)(\text{W.u.})=3.7\times 10^{-2}$ 11
14535.1 14	3.3 7	16977.1	3/2 ⁻	2429	E2	$\Gamma_\gamma=0.56$ eV 12; $B(E2)(\text{W.u.})=0.94$ 21
15279.20	11.8 6	16977.1	3/2 ⁻	1684	E1	$\Gamma_\gamma=1.99$ eV 15; $B(E1)(\text{W.u.})=1.9\times 10^{-3}$ 2
16959.9 5	100 6	16977.1	3/2 ⁻	0	M1	$\Gamma_\gamma=16.9$ eV 10; $B(M1)(\text{W.u.})=0.165$ 10

† From level energy difference; recoil correction applied.

