

Coulomb excitation [1963Al31,1988Ku01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	B. Singh, A. Negret, and K. Zuber		NDS 110,2815 (2009)	30-Sep-2009

[1963Al31](#): $^{84}\text{Sr}(^{14}\text{N}, ^{14}\text{N}'\gamma)$. E=44 MeV. γ detected with NaI(Tl) detectors in coincidence with outgoing ^{14}N (silicone detectors at 135°).

[1988Ku01](#): $^{84}\text{Sr}(^{28}\text{Si}, ^{28}\text{Si}'\gamma), (^{30}\text{Si}, ^{30}\text{Si}'\gamma)$ E=90 MeV; $^{84}\text{Sr}(^{32}\text{S}, ^{32}\text{S}'\gamma)$ E=100 MeV. Measured g-factor using thin-foil transient field method. (particle) γ coin.

 ^{84}Sr Levels

E(level)	$J\pi^\dagger$	Comments												
0	0^+													
793	2^+	$g=+0.419\ 47$ (1988Ku01) $B(E2)\uparrow=0.34\ 10$ (1963Al31) $B(E2)\uparrow$: a Comparison of measured $B(E2)$ values for ^{84}Sr, ^{86}Sr and ^{88}Sr in 1963Al31 and those deduced from adopted lifetimes shows (see table below) that values in 1963Al31 are consistently too high. <table> <tr> <th>Nuclide</th><th>$B(E2)$ (1963Al31)</th><th>$B(E2)$ (from adopted $T_{1/2}$).</th></tr> <tr> <td>^{84}Sr</td><td>$0.34\ 10$</td><td>$0.17\ +6-5$.</td></tr> <tr> <td>^{86}Sr</td><td>$0.19\ 6$</td><td>0.12.</td></tr> <tr> <td>^{88}Sr</td><td>$0.20\ 7$</td><td>0.09.</td></tr> </table>	Nuclide	$B(E2)$ (1963Al31)	$B(E2)$ (from adopted $T_{1/2}$).	^{84}Sr	$0.34\ 10$	$0.17\ +6-5$.	^{86}Sr	$0.19\ 6$	0.12 .	^{88}Sr	$0.20\ 7$	0.09 .
Nuclide	$B(E2)$ (1963Al31)	$B(E2)$ (from adopted $T_{1/2}$).												
^{84}Sr	$0.34\ 10$	$0.17\ +6-5$.												
^{86}Sr	$0.19\ 6$	0.12 .												
^{88}Sr	$0.20\ 7$	0.09 .												

† From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{84}\text{Sr})$

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
793	793	2^+	0	0^+	E_γ : from 1988Ku01 . Other: $800\ 15$ (1963Al31).

Coulomb excitation 1963Al31,1988Ku01

Level Scheme

