#### $^{87}\mathbf{Rb}(\mathbf{n,n'}\gamma)$ 1980Ba29

History										
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date							
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson and W. D. Kulp(a)	NDS 129, 1 (2015)	27-Jul-2015							

Data are from 1980Ba29, E(n)=0.55-2.1 MeV,  $\gamma$  excitation functions measured and compared with Hauser-Feshbach calculations. 1972To16: E(n)=0.3-2.2 MeV, measured neutrons (BF3-detector) and  $\gamma$ 's.  $\gamma$ 's above 1800 keV were not analyzed because of interference with background, 85Rb lines also present.

## 1973Ba25: E(n)=0.12-1.91 MeV, neutron time-of-flight spectrometer.

## <sup>87</sup>Rb Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	Comments				
0.0	3/2-					
402.56 6	$5/2^{-}$					
845.42 10	$(1/2)^{-}$	$J^{\pi}$ : The authors state that the 845 keV $\gamma$ excitation function leads to a better fit for $1/2^{-}$ than for $3/2^{-}$ .				
1349.6? 10						
1389.72 9	$(3/2)^{-}$	$J^{\pi}$ : L(p,p')=2 allows 1/2 <sup>-</sup> to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> . $\gamma$ excitation rules out 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> , and 7/2 <sup>-</sup> .				
1462.99 <i>15</i>	(1/2)-	$J^{\pi}$ : L(p,p')=2 allows 1/2 <sup>-</sup> to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> . L( <sup>3</sup> He,d)=1 limits to 1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup> and the Hauser-Feshbach fit in 1980Ba29 narrows this to 1/2 <sup>-</sup> .				
1577.6 3	9/2+	$J^{\pi}$ : $J^{\pi}$ is fit best by $J^{\pi}=11/2^+$ in the Hauser-Feshbach analysis, but $J^{\pi}=9/2^+$ is also allowed. Note also that the deexciting 1578 $\gamma$ may be from the $1/2^-, 3/2^-$ level at 1578 keV, and the 1175 $\gamma$ is the one measured, not the 1578 $\gamma$ .				
1740.60 17	$(3/2)^{-}$	$J^{\pi}$ : L(p,p')=2 allows 1/2 <sup>-</sup> to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> . $\gamma$ excitation rules out 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> , and 7/2 <sup>-</sup> .				
1950.0 <i>3</i>	$(1/2)^{\ddagger}$					
1999.3? 7	(1/2)‡					
1777.3: 7	(1/2)					

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>87</sup>Rb Adopted Levels, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From comparison of  $\gamma$  excitation functions with Hauser-Feshbach calculations.

### $\gamma(^{87}\text{Rb})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	Eγ	Iγ	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
402.56	5/2-	402.56 6		0.0	3/2-		
845.42	$(1/2)^{-}$	845.4 1		0.0	3/2-		
1349.6?		947.0 <sup>†</sup>		402.56	$5/2^{-}$		$E_{\gamma}$ : from 1973Ba25 only, no uncertainty is given.
1389.72	$(3/2)^{-}$	987.2 2	24	402.56	$5/2^{-}$		,
		1389.7 <i>1</i>	100	0.0	$3/2^{-}$		
1462.99	$(1/2)^{-}$	1060.4 2	15	402.56	5/2-		
		1463.0 2	100	0.0	$3/2^{-}$		
1577.6	9/2+	1175.0 3	100	402.56	5/2-		$E_{\gamma}$ : Note that the 1175 $\gamma$ from the 1578 level may be influenced by an 1175 $\gamma$ in <sup>85</sup> Rb and also by the 1175 $\gamma$ from the $1/2^{-},3/2^{-}$ member of the 1578 level doublet.
		1578.0 <i>3</i>	4	0.0	3/2-	[E3]	$E_{\gamma}$ : Note that the branching ratio for this transition is significantly smaller than the branching ratios for both 1578 $\gamma$ transitions from the 1578 doublet in the Adopted Levels. This suggests that one or both of the adopted branchings may be incorrect.
1740.60	$(3/2)^{-}$	894.2 8	2	845.42	$(1/2)^{-}$		
		1337.9 <i>3</i>	36	402.56	$5/2^{-}$		
		1740.7 2	100	0.0	3/2-		
1950.0	(1/2)	1547.4 <i>3</i>		402.56	$5/2^{-}$		
		1949.5 <sup>†</sup> 8		0.0	$3/2^{-}$		
1999.3?	(1/2)	1999.3 <sup>†</sup> 7		0.0	3/2-		

<sup>†</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

1

# <sup>87</sup>Rb(n,n'γ) 1980Ba29

Legend

### Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

 $-- \triangleright \gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)



<sup>87</sup><sub>37</sub>Rb<sub>50</sub>