

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	30-Nov-2021

Q(β^-)=-7620 5; S(n)=10400 5; S(p)=6130 60; Q(α)=-4265 8 [2021Wa16](#)

Q(ϵ)=5611 24, Q(ϵp)=1325 7, S(2n)=24273 5, S(2p)=10246 6 ([2021Wa16](#)).

⁸⁹Mo identified by [1980Pa02](#) in ⁹²Mo(³He,⁶He) reaction experiment together with several excited states, but isotope half-life was not measured. [1975Ha11](#), while disproving assignment of a 7.1-min half-life to ⁸⁹Mo by [1964Bu12](#), suggested upper limits of 2 min and 1 min for the two activities. [1981Ga05](#) and [1980Ga16](#) reported half-life measurements for the two activities, later confirmed by [1983OxZZ](#) and [1985Be12](#).

The following levels (gammas) from (³He,4n γ) ([1979DeYZ](#),[1979DeZV](#)) only and not reported in any of the later (HI,xn γ) studies ([1993We04](#),[1993Ga19](#),[1992WeZS](#)) have been omitted: 496.9 (496.8); 626.4 (129.2,627.0); 1156.0 (659.5,1155.5); 1253.1 (627.0,755.8); 1440.1 (813.7). Also the following levels and gammas reported by [1992WeZS](#) only in (HI,xn γ) are omitted due to lack of confirmation by [1993We04](#) and [1993Ga19](#): 2548 (277 γ); 2911 (327 γ); 3502 (352 γ); 4365 (297 γ); 806 γ (from 5170); 975 γ (from 3559); 1090 γ (from 4650); 1126 γ (from 4262).

Multi-particle shell model configurations of seniority=3,5,7 are given in detail by [1993We04](#) and [1993Ga19](#). Comparisons of experimental γ branching ratios with those calculated for these configurations are given by [1995Za11](#).

Measured mass excess of ⁸⁹Mo: [2008We10](#), JYFLTRAP.

[Additional information 1](#).

⁸⁹Mo Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	⁸⁹ Mo IT decay (190 ms)	D	⁵⁸ Ni(³⁶ Ar,4pn γ), ⁶⁰ Ni(³² S,2pn γ)
B	⁸⁹ Tc ϵ decay (12.8 s)	E	⁹⁰ Zr(³ He,4n γ)
C	⁸⁹ Tc ϵ decay (12.9 s)	F	⁹² Mo(³ He, ⁶ He)

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	XREF	Comments
0.0	(9/2 ⁺)	2.11 min 10	ABCDEF	% ϵ +% β^+ =100 T _{1/2} : weighted average of 1.98 min 14 (1985Be12 : γ^{\pm} timing in coin with ⁸⁹ Nb γ transitions), 2.15 min 20 (1981Ga05 : beam chopper system) and 2.2 min 1 (1983OxZZ : γ timing). Other: a 7.1-min activity assigned to ⁸⁹ Mo by 1964Bu12 was not confirmed by 1975Ha11 and gave upper limits of <2 min for decay of 9/2 ⁺ isomer and <1 m for decay of 1/2 ⁻ isomer. Configuration= $\nu g_{9/2}^{-1}$ + seniority=3 states (1993We04). Additional information 2 .
118.8 1	(7/2 ⁺)		ABCDEF	
387.5 2	(1/2 ⁻)	190 ms 15	A CDEF	%IT=100 Configuration= $\nu p_{1/2}^{-1}$ + seniority=3 states (1993We04). T _{1/2} : from 1980Ga16 . %IT: from log ft>3.6 for the transition to the J π =(1/2) ⁻ state in ⁸⁹ Nb % ϵ +% β^+ is estimated to be <10. From log ft>5, based on systematics of values for similar transitions in this mass region % ϵ +% β^+ <0.4.
1000.7 1	(11/2 ⁺)		D	
1016.4 1	(13/2 ⁺)		D	
1253 15			F	
1645.8 1	(11/2 ⁺)		D	
1740 15			F	
2008.4 1	(13/2 ⁻)		D	
2096.4 1	(17/2 ⁺)		D	
2110 15			F	
2271.2 1	(17/2 ⁻)	1.14 ns 8	D	T _{1/2} : from RDDS for 175 γ (1995Za11). Other: 1.11 ns 28 from $\gamma(t)$ of 175 γ and 263 γ (1995Ka06).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

⁸⁹Mo Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	XREF	Comments
2415.8 1	(17/2 ⁺)		D	
2454.5 2	(17/2 ⁻)	5.8 ps 11	D	
2583.7 1	(21/2 ⁺)	9.49 ns 21	D	μ=+8.3 4 (1995We12,2020StZV) T _{1/2} : from γ(t) of 168γ, 487γ, 320γ, 1016γ, 1080γ, 1399γ (1995Ka06). Other: ≈21 ns (1993We04). μ: from g=+0.79 4 (TDPAD method, 1995We12). Configuration=40% πg _{9/2} ² (8 ⁺)⊗νg _{9/2} ⁻¹ + 21% πg _{9/2} ² (6 ⁺)⊗νg _{9/2} ⁻¹ + 15% πg _{9/2} ² (8 ⁺)⊗νg _{9/2} ⁻³ (7/2 ⁺) + 1% νg _{9/2} ⁻³ gives theoretical g=+0.79 (1995We12).
3134.0 2	(23/2 ⁺)	<1.1 ps	D	
3151.1 2	(21/2 ⁻)	1.8 ps +6-10	D	
3558.4 2	(25/2 ⁺)	<1.0 ps	D	
3701.8 2	(23/2 ⁻)		D	
3716.7 2	(25/2 ⁺)	0.8 ps 6	D	
4069.0 2	(25/2 ⁻)	2.8 ps +6-3	D	
4260.6 2	(27/2 ⁺)	0.28 ps +7-14	D	
4575.4 2	(27/2 ⁻)	0.69 ps +28-14	D	
4649.1 2	(29/2 ⁺)		D	
4980.7 2	(29/2 ⁺)	<0.7 ps	D	
5170.9 2	(29/2 ⁻)	<0.76 ps	D	
5251.0 2	(31/2 ⁺)	2.3 ps 8	D	
5340 25			F	
5420.0 2	(31/2 ⁻)	<0.7 ps	D	
5480 25			F	
5643.0 2	(33/2 ⁺)	0.55 [@] ps 14	D	
6436.4 2	(35/2 ⁻)	2.6 ps 6	D	
6470.6 3	(35/2 ⁺)		D	
6755.9 3	(37/2 ⁺)		D	
7590.1 3	(39/2 ⁻)	1.39 [@] ps 14	D	

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From 1995Za11, based on γ(θ) (1993We04,1993Ga19) and γγ(θ)(DCO) of 1993We04. J^π values of g.s., 119 and 388 states are based on systematics and probable shell-model configurations.

[#] From RDDS (1995Za11), unless otherwise stated.

[@] Effective T_{1/2} not corrected for feeding.

γ(⁸⁹Mo)

E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _γ	I _γ [†]	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [‡]	α [@]	Comments
118.8	(7/2 ⁺)	118.8 1	100	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)			E _γ : from (HI,xnγ).
387.5	(1/2 ⁻)	268.6 2	100	118.8	(7/2 ⁺)	(E3)	0.1494 24	B(E3)(W.u.)=0.117 10 E _γ : average of values from (HI,xnγ) and ⁸⁹ Mo IT decay. Mult.: α(K)exp=0.28 6 (1991He04) in ⁸⁹ Tc ε decay (12.9 s) gives δ(M4/E3)=0.39 12, but this admixture of M4 gives unrealistically large B(M4)(W.u.). RUL=10 for B(M4)(W.u.) suggests negligible δ(M4/E3). Value of α(K)exp=0.28 6 (1991He04) agrees better with M3. Multipolarity assignment here is essentially from systematics supporting 1/2 ⁻ for the isomer and dominant E3 multipolarity to 7/2 ⁺ level, and only marginally supported by large value of α(K)exp.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{89}\text{Mo})$ (continued)									
$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ	$\alpha^@$	Comments
1000.7	(11/2 ⁺)	1000.7 1	100	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)				
1016.4	(13/2 ⁺)	1016.3 1	100	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)	(Q)			
1645.8	(11/2 ⁺)	629.5 2	18 7	1016.4	(13/2 ⁺)				
		1527.2 2	100 10	118.8	(7/2 ⁺)				
		1645.9 2	44 8	0.0	(9/2 ⁺)				
2008.4	(13/2 ⁻)	362.6 1	34 5	1645.8	(11/2 ⁺)	D			
		991.8 1	100 7	1016.4	(13/2 ⁺)	(D)			$\delta(Q/D)=0.00$ +7-13 from $\gamma(\theta)$, -0.4 from DCO (1993Ka24).
		1007.9 2	45 5	1000.7	(11/2 ⁺)	D			
2096.4	(17/2 ⁺)	1080.0 1	100	1016.4	(13/2 ⁺)	Q			
2271.2	(17/2 ⁻)	174.8 1	100 2	2096.4	(17/2 ⁺)	(E1)		0.0250	B(E1)(W.u.)=3.4×10 ⁻⁵ 3
		262.8 1	59 2	2008.4	(13/2 ⁻)	(E2)		0.0380	B(E2)(W.u.)=6.0 5
2415.8	(17/2 ⁺)	319.5 1	42.9 14	2096.4	(17/2 ⁺)				
		1399.3 1	100.0 14	1016.4	(13/2 ⁺)	Q			
2454.5	(17/2 ⁻)	183.5 1	100	2271.2	(17/2 ⁻)	[M1]		0.0481	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.59 12
2583.7	(21/2 ⁺)	168.0 1	79 2	2415.8	(17/2 ⁺)	(E2)		0.185	B(E2)(W.u.)=7.7 3
		487.3 1	100 2	2096.4	(17/2 ⁺)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)=0.0474 16
3134.0	(23/2 ⁺)	550.3 1	100	2583.7	(21/2 ⁺)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)>0.12
3151.1	(21/2 ⁻)	696.8 1	4 1	2454.5	(17/2 ⁻)	[E2]			B(E2)(W.u.)=3.1 13
		879.8 1	100 1	2271.2	(17/2 ⁻)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)=24 9
									$\delta(O/Q)=0.00$ +10-6 from $\gamma(\theta)$, +0.05 8 from DCO (1993Ka24).
3558.4	(25/2 ⁺)	424.4 1	100	3134.0	(23/2 ⁺)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)>0.29
3701.8	(23/2 ⁻)	550.7 1	100	3151.1	(21/2 ⁻)	D			
3716.7	(25/2 ⁺)	582.6 1	100	3134.0	(23/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	-3.4 17		B(M1)(W.u.)=0.007 +83-5; B(E2)(W.u.)>200 $\delta(Q/D)=-2.4$ 7 from $\gamma(\theta)$, -3.7 +17-13 from DCO (1993Ka24).
4069.0	(25/2 ⁻)	367.2 1	30 3	3701.8	(23/2 ⁻)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.037 9
		917.8 1	100 3	3151.1	(21/2 ⁻)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)=10.1 23
4260.6	(27/2 ⁺)	543.9 1	41 4	3716.7	(25/2 ⁺)	[M1]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.14 4
		702.3 1	100 4	3558.4	(25/2 ⁺)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.16 5
4575.4	(27/2 ⁻)	506.5 1	100	4069.0	(25/2 ⁻)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.25 10
4649.1	(29/2 ⁺)	388.4 1	100	4260.6	(27/2 ⁺)	D			
4980.7	(29/2 ⁺)	331.6 1	73 8	4649.1	(29/2 ⁺)	[M1]		0.0105	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.23
		720.1 1	97 11	4260.6	(27/2 ⁺)	[M1]			B(M1)(W.u.)>0.030
		1264.0 1	100 11	3716.7	(25/2 ⁺)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)>3.9
5170.9	(29/2 ⁻)	595.5 1	100 5	4575.4	(27/2 ⁻)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)>0.11
		1101.9 1	27 5	4069.0	(25/2 ⁻)	[E2]			B(E2)(W.u.)>4.1
5251.0	(31/2 ⁺)	270.3 1	100 4	4980.7	(29/2 ⁺)	[M1] [#]		0.0176	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.25 9
		601.9 1	39 4	4649.1	(29/2 ⁺)	[M1]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.009 4
		990.4 2	57 4	4260.6	(27/2 ⁺)	[E2]			B(E2)(W.u.)=3.2 12
5420.0	(31/2 ⁻)	249.0 1	100 5	5170.9	(29/2 ⁻)	[M1] [#]		0.0217	B(M1)(W.u.)>1.3
		844.9 2	54 5	4575.4	(27/2 ⁻)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)>27
5643.0	(33/2 ⁺)	392.0 1	100	5251.0	(31/2 ⁺)	[M1] [#]			B(M1)(W.u.)=0.66 17
6436.4	(35/2 ⁻)	1016.4 1	100	5420.0	(31/2 ⁻)	[E2]			B(E2)(W.u.)=8.5 20
6470.6	(35/2 ⁺)	827.6 1	100	5643.0	(33/2 ⁺)				
6755.9	(37/2 ⁺)	285.3 1	100	6470.6	(35/2 ⁺)				
7590.1	(39/2 ⁻)	1153.7 1	100	6436.4	(35/2 ⁻)	(E2)			B(E2)(W.u.)=8.4 9

[†] Photon branching ratios from 1995Za11.

[‡] From (HL,xny). See details about $\Delta J=0,1,2$ assignments in this dataset.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) **$\gamma(^{89}\text{Mo})$ (continued)**

Mult=dipole from $\gamma(\theta)$ and/or $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO).

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with “Frozen Orbitals” approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

