$^{82}{\rm Kr}(\alpha,\!2{\rm n}\gamma)$ 1981Fi03

	Histor	у		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date	
Full Evaluation	B. Singh, A. Negret, and K. Zuber	NDS 110,2815 (2009)	30-Sep-2009	

1981Fi03: E=28 MeV. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$. Enriched target, Ge(Li) detectors.

1980Ek03: E=18 MeV. Measured $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, lifetimes by by recoil-distance method. The first 2⁺ and 4⁺ levels measured.

⁸⁴Sr Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π ‡	$T_{1/2}^{\#}$	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$
$0.0^{@}$	0^{+}		3282.4 ^a 3	(6 ⁻)
793.3 [@] 1	2^{+}	4.2 ps +28-14	3334.6 <i>4</i>	(8^{+})
1453.3 <mark>&</mark> 6	2+		3489.62 ^a 20	(7-)
1768.71 [@] 15	4+	0.97 ps 28	3652.62 23	
2054.7 <mark>&</mark> 6	(3^{+})		3681.0 4	(8^{+})
2447.8 ^a 6	3-		4451.7 <i>4</i>	(10^{+})
2595.8 <mark>&</mark> 6	(4^{+})		4535.5 5	(10^{+})
2771.22 ^a 18	5-		4639.5 ^a 4	(9 ⁻)
2810.22 [@] 18	6+			

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma'$ s. [‡] As proposed by 1981Fi03 based on their $\gamma(\theta)$ and band associations.

[#] From recoil-distance Doppler shift method (1980Ek03).

[@] Band(A): g.s. band.

& Band(B): quasi γ band.

^{*a*} Band(C): Octupole band based on 3^- .

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	Iγ	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult. [#]	Comments
163.0 [‡] <i>1</i> 346.3 <i>1</i>	2.0 2 4.0 4	3652.62 3681.0	(8 ⁺)	3489.62 3334.6	(7^{-}) (8 ⁺)		$A_2 = +0.64$ 12. $A_2 = +0.48$ 7.
511.2 [‡] 2 522.4 5	12 <i>I</i> 14 <i>I</i>	3282.4 3334.6	(6 ⁻) (8 ⁺)	2771.22 2810.22	5- 6+		E_{γ} : level-energy difference=524.4.
601.4 <i>1</i>	8.0 8	2054.7	(3+)	1453.3	2+		$A_2 = +0.23$ 7.
660.0 <i>6</i>	12 1	1453.3	2+	793.3	2+	M1+E2	Additional information 2. Mult.: $\Delta J=0$, M1+E2 γ from A ₂ =+0.26 2, A ₄ =-0.10 3, POL=+0.55 <i>11</i> (1980Ek03).
679.1 [@] 6	6.0 6	2447.8	3-	1768.71	4+	D	A ₂ =-0.21 7. E _{γ} : placement of this γ from 2448 level is considered by the evaluators as suspect. This γ is placed from a 2735-keV level in ⁸⁴ Y ε decay and in ⁷⁶ Ge(¹² C,4n γ), ⁸¹ Br(⁶ Li,3n γ). Another γ at 680.1 is placed from a 3488,(7 ⁻) level in the in-beam γ -ray study (1982De05).
718.4 <i>1</i>	91	3489.62	(7 ⁻)	2771.22	5-		$A_2 = +0.02$ 7.
793.3 1	100	793.3	2+	0.0	0^{+}	E2	Additional information 1. A ₂ =+0.13 3, A ₄ =-0.04 3, POL=+0.29 6 (1980Ek03).
854.5 <i>3</i>	6.0 6	4535.5	(10^{+})	3681.0	(8^+)		$A_2 = +0.37 6.$

$\gamma(^{84}Sr)$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

82 Kr(α ,2n γ) 1981Fi03 (continued)

$\gamma(^{84}Sr)$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	Iγ	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [#]	Comments
872.7 5	12 1	3681.0	(8 ⁺)	2810.22	6+		E_{γ} : level-energy difference=870.7. A ₂ =+0.33 6.
975.4 <i>1</i>	84 8	1768.71	4+	793.3	2+	E2	$A_2 = +0.26 \ 6.$
994.5 8	2.0 2	2447.8	3-	1453.3	2+	D	$A_2 = -0.14 \ I8.$
1002.5 1	26 3	2771.22	5-	1768.71	4+	D	$A_2 = -0.18 \ 6.$
1041.5 <i>1</i>	55 6	2810.22	6+	1768.71	4+		$A_2 = +0.34 \ 6.$
1117.1 [‡] <i>1</i>	10 1	4451.7	(10^{+})	3334.6	(8^{+})		$A_2 = +0.22$ 7.
1142.5 3	1.0 1	2595.8	(4^+)	1453.3	2+		$A_2 = +0.35 \ 10.$
1149.9 [‡] <i>3</i>	6.0 6	4639.5	(9 ⁻)	3489.62	(7 ⁻)		A ₂ =+0.37 7.

[†] Values listed here are 4 keV less than those quoted by 1981Fi03, since most energies given by 1981Fi03 seem ≈4 keV less than * Value is as quoted by 1981Fi03, 4 keV is not subtracted. # From $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma(\ln \text{ pol})$ data of 1980Ek03.

^(a) Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.







 $^{84}_{38}{\rm Sr}_{46}$