

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	History		Literature Cutoff Date
	Author	Citation	
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	09-Sep-2022

$Q(\beta^-)=12260$  SY;  $S(n)=3610$  SY;  $S(p)=18730$  SY;  $Q(\alpha)=-11020$  SY [2021Wa16](#)

Estimated uncertainties ([2021Wa16](#)): 400 for  $Q(\beta^-)$ , 500 for  $S(n)$ , 640 for  $S(p)$ , 700 for  $Q(\alpha)$ .

$Q(\beta^-n)=9360$  400,  $S(2n)=5660$  400,  $S(2p)=35690$  890 (syst,[2021Wa16](#)).  $Q(\beta^-2n)=4960$  400,  $Q(\beta^-3n)=1585$  400 (syst, deduced by evaluator from mass excesses in [2021Wa16](#)).

[2010Oh02](#):  $^{84}\text{Zn}$  nuclide identified in  $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F})$  and  $\text{Pb}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F})$  reactions with a  $^{238}\text{U}^{86+}$  beam energy of 345 MeV/nucleon produced by the cascade operation of the RIBF-RIKEN accelerator complex of the linear accelerator RILAC and four cyclotrons RRC, fRC, IRC and SRC. Identification of  $^{84}\text{Zn}$  nuclei was made on the basis of magnetic rigidity, time-of-flight and energy loss of the fragments using BigRIPS fragment separator. Based on  $A/Q$  spectrum and  $Z$  versus  $A/Q$  plot, 22 counts were assigned to  $^{84}\text{Zn}$  isotope. Probability of misidentification of  $^{84}\text{Zn}$  isotope <0.001% ([2010Oh02](#)).

[2014XuZZ](#):  $^{84}\text{Zn}$  produced in  $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}), E=345$  MeV/nucleon at RIBF-RIKEN facility. Measured  $\beta$ -decay curve,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $T_{1/2}$  of decay of  $^{84}\text{Zn}$ , and  $\% \beta^-n$  or Pn.

Theoretical calculations: seven primary references for structure and four primary references for decay characteristics retrieved from the NSR database at [www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/](http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/) are listed in 'document' records which can be accessed via web retrieval of ENSDF database [www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/](http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/).

[Additional information 1.](#)

 $^{84}\text{Zn}$  LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A  $^1\text{H}(^{85}\text{Ga},2p\gamma)$

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	$0^+$	53.6 ms 81	A	$\% \beta^- = 100$ ; $\% \beta^-n = 73$ 26 ( <a href="#">2014XuZZ</a> ); $\% \beta^-2n = ?$ ; $\% \beta^-3n = ?$ $\% \beta^-n$ from $\beta^-$ , $\gamma$ data for $^{84}\text{Zn} \rightarrow ^{83}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{82}\text{Ge}$ $\beta^-n$ decay chain ( <a href="#">2014XuZZ</a> ). Theoretical $T_{1/2} = 42.7$ ms, $\% \beta^-n = 50$ , $\% \beta^-2n = 1$ , $\% \beta^-3n = 0$ ( <a href="#">2019Mo01</a> ). Theoretical $T_{1/2} = 44.1$ ms, $\% \beta^-n = 31.5$ , 30.7; $\% \beta^-2n = 0.52$ , 0.68; $\% \beta^-3n = 0$ , 0.003 ( <a href="#">2021Mi17</a> , two values for different fission barriers). $T_{1/2}$ : from <a href="#">2014XuZZ</a> , from (108.5y) $\beta$ -coin data. Other: 65.0 ms 60, from analysis of implant- $\beta$ correlated decay curve with theoretical $\% \beta^-n$ values for $^{84}\text{Zn}$ decay, half-lives and $\% \beta^-n$ values daughter and grand-daughter decays. Evaluators prefer value from $\beta\gamma$ -coin data, although, 108.5y from $^{84}\text{Zn}$ to $^{84}\text{Ga}$ remains to be confirmed. Weighted average of the two values is 61 ms 6. Measured $\sigma = 43$ pb ( <a href="#">2010Oh02</a> ), systematic uncertainty $\approx 50\%$ . Configuration = $\pi f_{5/2}^2 0_+$ ( <a href="#">2017Sh42</a> ).
599 20	$(2^+)_{\ddagger}$		A	
1444 29	$(4^+)_{\ddagger}$		A	

<sup>†</sup> From  $E_\gamma$  values.

<sup>‡</sup> Systematics of even-even nuclei, shell-model predictions and configuration =  $\pi f_{5/2}^2 \otimes v d_{5/2}^4 0_+$  ([2017Sh42](#)).

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{84}\text{Zn})$ 

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
599	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	599 20	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>
1444	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	845 21	100	599	(2 <sup>+</sup> )

† From  $^1\text{H}(^{85}\text{Ga}, 2p\gamma)$  (2017Sh42).

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

