

⁸⁹Y(p,p'),(pol p,p') 1975Hu11,1982Me02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 114, 1 (2013)	20-Oct-2012

Includes (p,p), (pol p,p), (p,p') IAR.

1975Hu11 (also 1973HuZU): (p,p') E=20.51 MeV, FWHM≈0.05% and E=14.33 MeV, FWHM=7 to 8 keV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$; 107 levels reported between 2200 and 6004.

1982Me02: (pol p,p'): E=21.1 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and analyzing power, DWBA analysis. Levels up to 3137 studied. Microscopic description of first three excited states is investigated.

Others (levels, deformation parameters):

1969Sc25 (also 1970WhZY,1967Sc24): (p,p') E=61 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. 13 levels reported up to 4300.

1968Hi10: (p,p') E=9.06, 9.98 MeV. FWHM=18 to 25 keV, measured proton spectra with a magnetic spectrograph, 28 levels reported up to 4240.

1968Cr09: (p,p') E=10,12 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. 16 levels reported up to 4226.

1968Be54: (p,p') E=24.5 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. Data for first six levels.

1967Aw02 (also 1966Aw01): (p,p') E=14.7 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$. 15 levels reported up to 4452.

1967St13: (p,p') E=19 MeV. 13 levels reported up to 4500.

1958Co73: (p,p') E=23 MeV. Proton peaks reported at 1860, 2270, 2580, 2910 and 3860.

Others (reaction mechanism, potential parameters, IAR, etc.):

2009Sh19: E=200 MeV; measured $\sigma(\theta)$ for isoscalar giant quadrupole resonance at about 14 MeV excitation.

1997Ah08: (pol p,p) E=65 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$, DWBA.

1994Ri01: (p,p') E=120, 160, 200 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, multistep reaction mechanism investigated.

1987PeZU: (pol p,p,p') E=200.5 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$.

1987Va01: (pol p,p) E=65 MeV.

1985PI02, 1984PI06: (pol p,p') E=20 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$ for first four states.

1982Sa37, 1982Sa19, 1979Sa38: (pol p,p) E=65 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$.

1980DiZZ, 1979Di01: (p,p') IAR, E=0.8 GeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1979Di01: (p,p') E=0.8 GeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1978In03: (p,pol p') E=185 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$.

1975Sc06: (p,p') E=8-15 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1975Ma07: (p,p') GQR, E=155 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1975Ge14: (p,p') IAR, E=5.9-6.3 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1974Ro41: (p,p) E=4.8-6.4 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1974Co09: (p,p) E=156 MeV.

1973Gr08: (pol p,p') IAR.

1971Ma17: (pol p,p) E=49 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1969Mi18: (p,p') IAR, E=7.1-7.6 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1969Be03: (p,p') IAR, E=7.0-7.6 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$.

1968Lo04: (p,p') IAR.

Additional information 1.

⁸⁹Y Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π@}	L [‡]	β _L [#]	Comments	
0	1/2 ⁻				
908 ^b	2	9/2 ⁺	5+3 ^{&}	0.055 ^a	L: L=3, S=1 admixture is indicated by (pol p,p') data (1985PI02) and other microscopic (1982Me02,1978In03) analyses of this state. β _L : β ₅ . Others: 0.045 (1969Sc25), 0.060 (1968Be54), 0.07 (1967Aw02). At E(p)=185 MeV, β ₅ =0.042 or 0.034 (1978In03).
1507 ^b	3	3/2 ⁻	2+0 ^{&}	0.060 ^a	L: L=0, S=1 strong component is indicated by (pol p,p') data (1985PI02) and other microscopic (1982Me02,1978In03) analyses of this state. β _L : β ₂ . Others: 0.051 (1969Sc25), 0.064 (1968Be54), 0.08 (1967Aw02), 0.072 (1967St13).
1745 ^b	3	5/2 ⁻	2 ^{&}	0.072 ^a	L: no evidence is found for S=1 admixture in (pol p,p') data (1985PI02) and other

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{89}\text{Y}(\text{p,p}'),(\text{pol p,p}') \quad \mathbf{1975Hu11,1982Me02}$ (continued)

^{89}Y Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π @	L [‡]	β _L [#]	Comments
				microscopic (1982Me02,1978In03) analyses of this state.
2221 5	5/2 ⁺	3	0.156	β ₂ =0.058 (1969Sc25), 0.066 (1968Be54), 0.08 (1967Aw02), 0.067 (1967St13). β ₃ =0.221 (1982Me02), 0.14 (1969Sc25), 0.157 (1968Be54), 0.18 (1967Aw02), 0.16 (1967St13).
2530 5	7/2 ⁺	3	0.146	β ₃ =0.140 (1982Me02), 0.13 (1969Sc25), 0.152 (1968Be54), 0.17 (1967Aw02), 0.16 (1967St13).
2565 5	11/2 ⁺	5	0.027	Additional information 2.
2621 5	9/2 ⁺	5	0.047	β ₅ =0.055 (1969Sc25), 0.07 (1967Aw02).
2872 5	(7/2) ⁺	3	0.111	β ₃ =0.12 (1969Sc25), 0.129 (1968Be54), 0.16 (1967Aw02).
2882 5	(3/2) ⁻	2	0.066	β ₂ =0.142 (1982Me02).
2893 5				J ^π : tentatively identified (1975Hu11) as a (13/2 ⁺) state arising from coupling of a p _{1/2} proton to πg _{9/2} πp _{3/2} ⁻¹ . Additional information 3.
3065 5	3/2 ⁻	2	0.013	
3105 5	(5/2) ⁻	2	0.046	β ₂ =0.068 (1967Aw02).
3137 5	(5/2) ⁻	2	0.033	β ₂ =0.050 (1982Me02).
3247 5				
3413 5				
3459? 5				E(level): group reported by 1968Hi10 only.
3501 5				
3513 5	(3/2) ⁻	2	0.016	
3555 5				
3629 5	(11/2) ⁺	5	0.074	1967Aw02 gives L=0, β ₀ '=0.07.
3717 5	5/2 ⁺	3	0.095	β ₃ =0.140 (1967Aw02).
3750 5	(9/2) ⁺	5	0.061	L: 3 (1967St13).
3852 5				
3863 5	(3/2,5/2) ⁻	2 ^c	0.052	β ₂ for J=3/2, β ₂ =0.043 for J=5/2.
3924 5				
3975 5	(11/2) ⁺	5	0.030	
3990 5	3/2 ⁻	2 ^c	0.082	β ₂ =0.134 (1967Aw02).
4011 5				
4020 5	(3/2) ⁻	2 ^c	0.016	
4104 5				
4171 5	3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻	2 ^c	0.093	β ₂ for J=3/2, β ₂ =0.076 for J=5/2. Other: β ₂ =0.16 for J=3/2, 0.13 for J=5/2 (1967Aw02).
4188 5	5/2 ⁺	3	0.046	L: L=(4) allowed from (p,p') but is inconsistent with L(³ He,d).
4230 5				
4251 5				
4304 5	(7/2) ⁻	4	0.089	1967Aw02 gives L=3, β ₃ '=0.12.
4330 5				L: 3,4 for a 4320 group (1967St13).
4352 5				
4383 5				
4404 5				
4456 5	7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻	4	0.083	β ₄ for J=7/2, β ₄ =0.074 for J=9/2.
4473 5	(5/2) ⁺	(3)	0.057	
4489 5				L: 3,4 for a 4490 group (1967St13).
4508 5				
4526 5	5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	3	0.034	β ₃ for J=5/2, β ₃ =0.029 for J=7/2.
4536 5	3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻	2 ^c	0.038	β ₂ for J=3/2, β ₂ =0.031 for J=5/2.
4555 5	7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻	4	0.021	β ₄ for J=7/2, β ₄ =0.019 for J=9/2.
4588 5	5/2 ⁺	3		L: L=(4) allowed from (p,p') but is inconsistent with L(³ He,d).
4603 5	7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻	4	0.036	β ₄ for J=7/2, β ₄ =0.032 for J=9/2.
4636 5				
4654 5				
4682 5				
4737 5		2 ^c		
4770 5				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{89}\text{Y}(\text{p,p}')(\text{pol p,p}')$ 1975Hu11,1982Me02 (continued) ^{89}Y Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π@</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>
4785 5		
4817 5		
4831 5		
4849 5		2 ^c
4862 5		
4888 5		
4907 5		
4927 5		
4954 5		
4973 5		
5006 8	(5/2) ⁻	2 ^c
5026 8		
5046 8		
5075 8		
5089 8		
5099 8		
5115 8		
5125 8		
5148 8		
5170 8		
5183 8		
5211 8		
5257 8		
5275 8		
5289 8		2 ^c
5303 8		
5321 8		
5343 8		
5362 8		
5382 8		
5421 8		
5430 8		
5455 8		
5476 8		
5506 8		
5542 8		
5562 8		
5582 8		
5592 8		
5622 8		
5631 8		
5647 8		
5668 8		
5694 8		
5725 8		
5739 8		
5753 8		
5774 8		
5793 8		
5801 8		
5820 8		
5843 8		
5853 8		
5888 8		
5915 8		
5950 8		
5981 8		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{89}\text{Y}(\text{p,p}'),(\text{pol p,p}') \quad \mathbf{1975Hu11,1982Me02}$ (continued) ^{89}Y Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>	<u>β_L[#]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
6004 8 13.8×10 ³ 2	2	0.074 1	GQR from 1975Ma07 . B(E2)(↑)=0.0445. Also in 2009Sh19 .

[†] From [1975Hu11](#), unless indicated otherwise. Uncertainty=5 keV for E(level)<5000 and 8 keV for levels above this energy assigned (evaluator) on the basis of a general statement by [1975Hu11](#) that it varies from 3 keV to 8 keV. Energies for selected levels up to ≈4400 are also available from [1968Hi10](#), [1968Cr09](#), [1967Sc24](#), [1967St13](#) and [1967Aw02](#).

[‡] From DWBA analysis of $\sigma(\theta)$ ([1975Hu11](#)), unless stated otherwise. L-values for selected levels are also given by [1982Me02](#), [1969Sc25](#), [1968Be54](#), [1967St13](#) and [1967Aw02](#).

[#] Deformation parameter $\beta_L = [d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp})/d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})]^{1/2} \times [((2J_i+1)(2L+1))/(2J_f+1)]^{1/2}$, where $J_i=1/2$, J_f =level spin. Values are deduced from $\beta_L' = [d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp})/d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})]^{1/2}$ given by [1975Hu11](#), unless indicated otherwise. The deformation parameters for selected low-lying states are also given by [1969Sc25](#), [1968Be54](#), [1967St13](#) and [1967Aw02](#).

@ From Adopted Levels.

& From [1982Me02](#) and [1985PI02](#).

^a From [1982Me02](#). Value of β_L' given by [1982Me02](#) is corrected for statistical factor.

^b Energy from [1968Hi10](#).

^c [1975Hu11](#) assign L=2 but state that $\sigma(\theta)$ shape differs from DWBA prediction which indicates presence of more structure-related effects.