

$^{86}\text{Kr}(\alpha, \text{n}\gamma)$  **1981Wa10**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 114, 1 (2013)	20-Oct-2012

**1981Wa10:** E=12-16 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , excitation functions, linear polarization,  $T_{1/2}$  by Doppler-shift attenuation (DSA) method.

Other:

**1975Ar06** (same group as **1981Wa10**): E=11-14 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , excitation functions,  $T_{1/2}$  by DSA method.

 $^{89}\text{Sr}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>@</sup>	Comments
0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup> #		
1032.1	5 1/2 <sup>+</sup> #		
1473.5	5 (7/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.26 ps 10	
1940.2	5 2 <sup>+</sup>	0.19 ps 5	
2007.9	5 3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.10 ps 4	
2061.6	5 (9/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.21 ps 6	
2079.0	5 11/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.33 ns 7	$T_{1/2}$ : DSA method ( <b>1975Ar06</b> ).
2930.6	5 9/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	0.15 ps 5	
2962.0	5 9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.7 ps 3	
3388.2	5 15/2 <sup>-</sup>	>7 ps	
3404.1	5 11/2 <sup>-</sup>		
3524.3	5 13/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.39 ps 12	
3599.0	5 (11/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.43 ps 12	
3672.1	5 13/2 <sup>-</sup>	2.4 ps +27-8	$J^\pi$ : (15/2 <sup>-</sup> ) in Adopted Levels. See arguments there.
3728.0	5 9		
3750.7	5 17/2 <sup>-</sup>		
4208.8	5 (19/2) <sup>-</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data, assuming  $\Delta(E\gamma)=0.5$  keV.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma$ (lin pol), unless indicated otherwise.

# From Adopted Levels.

@ From DSA (**1981Wa10**).

 $\gamma(^{89}\text{Sr})$ 

A  $791\gamma$  ( $I\gamma\approx 2$ ) (**1975Ar06**) is not confirmed by **1981Wa10**.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
284.2	1.4	3672.1	13/2 <sup>-</sup>	3388.2	15/2 <sup>-</sup>			Unresolved doublet, part of it in coin with 1474 $\gamma$ .
323.9	0.8	3728.0		3404.1	11/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x339.6	0.7							
362.8	7.8	3750.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	3388.2	15/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	+0.01 3	$A_2=-0.22$ 3, $A_4=-0.03$ 3, POL=-0.19 6. <b>Additional information 8.</b>
458.4	1.7	4208.8	(19/2) <sup>-</sup>	3750.7	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	+0.41 5	$A_2=+0.44$ 4, $A_4=-0.01$ 4, POL=-0.25 15.
466.9	1.0	1940.2	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	1473.5	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.18 8	$A_2=-0.17$ 3, $A_4=-0.02$ 3. Mult.: mult=M2 is excluded by RUL.
588.1	2.1	2061.6	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	1473.5	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	+0.01 3	$A_2=-0.23$ 6, $A_4=0$ . <b>Additional information 2.</b>
820.4	1.2	4208.8	(19/2) <sup>-</sup>	3388.2	15/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)		$A_2=+0.38$ 8, $A_4=-0.21$ 14.
852	1.7	2930.6	9/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	2079.0	11/2 <sup>-</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{86}\text{Kr}(\alpha, \text{n}\gamma)$  1981Wa10 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{89}\text{Sr})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $^\ddagger$	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
1032.1	3.0	1032.1	$1/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$			
1309.4	30.3	3388.2	$15/2^-$	2079.0	$11/2^-$	E2		$A_2=+0.34$ 2, $A_4=-0.14$ 2, $\text{POL}=+0.57$ 11. <a href="#">Additional information 5</a> . <a href="#">Additional information 6</a> .
1325.1	$\approx 10$	3404.1	$11/2^-$	2079.0	$11/2^-$			
<sup>x</sup> 1368.2	1.3							
1445.3	6.1	3524.3	$13/2^-$	2079.0	$11/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.67 15	$A_2=+0.60$ 7, $A_4=+0.06$ 6, $\text{POL}=-0.77$ 17. <a href="#">Additional information 7</a> .
1457.0	3.8	2930.6	$9/2^{(+)}$	1473.5	$(7/2)^+$	(M1+E2)	-0.24 6	$\delta$ : from $A_2=-0.57$ 2, $A_4=+0.06$ 4. However, $\text{POL}=+0.88$ 20 is in disagreement. $\delta=-0.24$ 6 and $A_2$ , $A_4$ values predict $\text{POL}=-0.06$ . $1457\gamma$ could be contributed by a contaminant also.
1473.5	37.0	1473.5	$(7/2)^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	M1+E2	+0.75 7	$A_2=+0.40$ 4, $A_4=+0.01$ 4, $\text{POL}=-0.54$ 15. <a href="#">Additional information 1</a> .
1488.5	3.5	2962.0	$9/2^+$	1473.5	$(7/2)^+$	D(+Q)		$A_2=-0.26$ 2, $A_4=+0.08$ 3, $\text{POL}=+0.12$ 24. $\delta$ : 0.00 5 or $\delta=+4.1$ +23-11 for $J^\pi=9/2^+$ and $\delta=0$ for $J^\pi=9/2^-$ .
1520.0	6.4	3599.0	$(11/2^+)$	2079.0	$11/2^-$	(E1)		$A_2=+0.43$ 5, $A_4=-0.03$ 5, $\text{POL}=-0.72$ 24. $\delta$ : 0 for $J^\pi=11/2^+$ and $\delta=+0.43$ 8 for $J^\pi=13/2^-$ . The yield function favors $J^\pi=11/2^+$ for this state.
1592.8	4.5	3672.1	$13/2^-$	2079.0	$11/2^-$			$A_2=+0.20$ 3, $A_4=-0.03$ 3, $\text{POL}=-0.34$ 27. Mult.: M1+E2, $\delta=+0.30$ 3 proposed by <a href="#">1981Wa10</a> based on $\gamma(\theta)$ and polarization data, but this assignment is inconsistent with E2 required by adopted spin-parity assignment. While $\gamma(\theta)$ would be consistent with $\Delta J=2$ , quadrupole transition, negative value of polarization coefficient points towards M1 rather than E2 transition. With large uncertainty for polarization coefficient, it is possible that M1 assignment is insecure.
1940.0	4.1	1940.2	$5/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	M1+E2		$A_2=+0.02$ 4, $A_4=-0.08$ 4, $\text{POL}=+0.11$ 20. $\delta$ : -0.45 17 or +6 +9-3.
2007.9	2.2	2007.9	$3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$			$A_2=+0.30$ 4, $A_4=-0.10$ 5, $\text{POL}=+0.58$ 13. <a href="#">Additional information 3</a> .
2061.6	22.0	2061.6	$(9/2^+)$	0.0	$5/2^+$			$A_2=+0.58$ 4, $A_4=-0.01$ 4, $\text{POL}=+0.63$ 10. <a href="#">Additional information 4</a> .
2079.1	100	2079.0	$11/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^+$	[E3]		

<sup>†</sup> At  $E\alpha=14$  MeV ([1981Wa10](#)).<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$  ([1981Wa10](#)).<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

