	$\frac{^{192}\mathbf{Os}(^{82}\mathbf{Se},\mathbf{X}\gamma)}{^{102}\mathbf{Se}(^{102}\mathbf{Se},\mathbf{X}\gamma)}$	2004Zh27	
	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson and W. D. Kulp(a)	NDS 129, 1 (2015)	27-Jul-2015

192Os(82Se,x γ) with beam energy E=460 MeV. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ with the 4 π spectrometer GASP consisting of 40 Compton-suppressed, large-volume Ge detectors and of an inner BGO ball acting as a multiplicity filter and total-energy spectrometer. Deep inelastic reaction. The level scheme of 2004Zh27 is also given in 2005Lu07.

Shell model calculations suggest that particle-hole excitations across the N=50 neutron core become important after levels above spin 17/2. However, it is argued that some remaining discrepency between experimental results and shell model calculations may be due to not having accounted for collectivity. See 2004Zh27 for more details.

⁸⁷Rb Levels

E(level) [†]	Jπ‡	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0 [#]	3/2-		
402.60 [#] 10	$5/2^{-}$	0.08 ns 5	
1577.91 [#] 15	9/2+	6 ns 1	$T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels.
3001.0 [#] 4	$(11/2)^+$		J ^{π} : based on systematics of surrounding levels in ⁸⁷ Rb. (11/2) ⁺ is also listed in table I with final level for 408.0 γ . π from Adopted Levels.
3409.03 [#] 18	(13/2 ⁺)		J^{π} : Inferred from R(ADO) of 1831 γ assuming (Q) to be E2. It is suggested that the somewhat smaller than expected value may be due to a loss of alignment due to higher lying isomeric states.
3644.03 [#] 20	$(15/2^+)$		
4150.63 [#] 23	$(17/2^+)$		
4855.0 [#] 4	$(19/2^+)$		
5026.7 [#] 4	$(21/2^+)$		
5481.2 [#] 5	$(23/2^+)$		
6565.9 [#] 6			
6821.7 [#] 6			

 † From least-squares fit to Ey's (by evaluator).

[‡] Deduced by 2004Zh27 from values of R(ADO), wherever possible. Differences from Adopted Levels noted.

Band(A): Yrast sequence.

					$\frac{192}{\text{Os}(^{82}\text{Se},X\gamma)} \qquad 2004\text{Zh}27 \text{ (continued)}$		04Zh27 (conti	nued)	
γ ⁽⁸⁷ Rb)									
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger \#}$	Ι _γ @	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$\mathbf{E}_f = \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. [‡]	δ	α ^{&}	Comments	
171.7 <i>1</i> 235.0 <i>1</i> 255.8 5	33 7 54 11 7.0 14	5026.7 3644.03 6821.7	(21/2 ⁺) (15/2 ⁺)	4855.0 (19/2 ⁺) 3409.03 (13/2 ⁺) 6565.9	(D+Q) (D+Q)			R(ADO)=0.60 7. R(ADO)=0.56 6.	
402.6 1	100 20	402.60	5/2-	0.0 3/2-	M1+E2	-0.24 12	0.00411 18	α(K)=0.00364 16; α(L)=0.000398 19; α(M)=6.6×10-5 3 α(N)=7.4×10-6 4; α(O)=3.20×10-7 13 R(ADO)=1.05 6. Mult.: From Adopted Levels and consistent with R(ADO). δ: From Adopted Levels.	
408.0 5	7.0 14	3409.03	$(13/2^+)$	3001.0 (11/2)+					
454.5 <i>3</i> 506 6 <i>1</i>	19 4 50 10	5481.2 4150.63	$(23/2^+)$ $(17/2^+)$	$5026.7 (21/2^+)$ $3644.03 (15/2^+)$	(D+Q) D(+Q)			R(ADO)=1.0 3. R(ADO)=0.79 10	
704.4 3	26.5	4855.0	$(17/2^{+})$ $(19/2^{+})$	$4150.63 (17/2^+)$	(D+Q)			R(ADO)=0.7970. R(ADO)=1.2920.	
875.9 <i>5</i> 1084.7 <i>5</i>	7.0 <i>14</i> 7.0 <i>14</i>	5026.7 6565.9	$(21/2^+)$	$\begin{array}{c} 4150.63 & (17/2^+) \\ 5481.2 & (23/2^+) \end{array}$					
1175.3 <i>I</i>	74 15	1577.91	9/2+	402.60 5/2-	M2		8.04×10 ⁻⁴	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(K) = 0.000712 \ 10; \ \alpha(L) = 7.71 \times 10^{-5} \ 11; \\ &\alpha(M) = 1.272 \times 10^{-5} \ 18 \\ &\alpha(N) = 1.447 \times 10^{-6} \ 21; \ \alpha(O) = 6.31 \times 10^{-8} \ 9; \\ &\alpha(IPF) = 6.66 \times 10^{-7} \ 10 \\ &R(ADO) = 1.02 \ 7. \\ &Mult.: \ From \ Adopted \ Levels \ and \ consistent \ with \ the \ Q \\ &multipolarity \ determined \ from \ R(ADO). \end{aligned}$	
1211.0 5	7.0 14	4855.0	$(19/2^+)$	$3644.03 (15/2^+)$					
1340.5 5	7.0 14	6821.7 3001.0	$(11/2)^+$	$5481.2 (23/2^{+})$ 1577 01 0/2 ⁺					
1831.1 <i>I</i>	63 13	3409.03	(11/2) $(13/2^+)$	1577.91 9/2+	(Q)			R(ADO)=1.13 7.	

[†] Cross γ -ray coincidences (the γ rays coming from the decay of the "target-like" fragments in coincidence with those coming from the "beam-like" reaction products) were used to distinguish between the different reaction partners, due to the nature of the binary reaction mechanism.

[‡] For the angular distribution of oriented nuclei, $R(ADO)=I\gamma(34^{\circ})/I\gamma(90^{\circ})$. Stretched quadrupole ($\Delta J=2$) transitions have R(ADO) values ≈ 1.4 , whereas $R(ADO)\approx 0.8$ for stretched dipole; stretched quadrupole transitions cannot be distinguished from $\Delta J=0$ dipole transitions or certain M1+E2 admixtures of $\Delta J=1$ transitions (see 2004Zh27).

[#] 2004Zh27 state that uncertainty ranges from 0.1-0.5 keV; Based on this statement, uncertainties are assigned with the following criterion: $\Delta E\gamma = 0.1$ keV for $I\gamma > 30$; $\Delta E\gamma = 0.3$ keV for $I0 \le RI \le 30$; $\Delta E\gamma = 0.5$ keV for $I\gamma < 10$.

[@] 2004Zh27 quote that the uncertainties in relative intensities are within 20%.

& Additional information 1.

 \mathbf{N}



 $^{87}_{37}$ Rb $_{50}$

¹⁹²Os(⁸²Se,Xγ) 2004Zh27



 $^{87}_{37}{
m Rb}_{50}$