

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X}\gamma) \text{ E}=91 \text{ MeV}$ [2005Fo05](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Modified	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	08-May-2015

Includes $^{173}\text{Yb}(^{24}\text{Mg},\text{X}\gamma)$ and $^{176}\text{Yb}(^{23}\text{Na},\text{X}\gamma)$.

^{85}Br nuclide produced in fission of the compound nucleus in three independent experiments: 1. $^{173}\text{Yb}(^{24}\text{Mg},\text{X}\gamma) \text{ E}=134.5 \text{ MeV}$;
2. $^{176}\text{Yb}(^{23}\text{Na},\text{X}\gamma) \text{ E}=129 \text{ MeV}$; 3. $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X}\gamma) \text{ E}=91 \text{ MeV}$.

Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, fragment- γ coin with the Gammasphere array. For the first experiment, the array consisted of 92

Compton-suppressed large volume HPGe detectors while in the latter two, the number of Ge detectors was increased to 100.

 ^{85}Br Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$	
344.72 18	$5/2^-$	
1427.10 18	$(7/2^-)$	
1572.29 22	$(7/2,9/2)$	
1859.58 25	$(9/2,11/2)$	J^π : $(9/2^+)$ in Adopted Levels. If 1514.8 γ to $5/2^-$ level exists, then $9/2^+$ and $11/2$ are less likely, unless the level is long-lived. A $9/2^+$ level is expected in this energy region from systematics, with possible configuration= $\pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$. E(level): 2005Fo05 suggested that intensity imbalance at this state may be due to the presence of a short-lived isomer at this energy. But this conclusion is not supported in the high-spin study of 2006As07 who did not find this imbalance and ascribe the one deduced by 2005Fo05 to possible problems with the splitting the intensities of the doublet at 432.5+434.6.
2165.55 22	$(9/2,11/2)$	
2991.8 4	$(9/2 \text{ to } 13/2)$	
3326.2 3	$(11/2 \text{ to } 15/2)$	
3421.9 3	$(11/2,13/2)$	
3685.8 5	$(13/2,15/2)$	
3708.4 4	$(13/2 \text{ to } 17/2)$	
3856.4 5	$(13/2,15/2)$	
3956.1 8	$(13/2,15/2)$	
4341.9 4		
4440.6 5	$(15/2,17/2)$	
4658.0 5	$(17/2,19/2)$	
4678.8 5	$(15/2,17/2)$	
4907.9 5	$(19/2,21/2)$	

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] Assignments proposed based upon comparison with shell model calculations and with systematics of heavier odd-mass isotopes.
See Adopted Levels for somewhat different assignments.

 $\gamma(^{85}\text{Br})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
217.3 6	8 2	4658.0	$(17/2,19/2)$	4440.6	$(15/2,17/2)$
229.0 6	7 2	4907.9	$(19/2,21/2)$	4678.8	$(15/2,17/2)$
250.0 2	24 5	4907.9	$(19/2,21/2)$	4658.0	$(17/2,19/2)$
344.6 2	100 [#]	344.72	$5/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$
382.2 2	31 6	3708.4	$(13/2 \text{ to } 17/2)$	3326.2	$(11/2 \text{ to } 15/2)$
432.5 2	46 8	1859.58	$(9/2,11/2)$	1427.10	$(7/2^-)$
434.6 5	17 4	3856.4	$(13/2,15/2)$	3421.9	$(11/2,13/2)$
467.3 10	3 1	4907.9	$(19/2,21/2)$	4440.6	$(15/2,17/2)$
584.0 5	14 4	4440.6	$(15/2,17/2)$	3856.4	$(13/2,15/2)$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X}\gamma) \text{E}=91 \text{ MeV}$ 2005Fo05 (continued) $\gamma(^{85}\text{Br})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
593.2 2	54 8	2165.55	(9/2,11/2)	1572.29	(7/2,9/2)
633.5 2	24 4	4341.9		3708.4	(13/2 to 17/2)
702.0 10	<3	4658.0	(17/2,19/2)	3956.1	(13/2,15/2)
738.5 2	25 4	2165.55	(9/2,11/2)	1427.10	(7/2 ⁻)
864.5 5	12 3	3856.4	(13/2,15/2)	2991.8	(9/2 to 13/2)
949.5 6	5 2	4658.0	(17/2,19/2)	3708.4	(13/2 to 17/2)
972.4 6	8 3	4658.0	(17/2,19/2)	3685.8	(13/2,15/2)
992.8 6	5 2	4678.8	(15/2,17/2)	3685.8	(13/2,15/2)
1018.8 5	12 3	4440.6	(15/2,17/2)	3421.9	(11/2,13/2)
1082.1 5	19 3	1427.10	(7/2 ⁻)	344.72	5/2 ⁻
1132.1 5	15 3	2991.8	(9/2 to 13/2)	1859.58	(9/2,11/2)
1160.6 2	55 7	3326.2	(11/2 to 15/2)	2165.55	(9/2,11/2)
1227.5 2	75 9	1572.29	(7/2,9/2)	344.72	5/2 ⁻
1257.0 6	8 2	4678.8	(15/2,17/2)	3421.9	(11/2,13/2)
1419.3 6	5 2	2991.8	(9/2 to 13/2)	1572.29	(7/2,9/2)
1427.2 2	49 [#] 6	1427.10	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	3/2 ⁻
1514.8 [@] 10	<3	1859.58	(9/2,11/2)	344.72	5/2 ⁻
1562.3 2	42 7	3421.9	(11/2,13/2)	1859.58	(9/2,11/2)
1790.7 10	4 1	3956.1	(13/2,15/2)	2165.55	(9/2,11/2)
1826.2 5	20 3	3685.8	(13/2,15/2)	1859.58	(9/2,11/2)

[†] 2005Fo05 quote uncertainties on γ -ray energies as varying from 0.2-0.5 keV for strong transitions and from 0.6-1.0 keV for the weaker ones. The evaluators have assigned the following uncertainties: 0.2 keV for $I_\gamma > 20$, 0.5 keV for $I_\gamma = 10-20$, 0.6 keV for $I_\gamma = 5-10$ and 1.0 for $I_\gamma < 5$.

[‡] Probably obtained from $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X}\gamma)$ reaction in as ^{85}Br was only weakly populated in the other reactions.

[#] Obtained from double gate on known transitions of ^{137}Cs complementary fragment from fission of ^{226}Th .

[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{208}\text{Pb}(^{18}\text{O},\text{X}\gamma) \text{ E}=91 \text{ MeV}$ 2005Fo05

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 $\cdots\cdots\cdots\longrightarrow$ γ Decay (Uncertain)

