

$^{89}\text{Zr}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (78.41 h) 1969Ro02,1968Hi12,1979Ba46

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 114, 1 (2013)	20-Oct-2012

Parent:  $^{89}\text{Zr}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=9/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=78.41$  h 12;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2833.0$  28;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{89}\text{Zr}$ - $Q(\varepsilon)$ : From 2011AuZZ. Other: 2932.9 28 (2003Au03).

1969Ro02 (also 1969HaZP), 1968Hi12, 1971Ar18: measured  $\gamma$  spectra.

1979Ba46: measured  $\gamma$ , K x ray,  $\gamma^\pm$ .

Others:

$\gamma$ : 1969Cl11, 1969GuZV, 1968Dr02, 1964Va03, 1964Aw02, 1962Ho10, 1961Mo12, 1953Sh48, 1951Go42.

ce: 1985HaZI, 1984HaZC.

$\beta^+$ : 1964Va03, 1960Ha26, 1953Sh48, 1951Hy24.

$\varepsilon$ : 1957Ku57, 1953Sh48, 1951Go42.

$\varepsilon/\beta^+$ : 1964Va03, 1961Mo12.

$T_{1/2}$  and assignment: 1984Sk01, 1964Va03, 1961Ra06, 1960Ha26, 1953Sh48, 1953Ka11, 1951Sh24, 1951Hy24, 1951Go42, 1940Du05, 1940Sa08.

Production of  $^{89}\text{Zr}$ : 1997La20.

Change in  $T_{1/2}$  with chemical environment: 1979Au09, 1973Le13, 1970Ga03.

Energy balance: total decay energy of 2833 keV 6 deduced (using RADLIST code) from proposed decay scheme is in agreement with the expected value of 2833 keV 3, indicating that the decay scheme is complete.

 $^{89}\text{Y}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$
0	$1/2^-$	stable
909.15 15	$9/2^+$	
1744.5 2	$5/2^-$	
2529.97 25	$7/2^+$	
2566.47 25	$11/2^+$	
2622.2 7	$9/2^+$	

$^\dagger$  From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(211 3)	2622.2		0.745 13	6.18 2	0.745 13	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8576$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.11620$ 19; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02619$ 5
(267 3)	2566.47		0.106 5	7.25 2	0.106 5	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8615$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1131$ ; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02538$ 3
(303 3)	2529.97		0.073 5	7.53 3	0.073 5	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8633$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1117$ ; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02503$
(1089 3)	1744.5		0.123 4	9.09 <sup>1u</sup> 2	0.123 4	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8677$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1082$ ; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02413$
(1924 3)	909.15	22.74 24	76.21 24	6.152 2	98.95 5	av $E\beta=395.5$ 11; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.6726$ 15; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.07995$ 18; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01772$ 4

$^\dagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>89</sup>Zr ε decay (78.41 h) **1969Ro02,1968Hi12,1979Ba46** (continued)

γ(<sup>89</sup>Y)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: Ti(909γ+1744γ)=100.

I<sub>ε</sub>/I<sub>β<sup>+</sup></sub>=3.63 6, I(K x ray)/I(909γ)=0.498 19, I(εK(exp))/I<sub>β<sup>+</sup></sub>=3.16 7, 2.89 34 (measured ratios from 1979Ba46).

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡#</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
909.15 15	100	909.15	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M4+E5	0.00041 4	0.00851	α(K)=0.00743 11; α(L)=0.000906 13; α(M)=0.0001561 22; α(N+..)=2.22×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 α(N)=2.09×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(O)=1.395×10 <sup>-6</sup> 20
1620.8 2	0.074 5	2529.97	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	909.15	9/2 <sup>+</sup>				
1657.3 2	0.107 5	2566.47	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	909.15	9/2 <sup>+</sup>				
1713.0 6	0.752 13	2622.2	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	909.15	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)			Mult.: from ce data (1985HaZl,1984HaZC).
1744.5 2	0.124 4	1744.5	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>				

<sup>†</sup> Weighted average from 1969Ro02 and 1968Hi12.

<sup>‡</sup> Weighted average from 1979Ba46, 1971Ar18, 1969Ro02 and 1968Hi12.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.9904 3.

<sup>@</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>89</sup>Zr ε decay (78.41 h) **1969Ro02,1968Hi12,1979Ba46**

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I<sub>(γ+ce)</sub> per 100 parent decays

Legend

- I<sub>γ</sub> < 2% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> < 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> > 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>

