

^{86}Br β^- decay (55.1 s) [1978LeZA](#),[1975Hu02](#),[1972Ac01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alexandru Negret, Balraj Singh		NDS 124, 1 (2015)	30-Nov-2014

Parent: ^{86}Br : $E=0$; $J^\pi=(1^-)$; $T_{1/2}=55.1$ s 4; $Q(\beta^-)=7633$ 3; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{86}Br - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From ^{86}Br Adopted Levels.

^{86}Br - $Q(\beta^-)$: From [2012Wa38](#).

[1978LeZA](#) (Table of Isotopes-1978): the decay scheme is adopted from a private communication in 1977 from S. Prussin (University of California, Berkeley). In comparison to earlier studies by [1975Hu02](#) and [1972Ac01](#), about 30 additional gamma rays and six new levels were reported in this communication, and the precision of E_γ and I_γ values was significantly better than that in previous studies. Consultation by one of the evaluators (in February 2001) with S. Prussin suggested that their work was not published, and a copy of the private communication submitted to [1978LeZA](#) was no longer available. A copy was neither available from LBNL Isotopes Project. But in view of better energy and intensity precisions in this experiment, evaluators adopt most of the results of this study as given in [1978LeZA](#) compilation.

[1975Hu02](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , $T_{1/2}$; no level scheme is given in this paper.

[1972Ac01](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , $T_{1/2}$. Mass-separated source.

[1971Er15](#) (also [1970ErZZ](#)): measured E_γ , I_γ , $T_{1/2}$.

[2014Fi09](#): Modular Total Absorption Spectrometer (MTAS) system at HRIBF-ORNL facility used to obtain true beta feedings and average energy of emitted γ radiation as 4110 keV in contrast to 3260 keV from the decay scheme presented here. Authors introduced 65 pseudo levels to fit the observed total absorption spectrum.

Level scheme is mainly from [1972Ac01](#), with some modifications in [1978LeZA](#). Total absorption spectra in [2014Fi09](#) suggest that this level scheme is incomplete, and further state-of-the-art gamma-ray studies are needed to improve upon the knowledge of this decay scheme, and obtain some level of consistency with the total gamma absorption (TAGS) spectra.

Others:

[1988Le21](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , yields.

[1979Ai05](#) (also [1982Ai01](#)): β and $\beta\gamma$ coin.

[1977Ki14](#): $T_{1/2}$.

[1975Ai11](#) (also [1973Jo02](#)): total γ absorption spectra.

[1972Nu03](#), [1972Hi13](#): $T_{1/2}$.

[1972KrYX](#): decay properties and yields.

[1970Lu06](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , $T_{1/2}$. An additional 4.5-s activity was assigned to ^{86}Br based on the initial growth of $^{1564}\gamma$. This activity has not been confirmed in any of the later studies.

[1966Wi03](#): γ , $\gamma\gamma$, β , $\beta\gamma$ coin.

[1962St13](#): identified ^{86}Br nuclide, $T_{1/2}$.

[Additional information 1](#).

 ^{86}Kr Levels

E(level)	J^π [‡]	E(level)	J^π [‡]	E(level)	J^π [‡]	E(level)	J^π [‡]
0	0 ⁺	2916.82 [†] 11	(3 ⁻)	5313.96 [†] 20		6211.8 3	1
1564.58 6	2 ⁺	2926.13 8	(2) ⁺	5406.10 23	(1,2)	6720.5 6	(1,2)
2250.00 9	4 ⁺	3009.41 [†] 11	(1,2 ⁺)	5517.41 18	1 ⁻	6768.29 22	(1,2)
2349.45 7	2 ⁺	3098.83 9	3 ⁻	6089.1 [†] 5	(1,2)		
2850.69 8	(2,3) ⁺	4315.80 8	(2 ⁻)	6160.5 4	1 ⁻		

[†] Level proposed in [1978LeZA](#) only.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

^{86}Br β^- decay (55.1 s) 1978LeZA,1975Hu02,1972Ac01 (continued) β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\dagger}$	Log $ft^\dagger$	Comments
(865 3)	6768.29	0.91 12	5.7	av $E\beta=300.7$ 14
(913 3)	6720.5	0.068 14	6.1	av $E\beta=319.9$ 18
(1421 3)	6211.8	0.83 14	5.2	av $E\beta=540.6$ 14
(1473 3)	6160.5	0.16 2	6.7	av $E\beta=562.2$ 16
(1544 3)	6089.1	0.062 14	7.2	
2.2×10^3 3	5517.41	4.4 5	5.8	av $E\beta=855.4$ 15
(2227 3)	5406.10	6.0 6	5.9	av $E\beta=907.5$ 15
(2319 3)	5313.96	0.69 10	7.2	
(3317 3)	4315.80	51 5	5.4	av $E\beta=1422.9$ 15
(4534 [#] 3)	3098.83	<0.7	>7.0	av $E\beta=2007.3$ 15
(4624 3)	3009.41	0.62 15	7.2	
(4707 3)	2926.13	1.4 5	7.3	av $E\beta=2090.5$ 15
(4716 3)	2916.82	0.53 9	7.2	No β feeding is expected to this level. Apparent feeding of 0.53% is most likely due to unobserved γ rays from higher levels feeding this level.
(4782 3)	2850.69	2.0 4	7.3	av $E\beta=2127.1$ 15
(5284 3)	2349.45	3.7 5	7.3	av $E\beta=2368.9$ 15
(5383 [#] 3)	2250.00	0.27 5		No β feeding is expected to this level. Apparent feeding of 0.27% is most likely due to unobserved γ rays from higher levels feeding this level.
(6068 3)	1564.58	13 2	7.2	av $E\beta=2748.5$ 15
(7633 3)	0	15 8	7.5	av $E\beta=3501.8$ 15
$I\beta^-$: 1966Wi03 deduced from Kurie plots a g.s. β transition of roughly the same intensity as $I\beta$ to the 1564 level and estimated $I\beta\approx 15\%$. 1979Al05 confirmed the existence of a g.s. branch, but no intensity was extracted. 50% uncertainty is assigned by the evaluators.				

[†] Since the decay scheme is considered (by the evaluator) as incomplete, all β^- feedings and associated log ft values should be considered as approximate. The log ft values given here are not used in spin-parity assignments for levels in ^{86}Kr .

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{86}\text{Kr})$

I_γ normalization: Based on $Ti(\gamma \text{ rays to g.s.})=85$ 7, adopting $I\beta(\text{g.s.})=15\%$ 7. Note that 11.5 units of relative intensity is unplaced.

Assuming half of this intensity feeds the ground state, normalization factor will be 0.59.

Following γ rays with $E_\gamma(I_\gamma)$ reported by 1971Er15 only are omitted: 533.2 5 (8.9), 2998 1 (3.6), 3342 (7.3), 3622 2 (6.6), 3762 2 (5.8). The intensities reported by 1971Er15 are fairly large which should have been seen in 1975Hu02, 1972Ac01 or results cited in 1978LeZA compilation.

$\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences from 1966Wi03. The $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data using pair of Ge(Li) detectors mentioned in 1978LeZA compilation.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
501.25 7	2.76 25	2850.69	(2,3) ⁺	2349.45	2 ⁺	Additional information 6.
^x 538.04 [‡] 17	0.61 [‡] 5					
576.72 [‡] 8	0.79 [‡] 6	2926.13	(2) ⁺	2349.45	2 ⁺	
660.02 [‡] 10	1.33 [‡] 7	3009.41	(1,2) ⁺	2349.45	2 ⁺	
666.77 [‡] 7	1.40 [‡] 5	2916.82	(3 ⁻)	2250.00	4 ⁺	
685.37 [‡] 7	1.84 [‡] 5	2250.00	4 ⁺	1564.58	2 ⁺	
^x 749.5 7	1.1 2					Placement from 3099 level in 1972Ac01 is rejected here since γ is not reported in 1978LeZA, 1975Hu02 and in (n,n' γ). Assignment of this γ to ^{86}Br decay is considered uncertain.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{86}Br β^- decay (55.1 s) 1978LeZA,1975Hu02,1972Ac01 (continued) $\gamma(^{86}\text{Kr})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †@	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
784.96 8 x803.3 3	5.77 15 4.4 10	2349.45	2 ⁺	1564.58	2 ⁺	Additional information 4. Placement from 6212 level in 1972Ac01 is rejected here since γ is not reported in 1978LeZA, and in (γ, γ') . 1975Hu02 discuss an 802.5 γ and its complex peak structure with contributions from several other isotopes. Also $E_\gamma=802.5$ fits poorly in the level scheme. Assignment of this γ to ^{86}Br decay is considered uncertain.
x899.81 ‡# 27	0.42 ‡ 8					
x1155.92 ‡ 17	0.71 ‡ 8					
1217.02 9	10.66 23	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	3098.83	3 ⁻	Additional information 11.
1286.08 9	12.40 24	2850.69	(2,3) ⁺	1564.58	2 ⁺	Additional information 7.
1306.57 ‡ 25	0.62 ‡ 7	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	3009.41	(1,2 ⁺)	
1361.63 10	16.4 4	2926.13	(2) ⁺	1564.58	2 ⁺	Additional information 8.
1389.73 9	16.4 3	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	2926.13	(2) ⁺	Additional information 12.
1398.48 ‡ 22	0.55 ‡ 10	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	2916.82	(3 ⁻)	
1465.09 10	12.0 3	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	2850.69	(2,3) ⁺	Additional information 13.
1534.24 8	12.5 3	3098.83	3 ⁻	1564.58	2 ⁺	Additional information 10.
1564.60 7	100.0 30	1564.58	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 3.
x1770.3 3	0.60 7					Tentative placement from a 3334 level in earlier NDS evaluations is omitted here since it is not confirmed in other experiments.
1966.27 11	10.6 3	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	2349.45	2 ⁺	Additional information 2.
2349.37 12	15.7 4	2349.45	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 14.
2387.79 ‡ 18	1.12 ‡ 12	5313.96		2926.13	(2) ⁺	Additional information 5.
2418.24 ‡ 23	1.3 ‡ 5	5517.41	1 ⁻	3098.83	3 ⁻	
x2471.3 ‡ 3	0.72 ‡ 10					
2480.4 ‡ 5	0.64 ‡ 10	5406.10	(1,2)	2926.13	(2) ⁺	
2751.06 15	30.8 9	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	1564.58	2 ⁺	Additional information 15.
2925.93 20	3.3 4	2926.13	(2) ⁺	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 9.
x2973.2 ‡ 4	0.78 ‡ 10					
3009.0 ‡ 3	1.57 ‡ 12	3009.41	(1,2 ⁺)	0	0 ⁺	
3064.38 ‡#& 19	0.38 ‡ 4	5313.96		2250.00	4 ⁺	
x3240.6 ‡ 4	0.49 ‡ 10					
x3573.3 ‡ 4	1.36 ‡ 23					
3758.8 ‡ 3	1.28 ‡ 15	6768.29	(1,2)	3009.41	(1,2 ⁺)	
x3783.1 ‡ 6	1.5 ‡ 3					
x4136.5 ‡ 3	0.7 ‡ 3					
4316.5 ‡& 6	0.19 ‡ 10	4315.80	(2 ⁻)	0	0 ⁺	
x4401.2 ‡ 3	1.37 ‡ 14					
x4415.3 ‡# 5	0.33 ‡ 7					
x4721.0 ‡ 3	0.71 ‡ 7					
x4885.12 ‡ 21	1.98 ‡ 10					
x5032.6 ‡# 6	0.22 ‡ 5					
5405.80 25	9.0 5	5406.10	(1,2)	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 16.
x5466.1 ‡# 7	0.10 ‡ 3					
5517.58 25	5.8 3	5517.41	1 ⁻	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 17.
x5575.0 ‡# 4	0.12 ‡ 3					
6088.9 ‡ 5	0.10 ‡ 2	6089.1	(1,2)	0	0 ⁺	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{86}Br β^- decay (55.1 s) [1978LeZA](#),[1975Hu02](#),[1972Ac01](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{86}\text{Kr})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
6160.3 4	0.25 3	6160.5	1 ⁻	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 18.
6211.6 3	1.34 20	6211.8	1	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 19.
6720.2 6	0.11 2	6720.5	(1,2)	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 20.
6768.0 3	0.19 2	6768.29	(1,2)	0	0 ⁺	Additional information 21.

[†] From [1978LeZA](#). Values from [1975Hu02](#), [1972Ac01](#) and [1971Er15](#) are in general agreement with those in [1978LeZA](#), but are less precise, especially in [1975Hu02](#) and [1971Er15](#). No uncertainties on I_γ values were given in [1971Er15](#).

[‡] γ from [1978LeZA](#) only.

Uncertain assignment in [1978LeZA](#).

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.62 5.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{86}\text{Br} \beta^-$ decay (55.1 s) 1978LeZA,1975Hu02,1972Ac01