

^{88}Nb ε decay (14.55 min) 1984Ox01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan and A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 115, 135 (2014)	1-Nov-2013

Parent: ^{88}Nb : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(8^+)$; $T_{1/2}=14.55$ min 11; $Q(\varepsilon)=7450$ 60; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

1984Ox01: ^{88}Nb activity produced in the $\text{Zr}(p,3n)$ and $\text{Zr}(d,4n)$ reactions with $E(p)=38$ MeV and $E(d)=47$ MeV. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ using two n-type HPGe detectors (FWHM=2.0 and 2.1 keV at 1.3 MeV) and $\beta\gamma$ coincidences using a E- ΔE telescope consisting of a Ge detector and a Si detector.

A total energy release of 7380 keV 210 is calculated for this decay using the code RADLST, in agreement with the Q value of 7450 keV 60.

Others: 1974Ba55, 1972Ia01, 1971Do01, 1966Fl03, 1966Hy03.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{88}Zr Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0	0 ⁺	2810.92 16	6 ⁺	3618.1 3	(7 ⁻)	4612.8 6	9 ⁺
1057.11 10	2 ⁺	2887.94 18	8 ⁺	4237.1 4	(7,8 ⁺)	4934.6 3	(7,8 ⁺)
2139.71 14	4 ⁺	3213.83 17	(6 ⁺)	4348.5 4		5088.0 3	(7,8 ⁺)
2539.11 16	5 ⁻	3390.85 20	8 ⁺	4388.5 3	(7,8 ⁺)	5787.4 5	(7,8,9)
2801.31 16	5 ⁻	3484.1 5	(7 ⁻)	4462.0 3	(7,8 ⁺)		

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ by evaluators.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [‡]	$I\varepsilon$ [‡]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ ^{†‡}	Comments
(1.66×10 ³ 6)	5787.4	0.016 6	0.19 4	6.15 10	0.21 4	av $E\beta=283$ 26; $\varepsilon K=0.806$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.097$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0218$ 6
(2.36×10 ³ 6)	5088.0	0.21 3	0.21 3	6.42 7	0.42 4	av $E\beta=590$ 27; $\varepsilon K=0.44$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.052$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0117$ 9
(2.52×10 ³ 6)	4934.6	0.72 7	0.52 6	6.09 7	1.24 10	av $E\beta=659$ 27; $\varepsilon K=0.36$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.043$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0097$ 8
(2.84×10 ³ 6)	4612.8	0.34 8	0.13 3	6.79 12	0.47 11	av $E\beta=805$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.242$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.0288$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.0065$ 5
(2.99×10 ³ 6)	4462.0	1.34 17	0.40 6	6.35 8	1.74 22	av $E\beta=875$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.201$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.0239$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.0054$ 5
(3.06×10 ³ 6)	4388.5	0.54 7	0.14 2	6.81 8	0.68 9	av $E\beta=908$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.184$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.0219$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.0049$ 4
(3.10×10 ³ 6)	4348.5	0.29 5	0.072 13	7.12 9	0.36 6	av $E\beta=927$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.175$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.0209$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.0047$ 4
(3.21×10 ³ 6)	4237.1	0.50 9	0.11 2	6.98 10	0.61 11	av $E\beta=978$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.154$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.0183$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.0041$ 3
(3.83×10 ³ 6)	3618.1	0.61 7	0.061 8	7.38 7	0.67 8	av $E\beta=1267$ 29; $\varepsilon K=0.080$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0095$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00212$ 13
(3.97×10 ³ 6)	3484.1	0.31 6	0.027 5	7.76 9	0.34 6	av $E\beta=1330$ 29; $\varepsilon K=0.070$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0083$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00186$ 11
(4.06×10 ³ 6)	3390.85	54 4	4.3 4	5.59 5	58 4	av $E\beta=1374$ 29; $\varepsilon K=0.064$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0076$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00171$ 10
(4.24×10 ³ [#] 6)	3213.83	1.0 4	0.069 25	7.42 17	1.1 4	av $E\beta=1457$ 29; $\varepsilon K=0.055$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0065$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00146$ 8
(4.56×10 ³ 6)	2887.94	25 5	1.2 2	6.23 9	26 5	av $E\beta=1612$ 29; $\varepsilon K=0.0416$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.00494$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.00111$ 6

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁸⁸Nb ϵ decay (14.55 min) [1984Ox01](#) (continued)

ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>Iβ^+ [‡]</u>	<u>Iϵ [‡]</u>	<u>Log <i>ft</i></u>	<u>I($\epsilon + \beta^+$) ^{†‡}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(4.64×10 ³ [#] 6)	2810.92	6 3	0.3 1	6.90 22	6 3	av E β =1649 29; ϵ K=0.0391 20; ϵ L=0.00465 23; ϵ M+=0.00104 5

[†] From an intensity balance at each level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{88}\text{Zr})$ I γ normalization: From $\Sigma\text{I}(\gamma+\text{c.e.})=100$ and assuming no direct feeding to g.s. ($\Delta J=8$).

E_γ	I_γ^b	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α	$I_{(\gamma+\text{ce})}^{b\ddagger}$	Comments
77.0 1	22.4 7	2887.94	8 ⁺	2810.92	6 ⁺	E2	2.87		$\alpha(\text{K})=2.29$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.486$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0855$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.01103$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000355$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})/\alpha(\text{L})=4.5$ 5, $\alpha(\text{L})/\alpha(\text{M})=6.0$ 7 (1974Ba55). Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})/\alpha(\text{L})$ and $\alpha(\text{L})/\alpha(\text{M})$.
^x 172.5 5	0.34 6								
177.0&	0.06 6	3390.85	8 ⁺	3213.83	(6 ⁺)				
262.2 1	0.03 1	2801.31	5 ⁻	2539.11	5 ⁻	M1(+E2)	0.025 10	0.3 1	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.021 8; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0026 11; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.00045 19; ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=6.E-5 3; ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=3.9×10 ⁻⁶ 14 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.022$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0027$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00046$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N})=6.\text{E}-5$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.0\times 10^{-6}$ 14 I γ : deduced from I($\gamma+\text{ce}$) and α . $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0046$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00562$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000623$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001077$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.518\times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(\text{O})=1.034\times 10^{-6}$ 15 Mult., $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$: from Ice(K)(271)/Ice(K)(77)=0.0027 3 (1974Ba55) if the 77 γ is pure E2.
271.8 1	30.1 9	2810.92	6 ⁺	2539.11	5 ⁻	E1	0.00637		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00201$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000221$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.83\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N})=5.41\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.75\times 10^{-7}$ 6
399.4 1	31.8 12	2539.11	5 ⁻	2139.71	4 ⁺	E1	0.00227		
402.9&	0.6 ^a 2	3213.83	(6 ⁺)	2810.92	6 ⁺				
502.9 1	60 4	3390.85	8 ⁺	2887.94	8 ⁺				
546.1 5	0.17 4	4934.6	(7,8 ⁺)	4388.5	(7,8 ⁺)				
586.1 5	#	4934.6	(7,8 ⁺)	4348.5					
661.6 1	0.06 3	2801.31	5 ⁻	2139.71	4 ⁺	[E1]	6.76×10 ⁻⁴	0.06 3	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.000597 9; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=6.53×10 ⁻⁵ 10; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=1.131×10 ⁻⁵ 16; ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=1.605×10 ⁻⁶ 23 ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=1.127×10 ⁻⁷ 16 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000598$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=6.54\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.132\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.606\times 10^{-6}$ 23 $\alpha(\text{O})=1.128\times 10^{-7}$ 16 I γ : deduced from I($\gamma+\text{ce}$) and α . $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001595$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000182$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.15\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.44\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.02\times 10^{-7}$ 5
671.2 1	64 2	2810.92	6 ⁺	2139.71	4 ⁺	E2	0.00181		
673.2&	#	3484.1	(7 ⁻)	2810.92	6 ⁺				
^x 796.0 5	0.42 8								
807.0 3	0.31 6	3618.1	(7 ⁻)	2810.92	6 ⁺				

⁸⁸Nb ϵ decay (14.55 min) **1984Ox01** (continued)

 $\gamma(^{88}\text{Zr})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^b	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α	Comments
817.0 4	0.36 @ 5	3618.1	(7 ⁻)	2801.31	5 ⁻			
945.0 5	0.34 6	3484.1	(7 ⁻)	2539.11	5 ⁻			
957.6 4	0.36 6	4348.5		3390.85	8 ⁺			
997.6 3	0.41 6	4388.5	(7,8 ⁺)	3390.85	8 ⁺			
1057.1 1	100 4	1057.11	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	5.91×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000522$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.79\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.003\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.422\times 10^{-6}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.95\times 10^{-8}$ 14
1071.2 &	0.47 18	4462.0	(7,8 ⁺)	3390.85	8 ⁺			
1074.1 1	1.8 3	3213.83	(6 ⁺)	2139.71	4 ⁺			
1082.6 1	103 4	2139.71	4 ⁺	1057.11	2 ⁺	E2	5.60×10 ⁻⁴	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000495$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.48\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.50\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.346\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.43\times 10^{-8}$ 14
1134.6 10	#	4348.5		3213.83	(6 ⁺)			
1174.7 5	0.44 5	4388.5	(7,8 ⁺)	3213.83	(6 ⁺)			
1221.9 6	0.47 11	4612.8	9 ⁺	3390.85	8 ⁺			
1247.8 5	0.24 7	4462.0	(7,8 ⁺)	3213.83	(6 ⁺)			
^x 1276.3 3	0.33 4							
1349.1 5	0.33 6	4237.1	(7,8 ⁺)	2887.94	8 ⁺			
1426.3 6	0.28 9	4237.1	(7,8 ⁺)	2810.92	6 ⁺			
1543.7 &	0.58 7	4934.6	(7,8 ⁺)	3390.85	8 ⁺			
1573.9 3	0.54 7	4462.0	(7,8 ⁺)	2887.94	8 ⁺			
^x 1585.1 4	0.38 6							
1651.6 4	0.49 7	4462.0	(7,8 ⁺)	2810.92	6 ⁺			
1720.8 4	0.49 6	4934.6	(7,8 ⁺)	3213.83	(6 ⁺)			
2277.1 3	0.42 4	5088.0	(7,8 ⁺)	2810.92	6 ⁺			
2396.5 5	0.21 4	5787.4	(7,8,9)	3390.85	8 ⁺			

[†] From the Adopted Gammas, except where noted.

[‡] Intensity deduced from γ -ray feeding (**1984Ox01**).

Weak.

@ Contamination intensity subtracted.

& From level energy difference.

^a From coincidence spectra.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.00 4.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{88}Nb ϵ decay (14.55 min) 1984Ox01

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 • Coincidence
 ○ Coincidence (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays