	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	A. A. Sonzogni, M. Fadil, and B. Pfeiffer	NDS 110,2815 (2009)	30-Sep-2009

 $Q(\beta^{-})=1835\ 26;\ S(n)=8678\ 4;\ S(p)=13567\ 3;\ Q(\alpha)=-8837.3\ 28$ 2012Wa38

S(2n)=14496.4 24, S(2p)=25110.6 30 (2012Wa38).

# Additional information 1. <sup>84</sup>Se evaluated by A.A. Sonzogni, M. Fadil, and B. Pfeiffer .

Precise atomic mass measurement: 2008Ha23 (Penning-trap system). Other: 2006Ha62.

A 1360  $\gamma$  has been assigned feeding the 2121 level in <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay, while a 1361.4 $\gamma$  in <sup>208</sup>Pb(<sup>16</sup>O,F $\gamma$ ) (2004Pr10) and a 1361.5 $\gamma$  in <sup>238</sup>U(p,F $\gamma$ ) (2013DrZY) has been placed feeding the 3537 level from a 4898 level. Evaluator treats the placement in <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay as uncertain.

## <sup>84</sup>Se Levels

#### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

	A B C D	<sup>84</sup> As $β^-$ decay <sup>85</sup> As $β^-$ n decay <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay <sup>82</sup> Se(t,p)	(4.02 s) E y (2.021 s) F G H	Coulomb excitation I $^{238}U(P,F\gamma)$ :prompt $\gamma$ $^{192}Os(^{82}Se,X\gamma)$ J $^{238}U(^{82}Se,^{84}Se\gamma)$ $^{9}Be,^{197}Au(^{84}Se,^{84}Se'\gamma)$ $^{208}Pb(^{18}O,X\gamma)$
E(level) <sup>‡</sup>	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0 <sup>@</sup>	0+	3.26 min 10	ABCDEFGHIJ	$\%\beta^{-}=100$ T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 3.1 min <i>1</i> (1974KrZG), 3.1 min 2 (1975Hu02), 3.5 min <i>1</i> (1970Ei02), 3.1 min 2 (1968Re12), and 3.3 min 3 (1960Sa05).
1454.55 <sup>@</sup> 8	2+	0.42 ps 7	ABCDEFGHIJ	B(E2)↑=0.105 <i>15</i> (2010Ga14) B(E2) from <sup>197</sup> Au( <sup>84</sup> Se, <sup>84</sup> Se $\gamma$ ); deduced T <sub>1/2 1/2</sub> =0.42 ps 7.
1967 <i>3</i>	$(0^{+})$		D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2097 11	$(1^{-})$		D	
2121.65 <sup>@</sup> 10	4+	20.2 ps +41-26	ABC EFGHIJ	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : E2 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> ; systematics of N=50 nuclei. T <sub>1/2</sub> : From RDDS, plunger method (2015Li42).
2244 7	$0^{+}$		D	
2461.38 9	$(1,2^+)$		Α	$J^{\pi}$ : $\gamma$ rays to $0^+$ and $(2^+)$ .
2654 4	$0^{+}$		D	
2699.47 12	(2,3,4)		AB	$J^{\pi}$ : $\gamma'$ s to (2 <sup>+</sup> ) and (4 <sup>+</sup> ).
2716 10	$(0^+)$		D	
2740 11	$(0^+)$		D	
2984.75 13	2+		AD J	
3024.30 12	$(2^{+})$		A D	
3069.77 22			Α	
3125.97 15			Α	
3232.43 14			Α	
3297.05 12			AB	
3370.54 16	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	8.2 ps +17-39	AC FHIJ	$J^{\pi}$ : $\gamma$ to 4 <sup>+</sup> ; shell-model prediction (2013DrZY). T <sub>1/2</sub> : From RDDS, plunger method (2015Li42).
3408.73 14			A J	XREF: J(?).
3439.15 13			A J	
3481.7? 10			С	E(level): assuming 1360 $\gamma$ feeds the 2121.6 level. See comment on top.
3537.09 18	$(5^{+})$		C F HIJ	$J^{\pi}$ : level fed from (6 <sup>+</sup> ) and $\gamma$ to (4 <sup>+</sup> ), supported by shell model
	. /			calculations.
3541.23 10	$2^{+}$		Ad G	$J^{\pi}$ : L(t,p)=2 for E(level)=3544 6.
3548.3 3			A d	$J^{\pi}$ : L(t,p)=2 for E(level)=3544 6.
3698 6			D	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# <sup>84</sup>Se Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>‡</sup>	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	XREF		EF	Comments							
3701.47 <sup>&amp;</sup> 19	$(6^{+})$		СІ	FHIJ	$J^{\pi}$ : Q $\gamma$ to (4 <sup>+</sup> ) and D $\gamma$ to (5 <sup>+</sup> ), supported by shell model calculations.							
3862.5 10	~ /		I	F								
3872.01 14		Α										
3928 9	$2^{+}$		D	G	XREF: D(3934).							
					E(level): assumed that 3934 8 in (t,p) is the same as 3916 11 in ( $^{84}$ Se, $^{84}$ Se' $\gamma$ ); listed							
	- 1				level energy is the weighted average of the two.							
3985.27 22	2+	A	D									
4082.18 22	0+	A	ъ									
4100 17	0.	٨	D									
4110.33 17	2+	А	р									
4282.12.11	2	Α	D									
4307 7	$(2^{+})$		D									
4405.8 <mark>#&amp;</mark> 3	$(7^+)$		СТ	F НТ	$J^{\pi}$ : $\gamma$ to (6 <sup>+</sup> ).							
110010 0 1110010 0	$(1^+)$	۸	с. П									
4602.6	2+	л	D									
4641.0	-		2	IJ	XREF: J(?).							
4670 9	$(2^{+})$		D									
4723 6			D									
4813 5	$(2^{+})$		D									
4898.5 4	$(6^{+})$			HI	E(level): assuming 1361 $\gamma$ feeds the 3537 level. See comment on top.							
					$J^{\pi}$ : shell-model prediction (2013DrZY).							
4903 7	$(2^+, 0^+)$		D									
4981 9	$1 2^+$		D									
5159 0	2.		D									
5185.6	2+	A	р									
5221 96 16	2	Α	D									
5258 6	4+		D									
5295 9	2+		D									
5329.9 <mark>&amp;</mark>	$(8^{+})$			I								
5373 9	(- )		D									
5437 <sup>#</sup> 9	$(5^{-})$		D									
5507 9	2+		D									
5596.16 20	3-	Α	D									
5627 9	$2^{+}$		D									
5637.6 3		Α										
5661.53 23		Α	_									
5725 14	2+		D									
5815 12	2.		D									
5809.54 25	$(3^{-}1^{-})$	A 4	р									
5020 <sup>#</sup> 0	$(3^+,1^-)$	n	D									
J922 9	(4)		D									
6010 00 10	(4.)	٨	ע									
6740 60 21		A A										
6329 21	2+	n	D									
6400.4 3	$\frac{1}{4^{+}}$	A	D									
6414 4 <sup>&amp;</sup>	(9.10)		_	т								
6541.5 3	(7,10)	Α		1								
6604.6 <i>3</i>		A										

# <sup>84</sup>Se Levels (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From L-values observed in <sup>82</sup>Se(t,p) (1988Mu02), unless otherwise stated. <sup>‡</sup> Levels connected by  $\gamma$  rays are from least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ ; others are from <sup>82</sup>Se(t,p).

<sup>#</sup> L(t,p) has possible admixture of L=0 indicating possibility for a doublet.

<sup>(a)</sup> Band(A): Ground state sequence. <sup>(b)</sup> Band(B): Sequence based on (6<sup>+</sup>).

# $\gamma(^{84}\text{Se})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
1454.55	2+	1454.66 <i>10</i>	100	0.0	0+	E2	B(E2)(W.u.)=9.6 <i>14</i> E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 1454.55 <i>10</i> ( <sup>84</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> decay), 1455.1 2 ( <sup>85</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> n decay), 1454.5 2 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,Xγ)), 1454.7 <i>1</i> ( <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,Xγ)). Other: Eγ=1455.1 ( <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay). Mult.: from <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,Xγ).
2121.65	4+	666.99 7	100	1454.55	2+	E2	B(E2)(W.u.)=10.0 +16-17 E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : weighted average of 666.97 10 ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^-$ decay), 667.1 2 ( <sup>85</sup> As $\beta^-$ n decay), 666.8 3 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X $\gamma$ )), 667.0 1 ( <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,X $\gamma$ )). Other: E $\gamma$ =667.1 ( <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay). Mult.: from <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X $\gamma$ ).
2461.38	$(1,2^+)$	1007.12 <i>10</i> 2461 35 <i>1</i> 5	41.9 <i>17</i> 100 5	1454.55	$2^+$ 0 <sup>+</sup>		
2699.47	(2,3,4)	577.77 14	100 3	2121.65	4+		E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 577.84 <i>10</i> ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^-$ decay), 577.5 <i>2</i> ( <sup>85</sup> As $\beta^-$ n decay). I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 100 <i>3</i> ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^-$ decay), 100 <i>15</i> ( <sup>85</sup> As $\beta^-$ n decay)
		1245.0 <i>4</i>	82 6	1454.55	2+		E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : weighted average of 1245.3 2 ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^-$ decay), 1244.6 2 ( <sup>85</sup> As $\beta^-$ n decay). I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : weighted average of 85 5 ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^-$ decay), 67 12 ( <sup>85</sup> As $\beta^-$ n decay).
2984.75	2+	522.2 1530 19 <i>10</i>	9.5 100-5	2461.38 1454 55	$(1,2^+)$		
3024.30	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	325.03 10	5.3 16	2699.47 1454.55	(2,3,4)		
3069.77		1615.2 2	100 5	1454.55	$2^{+}$		
3125.97		426.4 <i>2</i> 1671.45 <i>15</i>	29 <i>15</i> 100 8	2699.47 1454.55	(2,3,4) 2 <sup>+</sup>		
3232.43		1110.77 10	100	2121.65	4+		
3297.05		1175.9 <i>2</i> 1843.24 <i>24</i>	9.8 8 100 <i>3</i>	2121.65 1454.55	4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>		$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : observed only in <sup>84</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> decay. $E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 1843.13 <i>10</i> ( <sup>84</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> decay), 1843.7 2 ( <sup>85</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> n decay). L: from <sup>84</sup> As β <sup>-</sup> decay
3370.54	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1248.88 <i>13</i>	100	2121.65	4+	[E2]	B(E2)(W.u.)=1.1 +8-2 E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : weighted average of 1249.0 2 ( <sup>84</sup> As $\beta^{-}$ decay), 1248.7 2 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X $\gamma$ )), 1249.0 3 ( <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,X $\gamma$ )). Other: E $\gamma$ =1249.6 ( <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay)
3408.73 3439.15		1287.06 <i>10</i> 1317.45 <i>10</i> 1984.7 <i>2</i> 1360	100 100 5 23.6 14	2121.65 2121.65 1454.55 2121.65	$4^+$ $4^+$ $2^+$ $4^+$		
3537.09	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1415.30 <i>17</i>	100	2121.03	4 <sup>+</sup>		$E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 1415.3 2 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X\gamma)),

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# $\gamma(^{84}Se)$ (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
							1415.3 3 ( $^{192}$ Os( $^{82}$ Se,X $\gamma$ )). Other: E $\gamma$ =1415 ( $^{252}$ Cf SF decay).
3541.23	2+	1080.15 10	15.8 7	2461.38	$(1,2^+)$		
2540.2		2086.69 10	100 4	1454.55	$2^+$		
3548.3	$(\epsilon^{\pm})$	1426.6 3	100	2121.65	4' (5 <sup>+</sup> )	D	E is unighted every a of $164.1.2$ (208 Db (180 Va))
5/01.4/	(0)	104.18 21	41 0	5557.09	(3)	D	$E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 164.1.2 ( "Pb( '0,X\gamma)), 164.7.5 ( $^{192}$ Os( $^{82}$ Se,X $\gamma$ )). Other: $E\gamma$ =165 ( $^{252}$ Cf SF decay).
							I <sub>y</sub> : weighted average of 80 40 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X $\gamma$ )), 39 8 ( <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,X $\gamma$ )).
					. 1	_	Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,X $\gamma$ ).
		1580.00 21	100 15	2121.65	4+	Q	E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 1579.8 3 ( <sup>200</sup> Pb( <sup>10</sup> O,Xγ)), 1580.2 3 ( <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,Xγ)). Other: Eγ=1580 ( <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay). I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 100 21 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,Xγ)), 100
							22 ( $^{192}$ Os( $^{82}$ Se,X $\gamma$ )).
							Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <sup>192</sup> Os( <sup>82</sup> Se,X $\gamma$ ).
3862.5		492.0 <sup>‡</sup>	100	3370.54	(6 <sup>+</sup> )		
3872.01		573.9	21.4	3297.05	4.4		
2029	2+	1/50.35 10	100 4	2121.65	4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>		
3928 3985 27	$\frac{2}{2^{+}}$	1863 6 2	100	2121 65	$\frac{2}{4^+}$		
4082.18	2	1960.5 2	100	2121.65	4+		
4116.33		574.9	77	3541.23	2+		
		2661.74 15	100 5	1454.55	2+		
4282.12		741.23 10	100 9	3541.23	2+		
		985.20 10	61.3 21	3297.05	<b>4</b> +		
		4280.9.3	27 9 17	0.0	$^{4}_{0^{+}}$		
4405.8	$(7^{+})$	704.34 24	100	3701.47	(6 <sup>+</sup> )		$E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 704.4 4 ( <sup>208</sup> Pb( <sup>18</sup> O,X\gamma)).
							704.3 3 ( $^{192}$ Os( $^{82}$ Se,X $\gamma$ )). Other: E $\gamma$ =703.5 ( $^{252}$ Cf SF decay).
4445.19	$(4^{+})$	2323.5 2	100	2121.65	4+		
4641.0	$(C^{+})$	1270.0	100	3370.54	$(6^+)$		
4898.5	(0))	1301.4 4	100	2121.65	$(5^{+})$		
5221.96		1925.5 2	73.5	3297.05	-		
		2522.10 15	100 5	2699.47	(2,3,4)		
5329.9	(8+)	924.4		4405.8	$(7^{+})$		
5596.16	3-	2299.0 2	90 7	3297.05			
56276		3474.6 3	100 7	2121.65	4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>		
5661 53		4182.9 3	100	1454.55	(234)		
5869.34		3169.4 3	100 6	2699.47	(2,3,4) (2,3,4)		
0000101		3748.0 3	94 6	2121.65	( <u>1</u> ,2,1) 4 <sup>+</sup>		
5890.1	$(3^{-},1^{-})$	4435.4 <i>3</i>	100	1454.55	2+		
6019.90		2722.80 15	100	3297.05			
6249.60		2840.8 2	53 13	3408.73	4+		
6400.4	$\Delta^+$	4127.93	100 /	2121.65	4 <sup>·</sup> 2+		
6414.4	(9.10)	1084.5	100	5329.9	$(8^+)$		
6541.5	(,,,,)	5086.8 <i>3</i>	100	1454.55	2+		
6604.6		5149.9 <i>3</i>	100	1454.55	2+		

# $\gamma(^{84}Se)$ (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From the corresponding dataset when only one XREF is available. Otherwise, see individual comments for the source. <sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

#### Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



Legend

#### Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

 $--- \rightarrow \gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)





 $^{84}_{34}{
m Se}_{50}$