

$^{86}\text{Kr}(n,\gamma)$  E=th 1977Je03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson and W. D. Kulp(a)		NDS 129, 1 (2015)	27-Jul-2015

This scheme is from 1977Je03 and is a tentative one with an intensity imbalance.  $\gamma$ -detection with Ge pair and Compton-suppressed spectrometers.

 $^{87}\text{Kr}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
531.89 12	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1475.96 15	1/2,3/2	
2005.2 5		
2122.46? 22	1/2,3/2	
2371.8 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1/2,3/2	
(5515.17 25)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	E(level): neutron-capture state. $J^\pi$ : from L=0 neutron capture on 0 <sup>+</sup> target.

<sup>†</sup> From primary  $\gamma$ 's, except for the ground state and first-excited level which are from  $^{87}\text{Kr}$  Adopted Levels and capture state. Primary  $\gamma$ 's are assumed to be dipole transitions.

<sup>‡</sup> The authors consider this level as uncertain because of intensity imbalance. Not identical to 2372.36 level observed in  $\beta$ - decay, because the 952 and 2372  $\gamma$ 's with  $I_\gamma/I_\gamma(1840.10)=2.0$  and 2.6, respectively, should otherwise have been seen here.

 $\gamma(^{87}\text{Kr})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
<sup>x</sup> 181.59 <sup>‡</sup> 21	7 2				
<sup>x</sup> 341.30 <sup>‡</sup> 11	15 3				
531.91 13	71 11	531.89	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 773.1 3	9 3				
<sup>x</sup> 866.15 23	33 6				
<sup>x</sup> 892.15 21	23 5				
944.2 3	12 5	1475.96	1/2,3/2	531.89	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 1055.4 <sup>‡</sup> 3	10 3				
<sup>x</sup> 1064.8 <sup>‡</sup> @ 4	6 3				
<sup>x</sup> 1304.9 <sup>‡</sup> 3	15 4				
1475.94 17	89 12	1475.96	1/2,3/2	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
1839.8 4	19 5	2371.8	1/2,3/2	531.89	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
2005.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	9 5	2005.2		0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 2062.9 <sup>‡</sup> 4	22 9				
2122.4 <sup>#</sup> 3	26 7	2122.46?	1/2,3/2	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 2295.2 <sup>‡</sup> 3	29 8				
<sup>x</sup> 2331.5 <sup>‡</sup> 5	10 5				
<sup>x</sup> 2348.2 <sup>‡</sup> 4	28 12				
<sup>x</sup> 2887.8 4	23 8				
3143.3 4	40 11	(5515.17)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2371.8	1/2,3/2
3392.6 <sup>#</sup> 3	75 18	(5515.17)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2122.46?	1/2,3/2
4039.6 6	38 15	(5515.17)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1475.96	1/2,3/2
4983.1 4	25 7	(5515.17)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	531.89	1/2 <sup>+</sup>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{86}\text{Kr}(n,\gamma)$  E=th 1977Je03 (continued) $\gamma(^{87}\text{Kr})$  (continued)

† Relative values.

‡ Assignment to  $^{87}\text{Kr}$  is uncertain.

# The 3393-keV  $\gamma$  ray to the 2122-keV level is 3 times as intense as the 2122-keV  $\gamma$  ray from this level. This imbalance suggests that part of the intensity could be placed elsewhere.

@ There is also an unplaced 1064.75 15  $\gamma$  transition reported in  $^{87}\text{Br}$   $\beta^-$  decay.

& The authors state that the assignment to  $^{87}\text{Kr}$  is uncertain; however, a transition with this energy from the 2005 keV level is established in  $\beta^-$  decay.

x  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

 $^{86}\text{Kr}(n,\gamma)$  E=th 1977Je03

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

