

$^{86}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (14.3 s) 1980Ze04

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alexandru Negret, Balraj Singh		NDS 124, 1 (2015)	30-Nov-2014

Parent: ^{86}Se : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=14.3$ s 3; $Q(\beta^-)=5129$ 4; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{86}Se - $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels for ^{86}Se .

^{86}Se - $Q(\beta^-)$: From 2012Wa38.

1980Ze04 (also 1978ZeZZ): Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$.

1982Li09: measured absolute γ branching.

Others: 1977Pf01, 1975Hu02, 1973Ta19, 1960Sa05.

 ^{86}Br Levels

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	Comments
0	(1 ⁻)	
5.1 3	(2 ⁻)	E(level): deduced from the differences of the 430.5 γ -435.5 γ and 1042.0 γ -1047.1 γ doublets. Another evidence for the existence of this level is from the depopulation of the 207.5 level by the 154.2 γ -48.3 γ cascade.
53.3 3	(3 ⁻)	J^π : log <i>ft</i> value of 6.2 is in conflict with (3 ⁻) proposed from in-beam γ -ray studies.
207.39 24	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	
298.2 4	(0 ⁻ to 4 ⁻)	
435.65 25	(1 ⁻ ,2)	
1047.2 3	(1 ⁻ ,2)	
1170.4 4	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	
2446.3 3	1 ⁺	
2665.1 4	1 ⁺	E(level): the level may be at 2660 if 2660 γ feeds the g.s..

[†] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-^\dagger$	Log <i>ft</i>	Comments
(2464 4)	2665.1	21.6 23	4.57 5	av $E\beta=1020.2$ 19
(2683 4)	2446.3	69 7	4.22 5	av $E\beta=1123.3$ 19
(3959 4)	1170.4	0.82 20	6.88 11	av $E\beta=1732.5$ 20
(4082 [‡] 4)	1047.2	0.4 4	7.2 5	av $E\beta=1791.7$ 20
(4693 [‡] 4)	435.65	0.6 6	7.3 5	av $E\beta=2086.5$ 20
(4831 [‡] 4)	298.2	<0.1	>8.2	av $E\beta=2152.9$ 20
(4922 4)	207.39	1.7 7	6.98 18	av $E\beta=2196.8$ 20
(5076 [‡] 4)	53.3	12 4	6.19 15	av $E\beta=2271.2$ 20 log <i>ft</i> value of 6.2 is too low to be realistic for 0 ⁺ to (3 ⁻) β transition. It is possible that there are unobserved transitions from higher energy levels feeding the 53-keV level.
(5124 [‡] 4)	5.1	<5	>8.5 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=2292.1$ 20
(5129 [‡] 4)	0	<20	>6.0	av $E\beta=2297.0$ 20

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{86}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (14.3 s) 1980Ze04 (continued) $\gamma(^{86}\text{Br})$

I_γ normalization: from 1982Li09. Other: 0.44 4 (1980Ze04). Both from comparison with 1564.9 γ (in ^{86}Kr), corrected by the evaluators to the adopted $I_\gamma(\text{absolute})(1564.9\gamma \text{ in } ^{86}\text{Br} \beta^- \text{ decay})=62\% 5$.

The decay scheme seems incomplete. Some problems occur with the 5.1 level: it has been assumed in this evaluation that the 5.1 level decays completely by γ decay to the g.s. in agreement with the fact that only one half-life has been observed for the β^- decay of ^{86}Br to ^{86}Kr and with the probable E1 or M1 nature of the 5.1 γ . 1980Ze04 proposed $J^\pi(\text{g.s.})=(3^-)$, $J^\pi(5.1)=(1^-,2^-)$ based on the lack of a g.s. transition from the 2446 level. However, a β^- branch to the ^{86}Kr g.s. seems to be established in $^{86}\text{Br} \beta^-$ decay, which limits $J^\pi(\text{g.s.})=0,1,2^-$.

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\ddagger@$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\alpha\&$	Comments
5.1		5.1	(2 ⁻)	0	(1 ⁻)			E_γ : from level energy difference. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: 155 22 if 2660 γ feeds the g.s.; 206 22 if 2660 γ feeds the 5.1 level. $I\beta^-(\text{g.s.})=2.3 23$ has been used to yield $Ti(\gamma \text{ rays to g.s.})=97.7 23$.
48.3 3	35.7 18	53.3	(3 ⁻)	5.1	(2 ⁻)	M1	0.889 21	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.785 18$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0884 21$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0141 4$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00130 3$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00130 3$
154.2 4	7.0 4	207.39	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	53.3	(3 ⁻)	[M1,E2]	0.11 7	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.09 7$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.011 8$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0018 13$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00016 11$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00016 11$
207.5 3	18.1 9	207.39	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	0	(1 ⁻)	[M1+E2]	0.038 22	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.033 19$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0039 23$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0006 4$; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=6.E-5 4$ $\alpha(\text{N})=6.E-5 4$
228.4 4	3.9 2	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)	207.39	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)			
293.2 4	2.4 1	298.2	(0 ⁻ to 4 ⁻)	5.1	(2 ⁻)			
382.4 3	17.8 9	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)	53.3	(3 ⁻)			
430.5 4	2.3 1	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)	5.1	(2 ⁻)			
435.5 4	1.8 1	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)	0	(1 ⁻)			
611.6 5	0.7	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)			
749.0 4	2.5 1	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	298.2	(0 ⁻ to 4 ⁻)			
839.6 5	0.7	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	207.39	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)			
993.8 4	7.7 4	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	53.3	(3 ⁻)			
1042.0 4	1.8 1	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	5.1	(2 ⁻)			
1047.1 5	0.8	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)	0	(1 ⁻)			
1117.0 4	7.0 3	1170.4	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	53.3	(3 ⁻)			
1275.8 4	5.1 3	2446.3	1 ⁺	1170.4	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)			
1399.0 3	13.3 7	2446.3	1 ⁺	1047.2	(1 ⁻ ,2)			
2010.6 3	23.7 12	2446.3	1 ⁺	435.65	(1 ⁻ ,2)			
2239.0 3	17.9 9	2446.3	1 ⁺	207.39	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)			
2441.1 3	100 5	2446.3	1 ⁺	5.1	(2 ⁻)			
2660.0 ^a 3	50.2 25	2665.1	1 ⁺	5.1	(2 ⁻)			Placement of this transition to the 5.1 level is arbitrary.

[†] Uncertainty of 0.3-0.5 keV assigned (evaluators) based on a general statement by 1980Ze04, that these are 0.3 keV for strong lines and 0.5 keV for weaker lines.

[‡] $\approx 5\%$ uncertainty is assigned by the evaluators.

From Adopted Gammas.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.43 4.

$^{86}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (14.3 s) [1980Ze04](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{86}\text{Br})$ (continued)

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{86}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (14.3 s) 1980Ze04

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

