## <sup>84</sup>Sr(p,nγ) 2005Io02

|                 | I                     | History             |                        |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Туре            | Author                | Citation            | Literature Cutoff Date |
| Full Evaluation | N. Nica and M. Bostan | NDS 110,2815 (2009) | 30-Sep-2009            |

2005Io02: <sup>84</sup>Sr(p,n), E=13.5 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , lifetimes using large volumes and planar HPGe detectors and NaI(Tl) crystals. Recorded prompt spectra (time gate of 20 ns centered on the beam pulse), and delayed spectra (time gate 60-220 ns after the beam pulse, corrected for background from long-lived activities by subtracting a spectrum recorded In the time interval 3060-3220 ns after the beam pulse). Only the delayed  $\gamma$ 's were analyzed and placed In a level scheme. Measured g factors by time-differential perturbed angular distributions In an external magnetic field.

## <sup>84</sup>Y Levels

| E(level) <sup>†</sup> | $\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$ | T <sub>1/2</sub>        | Comments   |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 0.0 <sup>‡</sup>      | $(6^+)^{\#}$       | 39.5 <sup>#</sup> min 8 |  |
| 67.0 <sup>‡</sup> 2   | 1+ <b>#</b>        | 4.6 <sup>#</sup> s 2    | Additional information 1.  |
| 112.35 17             | $(4^{+})$          | 79 <sup>@</sup> ns 2    | g=+0.578 7 (2005Io02)  |
|                       |                    |                         | $E$ (level): existence of isomer deduced by observation of 112.4 $\gamma$ (t) decay curve with two components.   |
|                       |                    |                         | $J^{\pi}$ : $\Delta J = 0 \gamma$ from (4 <sup>-</sup> ), 210 keV; $\pi = (+)$ from E2 $\gamma$ to (6 <sup>+</sup> ), g.s  |
|                       |                    |                         | Possible configuration= $\pi 1g_{9/2} \otimes \nu 1g_{9/2}$ .  |
| 130.40 17             | $(2^{-})$          |                         | $J^{\pi}$ : E2 $\gamma$ from (4 <sup>-</sup> ), 210 keV; (E1) $\gamma$ to 1 <sup>+</sup> , 67 keV.   |
| 148.65 17             | $(5^{+})$          |                         | $J^{\pi}$ : (E1) $\gamma$ from (4 <sup>-</sup> ), 210 keV and (D(+Q)) $\gamma$ to (6 <sup>+</sup> ), g.s   |
| 210.40 17             | (4 <sup>-</sup> )  | 292 <sup>@</sup> ns 10  | $g=+0.234\ 6\ (2005Io02)$  |
|                       |                    |                         | E(level): existence of isomer deduced by observation of prompt and delayed $\gamma$ 's, $\gamma$ (t)<br>measurements for the delayed $\gamma$ 's (61.7, 63.4, 80.9, 98.1, 112.4, and 148.6), and<br>coincidence measurement (112.4 $\gamma$ and 61.7 $\gamma$ , gated by the 98.1 $\gamma$ and 148.6 $\gamma$ , respectively).<br>J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : 0 to 4 from E2 and D $\gamma$ cascade to 1 <sup>+</sup> , 67 keV; 4 to 8 from D plus D $\gamma$ cascade to (6 <sup>+</sup> )<br>g.s $\pi$ =(-) from (E1) $\gamma$ to (4 <sup>+</sup> ), 112 keV.<br>Configuration= $\pi$ 3/2[3011 $\otimes$ $\gamma$ 5/2[422]. |

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma's$ .

<sup>‡</sup> The ordering of the (6<sup>+</sup>) and 1<sup>+</sup> states proposed by 2005Io02 is the same as that proposed by 2000Do10 (<sup>84</sup>Zr  $\varepsilon$  decay dataset), but obtained independently (except for the 112 $\gamma$ , the reactions and details of the level schemes are different). This supersedes the reversed ordering, with the 1<sup>+</sup> as g.s., and with (5<sup>-</sup>) (instead of (6<sup>+</sup>)) for the 39.5-min activity, adopted previously (1997Tu02 and references therein).

 $\gamma(^{84}Y)$ 

# From Adopted Levels.

<sup>@</sup> Deduced from  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  spectra (2005Io02).

| Eγ  | Iγ    | E <sub>i</sub> (level) | $\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$ | E <sub>f</sub> | $J_f^{\pi}$       | Mult. <sup>‡</sup> | $\alpha^{\dagger}$ | Comments   |
|---|-------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| $     x41.1^{\#}     x44.6^{\#}     x61.3^{\#}     61.7 2 $ | 42 4  | 210.40                 | (4 <sup>-</sup> )    | 148.65         | (5 <sup>+</sup> ) | (E1)               | 0.440 8            | Mult.: D $\gamma$ from I(148 $\gamma$ )/I(61 $\gamma$ ) ratio; according to 2005Io02, (E1) is more likely, based on  |
| 63.4 2  | 7.9 8 | 130.40                 | (2 <sup>-</sup> )    | 67.0           | 1+                | (E1)               | 0.407 7            | B(E1)(W.u.)= $1.16 \times 10^{-6}$ 14, similar to values reported<br>for E1 transitions in this region (while B(M1)(W.u.) is<br>far from the usual values for M1 transitions in this<br>region).<br>Mult.: D from I(63 $\gamma$ )/I(80 $\gamma$ ) ratio; (E1) more likely<br>based on $\Delta \pi$ =(yes) from level scheme. |

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

| $^{84}$ Sr(p,n $\gamma$ ) 2005Io02 (continued)                             |                         |   |                                    |                                 |                                    |                          |                                 |  |
|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| $\gamma(^{84}\text{Y})$ (continued)  |                         |   |                                    |                                 |                                    |                          |                                 |  |
| E <sub>γ</sub><br>80.0 2   | I <sub>γ</sub><br>4.0 6 | $\frac{\mathrm{E}_i(\mathrm{level})}{210.40}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}}{(4^-)}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{E}_{f}}{130.40}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}}{(2^-)}$ | Mult. <sup>‡</sup><br>E2 | $\frac{\alpha^{\dagger}}{2.40}$ | Comments   |
| <sup>x</sup> 85.1 <sup>#</sup><br><sup>x</sup> 92.4 <sup>#</sup><br>98.1 2 | 100 9                   | 210.40  | (4-)                               | 112.35 (                        | (4+)                               | (E1)                     | 0.1137                          | Mult.: D $\gamma$ from I(112 $\gamma$ )/I(98 $\gamma$ ) ratio; $\Delta$ J=0<br>supported by angular distribution coefficient<br>A <sub>2</sub> >0; according to 2005Io02, (E1) is more<br>likely, based on B(E1)(W.u.)=6.9×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8,   |
| 112.4 2<br><sup>x</sup> 116.4 <sup>#</sup>                                 | 69 8                    | 112.35  | (4+)                               | 0.0 (                           | (6+)                               | E2                       | 0.694                           | similar to values reported for E1 transitions in<br>this region (while B(M1)(W.u.) is far from the<br>usual values for M1 transitions in this region).<br>$I_{\gamma}$ : Deduced from a delayed spectrum when the<br>79-ns component is totally decayed; corrected for<br>its own lifetime (20051002). |
| <sup>x</sup> 131.4 <sup>#</sup><br>148.6 2                                 | 58 6                    | 148.65  | (5 <sup>+</sup> )                  | 0.0 (                           | (6+)                               | (M1(+E2))                |                                 | Mult.: D or E2 $\gamma$ from I(148 $\gamma$ )/I(61 $\gamma$ ) ratio;<br>$\Delta J=1$ , (D) from angular distribution coefficient<br>$A_2<0$ ( $\Delta J=1$ , D+Q not excluded); (M1(+E2))<br>based on $\Delta \pi=(no)$ from level scheme.   |
| x151.1#<br>x163.6#<br>x168.0#<br>x169.4#<br>x173.9#<br>x216.1#             |                         |   |                                    |                                 |                                    |                          |                                 |  |

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> Deduced by 2005Io02 from  $\gamma$ -ray experimental intensity ratios for the three groups of two-by-two coincident transitions with same I( $\gamma$ +ce), compared to ratios calculated assuming either of the M1, E1, and E2 multipolarities for the two transitions. For some  $\gamma$ 's extra arguments are given in the table comments when needed. <sup>#</sup> Unplaced prompt  $\gamma$  from spectral figure of 20051002.

 $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



 $^{84}_{39} Y_{45}$