

$^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma), ^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{p}\gamma)$  **1993We04,1993Ga19**

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	30-Nov-2021

**1993We04:**  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma)$  E=149 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , (particle) $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) using an array of six Compton-suppressed Ge detectors and particle-detector arrays. Comparisons with shell-model calculations. See related studies: [1995We12](#), [1995Ka06](#), [1995Za11](#), [1993Ka24](#).

**1993Ga19:**  $^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{p}\gamma)$  E=110 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $n\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ .

**1995We12:**  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma)$  E=154 MeV. Measured g factor for isomer at 2584 using time-differential perturbed-angular distribution method after recoil implantation.

**1995Ka06:**  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma)$  E=149 MeV. Measured lifetimes by electronic timing.

**1995Za11:**  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{35}\text{Cl},3\text{p}\gamma)$  E=120 MeV. Measured lifetimes by recoil-distance Doppler-shift (RDDS) method.

**1993Ka24:**  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma)$  E=149 MeV and  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{35}\text{Cl},3\text{p}\gamma)$  E=120 MeV. Measured  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  (DCO).

**1992WeZS** (also Wen et al., Proc. Int. Nucl. Phys. Conf. Wiesbaden, Germany 1992 and 1991 Annual Rep. China Ins. Atomic Energy, p19 (1992)):  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{35}\text{Cl},3\text{p}\gamma)$  E=124 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $n\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO), excitation functions (E=112-136 MeV).

Multi-particle shell model configurations of seniority=3,5,7 are given in detail by [1993We04](#) and [1993Ga19](#). Comparisons of experimental  $\gamma$  branching ratios with those calculated for these configurations are given by [1995Za11](#).

 $^{89}\text{Mo}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
118.8 1	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
387.6 3	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )		Configuration= $\nu p_{1/2}^{-1}$ .
1000.7 1	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1016.4 1	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1645.8 1	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2008.4 1	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2096.4 1	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2271.2 1	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1.14 ns 8	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from RDDS for 175 $\gamma$ ( <a href="#">1995Za11</a> ). Other: 1.11 ns 28 from $\gamma(t)$ of 175 $\gamma$ and 263 $\gamma$ ( <a href="#">1995Ka06</a> ).
2415.8 1	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2454.5 2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	5.8 ps 11	
2548?&	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2583.7 1	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	9.49 ns 21	g=+0.79 4 ( <a href="#">1995We12</a> ) T <sub>1/2</sub> : from $\gamma(t)$ of 168 $\gamma$ , 487 $\gamma$ , 320 $\gamma$ , 1016 $\gamma$ , 1080 $\gamma$ , 1399 $\gamma$ ( <a href="#">1995Ka06</a> ). Other: ≈21 ns ( <a href="#">1993We04</a> ). Configuration=40%(( $\pi g_{9/2}^2$ ) <sub>8</sub> + $\nu g_{9/2}^{-1}$ )+ 21%(( $\pi g_{9/2}^2$ ) <sub>6</sub> + $\nu g_{9/2}^{-1}$ )+ 15%(( $\pi g_{9/2}^2$ ) <sub>8</sub> +( $\nu g_{9/2}^{-3}$ ) <sub>7/2+</sub> )+1%( $\nu g_{9/2}^{-3}$ ) gives calculated g=+0.79 ( <a href="#">1995We12</a> ).
2911?&			
3134.0 2	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<1.1 ps	
3151.1 2	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1.8 ps +6-10	
3503?&	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
3558.4 2	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<1.0 ps	
3701.8 2	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
3716.7 2	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.8 ps 6	
4069.0 2	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2.8 ps +6-3	
4260.6 2	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.28 ps +7-14	
4366?&	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4575.4 2	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.69 ps +28-14	
4649.1 2	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
4980.7 2	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<0.7 ps	
5170.9 2	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.76 ps	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\gamma), ^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{p}\gamma)$  **1993We04,1993Ga19 (continued)** $^{89}\text{Mo}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>
5251.0 2	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2.3 ps 8	6436.4 2	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2.6 ps 6
5420.0 2	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.7 ps	6470.6 3	(35/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
5643.0 2	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.55 <sup>@</sup> ps 14	6755.9 3	(37/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
			7590.1 3	(39/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1.39 <sup>@</sup> ps 14

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$  data.<sup>‡</sup> From 1995Za11, based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  (1993We04,1993Ga19) and  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) of 1993We04. J $^\pi$  values of g.s., 119 and 388 states are based on systematics and probable shell-model configurations.<sup>#</sup> From RDDS (1995Za11), unless otherwise stated.<sup>@</sup> Effective T<sub>1/2</sub> not corrected for feeding.<sup>&</sup> From 1992WeZS only. It is considered uncertain (evaluator) and is omitted from Adopted Levels. $\gamma(^{89}\text{Mo})$ A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>4</sub> and DCO values are from 1993We04, unless otherwise stated.

$\gamma$ -ray intensities in $^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{p}\gamma)$		E=110 MeV (1993Ga19)	
E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$	E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$
118.8 3	5 1	550.5 3	7
167.7 2	18 4	582.7 2	15 2
174.5 2	21 3	595.5 2	17 3
183.3 2	4	696.5 3	3
249.4 3	17 3	702.4 2	10 2
262.6 2	17 3	845.3 4	3
268.8 3	4 1	879.9 2	27 4
319.6 2	9 1	917.7 3	19 3
362.2 4	6 1	992.0 3	17 3
367.5 4	3 1	1016.3 2	100 12
424.2 2	14 2	1080.0 2	60 8
487.4 2	27 4	1102.1 3	3
506.6 3	23 4	1399.6 4	16 2
543.6 3	6 1	1526.9 4	weak
550.4 2	34 5	1646.1 4	weak

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	a <sup>c</sup>	Comments
118.8 1	3.1 8	118.8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )			$\gamma(\theta)$ : isotropic.
168.0 1	15.3 3	2583.7	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2415.8	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.185	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.22 5; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.14 10 (1993Ga19); DCO=0.73 8 (1993We04)
174.8 1	31.2 7	2271.2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2096.4	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E1) <sup>b</sup>	0.0250	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.33 2; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.02 2; DCO=0.71 8 A <sub>2</sub> =+0.37 6; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.06 6 (1993Ga19)
183.5 1	5.9 2	2454.5	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2271.2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )			A <sub>2</sub> =-0.34 6; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.02 6; DCO=0.55 7
249.0 1	12.5 4	5420.0	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	5170.9	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.58 6; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.03 12 (1993Ga19)
262.8 1	18.7 4	2271.2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2008.4	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.0380	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.27 3; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.07 3; DCO=0.65 8 A <sub>2</sub> =+0.13 6; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.06 6 (1993Ga19) DCO is too low for suggested (1993We04) $\Delta J=2$ .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{pny}), ^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{pny}) \quad 1993\text{We04,1993Ga19} \text{ (continued)}$  $\gamma(^{89}\text{Mo}) \text{ (continued)}$ 

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$	Comments
268.8 3		387.6	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	118.8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E3)		$E_\gamma$ : from 1993Ga19. Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. $A_2=-0.41$ 6; $A_4=+0.05$ 6; DCO=0.77 8
270.3 1	8.3 2	5251.0	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4980.7	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		
277 <sup>#d</sup>		2548?	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2271.2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
285.3 1	4.3 6	6755.9	(37/2 <sup>+</sup> )	6470.6	(35/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
297 <sup>#d</sup>		4366?	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4069.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
319.5 1	5.3 2	2415.8	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2096.4	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	b		$\gamma(\theta)$ : isotropic. DCO=0.80 12.
327 <sup>#d</sup>		2911?		2583.7	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
331.6 1	3.2 2	4980.7	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4649.1	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )			DCO=0.78 10
352 <sup>#d</sup>		3503?	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3151.1	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
362.6 1	8.3 7	2008.4	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1645.8	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		DCO=0.51 9
367.2 1	5.2 2	4069.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3701.8	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.33$ 7; $A_4=-0.04$ 8; DCO=0.66 13
388.4 1	8.8 3	4649.1	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.52$ 8; $A_4=+0.10$ 3; DCO=0.62 9
392.0 1	8.8 3	5643.0	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5251.0	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.51$ 7; $A_4=+0.13$ 7; DCO=0.53 8
424.4 1	10.3 5	3558.4	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3134.0	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.60$ 10; $A_4=+0.19$ 10 (1993Ga19); DCO=0.58 8 (1993We04)
487.3 1	19.5 4	2583.7	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2096.4	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)&		$A_2=+0.15$ 6; $A_4=-0.03$ 3 (1993Ga19); DCO=1.07 8
506.5 1	14.4 4	4575.4	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4069.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$\gamma(\theta)$ is isotropic (1993We04).
543.9 1	3.7 4	4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3716.7	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )			$A_2=-0.51$ 4; $A_4=+0.20$ 5; DCO=0.52 7 DCO=0.84 11
550.3 1	24.4 <sup>@</sup> 14	3134.0	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2583.7	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.54$ 6; $A_4=+0.14$ 8 (1993Ga19); DCO=0.46 10
550.7 1	4.2 <sup>@</sup> 4	3701.8	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3151.1	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$\gamma(\theta)$ is for doublet. DCO=0.56 11
582.6 1	11.5 3	3716.7	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3134.0	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2 <sup>a</sup>	-3.4 17	$A_2=-0.62$ 4; $A_4=+0.17$ 5; DCO=0.51 7 (1993We04,1993Ka24) $A_2=-0.53$ 6; $A_4=+0.14$ 10 (1993Ga19)
595.5 1	10.0 4	5170.9	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4575.4	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$\delta$ : spans minimum and maximum limits of $\delta=-2.4$ 7 (from $\gamma(\theta)$ ) and $-3.7 +17-13$ (from R(DCO)) (1993Ka24). $A_2=-0.52$ 6; $A_4=+0.16$ 7; DCO=0.59 8
601.9 1	3.3 2	5251.0	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4649.1	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
629.5 2	1.0 3	1645.8	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1016.4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
696.8 1	1.2 2	3151.1	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2454.5	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
702.3 1	8.9 4	4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3558.4	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>		$A_2=-0.63$ 6; $A_4=+0.24$ 7; DCO=0.54 10
720.1 1	5.1 3	4980.7	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
806 <sup>#d</sup>		5170.9	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4366?	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )			
827.6 1	9.2 4	6470.6	(35/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5643.0	(33/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
844.9 2	5.4 4	5420.0	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4575.4	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)&		DCO=0.96 15
879.8 1	25.6 7	3151.1	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2271.2	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)&		$A_2=+0.28$ 3; $A_4=-0.03$ 4; DCO=1.06 8 (1993We04,1993Ka24)
917.8 1	14.2 6	4069.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3151.1	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)&		$A_2=+0.11$ 6; $A_4=+0.08$ 8 (1993Ga19) $\delta(Q/D)=0.00 +10-6$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ , $+0.05$ 8 from DCO (1993Ka24).
975 <sup>#d</sup>		3558.4	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2583.7	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )			DCO=1.07 8
990.4 2	3.9 2	5251.0	(31/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
991.8 1	20.6 5	2008.4	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1016.4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(D)b		$A_2=+0.25$ 9; $A_4=+0.02$ 10; DCO=0.90 22 $\gamma(\theta)$ data from 1993We04, 1993Ka24. $\delta(Q/D)=0.00 +7-13$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ , $-0.4$ from DCO (1993Ka24).
1000.7 1	12.0 13	1000.7	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{58}\text{Ni}(^{36}\text{Ar},4\text{p}\nu\gamma), ^{60}\text{Ni}(^{32}\text{S},2\text{p}\nu\gamma)$  **1993We04,1993Ga19 (continued)** $\gamma(^{89}\text{Mo})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
1007.9 2	11.1 7	2008.4	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1000.7	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D <sup>a</sup>	$A_2=-0.34$ 7; $A_4=-0.03$ 8
1016.3 1	100 <sup>@</sup> 5	1016.4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(Q) <sup>&amp;</sup>	$A_2=+0.22$ 2; $A_4=-0.03$ 2; DCO=1.04 7 $A_2=+0.28$ 6; $A_4=-0.08$ 6 ( <b>1993Ga19</b> ) $\gamma(\theta)$ data for doublet.
1016.4 1	20.9 <sup>@</sup> 10	6436.4	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )	5420.0	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Q <sup>&amp;</sup>	$A_2=+0.17$ 2; $A_4=0.00$ 2; DCO=0.94 7
1080.0 1	64.9 9	2096.4	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1016.4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Q <sup>&amp;</sup>	$A_2=+0.26$ 6; $A_4=-0.06$ 2 ( <b>1993Ga19</b> )
1090 <sup>#d</sup>		4649.1	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3558.4	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1101.9 1	2.2 4	5170.9	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4069.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
1126 <sup>#d</sup>		4260.6	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3134.0	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1153.7 1	12.1 5	7590.1	(39/2 <sup>-</sup> )	6436.4	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>	DCO=1.09 13
1264.0 1	4.4 4	4980.7	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3716.7	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>	DCO=1.12 18
1399.3 1	12.4 4	2415.8	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1016.4	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Q <sup>&amp;</sup>	$A_2=+0.08$ 2; $A_4=+0.02$ 2; DCO=0.88 12 $A_2=+0.25$ 8; $A_4=-0.10$ 7 ( <b>1993Ga19</b> )
1527.2 2	4.8 3	1645.8	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	118.8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1645.9 2	2.6 3	1645.8	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		

<sup>†</sup> From **1993We04**, unless otherwise stated. See **1995Za11** for experimental branching ratios and comparisons with those from shell-model calculations.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and/or  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) combined with RUL for quadrupole transitions.

<sup>#</sup> From **1992WeZS** only. It is considered uncertain (evaluator). This  $\gamma$  ray must be very weak since it is not shown in  $\nu\gamma$  coin spectrum of **1992WeZS**. This  $\gamma$  ray is omitted from Adopted Gammas.

<sup>@</sup> Unresolved doublet, intensity is divided in two components.

<sup>&</sup> R(DCO) and/or  $\gamma(\theta)$  suggest  $\Delta J=2$ , quadrupole. Mult=E2 from RUL.

<sup>a</sup> R(DCO) and/or  $\gamma(\theta)$  suggest  $\Delta J=1$ , dipole or D+Q.

<sup>b</sup> R(DCO) and/or  $\gamma(\theta)$  consistent with  $\Delta J=0$ , dipole or D+Q.

<sup>c</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



