#### **Adopted Levels, Gammas**

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan and A. A. Sonzogni	NDS 115, 135 (2014)	1-Nov-2013				

 $Q(\beta^{-})=-7282 SY; S(n)=1.206\times 10^{4} I5; S(p)=2.09\times 10^{3} I5; Q(\alpha)=-3086 SY$ 2012Wa38

 $\Delta Q(\beta^{-})=333; \Delta Q(\alpha)=335 (2012Wa38).$ 

S(2n)=26527 syst 333; S(2p)=7.13×10<sup>3</sup> 15; Q(\varepsilon p)=4.90×10<sup>3</sup> 15 (2012Wa38).

It is unknown which level w, x, y or z corresponds to the ground state. Additionally, w or z may be the same level as x or y.

## <sup>88</sup>Tc Levels

#### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

Α	<sup>9</sup> Be( <sup>107</sup>	$Ag, X\gamma$
	- \	0, 1,

В С

 $^{58}$ Ni( $^{32}$ S,pn)  $^{58}$ Ni( $^{36}$ Ar, $\alpha$ pn $\gamma$ )

E(level)	$J^{\pi}$	$T_{1/2}^{\dagger}$	XREF	Comments			
Х	$(5^+, 6^+, 7^+)$	6.4 s 8	В	$\%\varepsilon + \%\beta^+ = 100; \ \%\varepsilon p = ?$			
у	(2+,3+)	5.8 s 2	В	<ul> <li>J<sup>π</sup>: from log ft≈4.8 to 6<sup>+</sup> and assuming that the spin difference between the 2 isomers should be at least 3.</li> <li>%ε+%β<sup>+</sup>=100; %εp=?</li> <li>J<sup>π</sup>: from log ft≈5.3 to 2<sup>+</sup> in <sup>88</sup>Mo. Positive parity expected as both proton and neutron originate from g9/2 orbitals.</li> </ul>			
Z			С				
W			Α				
z+20.9? 3			С				
w+95		146 ns 12	Α	%IT=100			
				$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma(t)$ in 2009Ga40.			
z+311.6 <sup>‡</sup> 1	J		С				
z+677.0 <sup>‡</sup> 2	J+1		С				
z+1193.9 <sup>‡</sup> 3	J+2		С				
z+1538.1 <sup>‡</sup> 4	J+3		С				
z+2292.7 <sup>‡</sup> 5	J+4		С				
z+2567.0 <sup>‡</sup> 5	J+5		С				
z+3660.1 <sup>‡</sup> 7	J+7		С				
z+4912.9 <sup>‡</sup> 9	J+9		С				

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$ (t) measured in 1996Od01, except where noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Band(A): quasi-rotational band.

 $\gamma(^{88}\text{Tc})$ 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	Eγ	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$
w+95		95	100	W	
z+311.6	J	290.7 <sup>†</sup> 3		z+20.9?	
		311.6 <i>1</i>		Z	
z+677.0	J+1	365.4 2		z+311.6	J
z+1193.9	J+2	882.3 <i>3</i>		z+311.6	J

### Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

# $\gamma(^{88}\text{Tc})$ (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	Eγ	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	Eγ	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$
z+1538.1	J+3	344.1 2	z+1193.9	J+2	z+2567.0	J+5	1028.9 4	z+1538.1	J+3
		861.1 <i>3</i>	z+677.0	J+1	z+3660.1	J+7	1093.1 4	z+2567.0	J+5
z+2292.7	J+4	1098.8 5	z+1193.9	J+2	z+4912.9	J+9	1252.8 6	z+3660.1	J+7
z+2567.0	J+5	273.8 2	z+2292.7	J+4					

 $^\dagger$  Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

#### Adopted Levels, Gammas Legend Level Scheme Intensities: Type not specified γ Decay (Uncertain) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ + 1232, B J+9 z+4912.9 <sup>+</sup> 109<sub>3,1</sub> J+7 z+3660.1 1 1038.9 <sup>ی</sup>ئی J+5 8.801 z+2567.0 J+4 z+2292.7 34,1 der, / J+3 z+1538.1 ۇچى چې J+2 z+1193.9 \* <sup>3</sup>65,4 z+677.0 z+311.6 w+95 <u>J+1</u> J -8-8 146 ns 12 ŝ Ś \_z+20.9 <u>.</u> w z

<sup>88</sup><sub>43</sub>Tc<sub>45</sub>

### Adopted Levels, Gammas



 $^{88}_{43}{
m Tc}_{45}$