

Coulomb excitation 1973Bo24,1964Al28

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson and W. D. Kulp(a)	NDS 129, 1 (2015)		27-Jul-2015

1956Fa29: $E(\alpha)=4.4$ MeV.**1959Al04:** $E(^{14}\text{N})=16\text{-}26$ MeV and $E(^{20}\text{Ne})=23$ MeV.**1964Al28:** $E(^{14}\text{N})=16.1$ MeV, measured $\gamma(\theta)$.**1973Bo24:** $E(^{35}\text{Cl})=60$ MeV, measured excitation function, Ge(Li) detector. ^{87}Rb Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0 402.6 2	3/2 ⁻ 5/2 ⁻	0.078 ns +11-60	B(E2)↑=0.0056 5 J [‡] : A ₂ =-0.148 27 consistent only with J=5/2 (1964Al28). T _{1/2} : from B(E2)=0.0056 5 and δ(402)=-0.24 +9-12, the level half-life is 0.080 ns +11-60; B(E2)↑: weighted average of 0.0054 6 (1973Bo24), 0.0058 12 (1956Fa29), and 0.0073 25 (1959Al04, with ΔE _γ from a general comment).

[†] Nominal value from ^{87}Rb Adopted Levels.[‡] From ^{87}Rb Adopted Levels. $\gamma(^{87}\text{Rb})$

E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _γ	I _γ	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ	α [†]	Comments
402.6	5/2 ⁻	402.58 2	100	0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.24 +9-12	0.00411 18	α(K)=0.00364 16; α(L)=0.000398 19; α(M)=6.6×10 ⁻⁵ 3 α(N)=7.4×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(O)=3.20×10 ⁻⁷ 13 δ: from A ₂ =-0.148 27 from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1964Al28). 1964Al28 also report another δ=-1.70 +35-40, but rule it out because the resulting B(M1)(W.u.)=8×10 ⁻⁵ is considered to be unacceptably low.

[†] Additional information 1.

Coulomb excitation 1973Bo24,1964Al28Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

