

**Coulomb excitation 1974Ba80,1977Le11**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. K. Tuli, E. Browne		NDS 157, 260 (2019)	1-Mar-2019

**1974Ba80:** (<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>Oγ), E=39.2 MeV. (α,α'γ), E=6.6 MeV and 7.3 MeV. Enriched targets. Deduced B(E2) values from γ yield of (<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>Oγ). Yields are related by (α,α'γ) on natural Se target to a <sup>80</sup>Se(α,α'γ) measurement, where B(E2) values are extracted by means of first- and second-order perturbation theories of Alder.

**1977Le11:** (<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>Oγ), E=30 MeV to 40 MeV. (α,α'γ), E=7.3 MeV enriched targets. Deduced B(E2) and Q of 655 level from σ(inelastic)/σ(elastic) using the matrix elements of **1974Ba80** to account for the interference of higher excited states.

**2018Li20:** Multistep Coulomb excitation in <sup>82</sup>Se with 577 MeV beam on <sup>238</sup>U target. Measured level T<sub>1/2</sub> using recoil-distance Doppler shift technique.

**1969He11:** (<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>Oγ), E=33 MeV to 38 MeV. Enriched target. NaI(Tl). Measured γ(θ,H). Deduced g-factor.

**1995Ka29:** <sup>82</sup>Se(<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>Oγ), E=34 MeV; <sup>48</sup>Ti(<sup>82</sup>Se,<sup>82</sup>Se'), E=195 MeV; <sup>208</sup>Pb(<sup>82</sup>Se,<sup>82</sup>Se'), E=316 MeV. Measured γ, γ(θ), Ge(Li). See paper for deduced E2 and M1 matrix elements.

**1998Sp03:** 230 MeV <sup>82</sup>Se on composite target consisting of natural Si, Gd, Ta, Al, and Cu. Measured γ(θ,H). Deduced g-factors.

<sup>82</sup>Se Levels

E(level)	J <sup>π</sup> ‡	T <sub>1/2</sub> †	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
654.82 15	2 <sup>+</sup>	12.8 ps 7	B(E2)↑=0.183 10; g=+0.497 29; Q=-0.22 7 B(E2) from <b>2016Pr01</b> , Q from <b>1977Le11</b> . Constructive interference of the second excited state has been assumed (Q=-0.11 7 for destructive interference). B(E2)=0.180 3 ( <b>1977Le11</b> ), 0.175 9 ( <b>1974Ba80</b> ), 0.17 3 ( <b>2005Iw03</b> ), 0.179 19 ( <b>1995Ka29</b> ); others: <b>1970AgZV</b> , <b>1962Ga13</b> , <b>1962St02</b> , <b>1960An07</b> , <b>1956Te26</b> . g: from <b>1998Sp03</b> , based on <b>1978Br38</b> . Other: +0.43 12 from γ(θ,H) ( <b>1969He11</b> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : From <b>2016Pr01</b> , deduced from B(E2).
1409.6 11	0 <sup>+</sup>	30 ps	B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 0 <sup>+</sup> )=0.0015. B(E2)(↓)(1409 level)/B(E2)(↓)(655 level)=0.22 from reinspection of the <b>1974Ba80</b> γ spectrum (see <b>1977Le11</b> ).
1731.1 3	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.94 ps 11	B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 2 <sup>+</sup> )=0.0106 15; B(E2)(0 <sup>+</sup> to 2 <sup>+</sup> )=0.0145 15 ( <b>1974Ba80</b> ). Pure E2 was assumed for B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 2 <sup>+</sup> ).
1735.3 5	4 <sup>+</sup>	0.96 ps 15	g=0.57 38 ( <b>1998Sp03</b> ) B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 4 <sup>+</sup> )=0.072 11 ( <b>1974Ba80</b> ).
2550	(3,4 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : J <sup>π</sup> adopted as (3,4 <sup>+</sup> ). Level feeding in (n,n'γ) supports J=3. <b>1995Ka29</b> assumed it to be 4 <sup>+</sup> for their calculations.

† From B(E2).

‡ From Adopted Levels.

γ(<sup>82</sup>Se)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> †	I <sub>γ</sub> ‡	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>
654.82	2 <sup>+</sup>	654.82 15	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>
1409.6	0 <sup>+</sup>	754.8#		654.82	2 <sup>+</sup>
1731.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	1076.3 3	26@ 5	654.82	2 <sup>+</sup>
		1731.0 5	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>
1735.3	4 <sup>+</sup>	1080.5 4	100	654.82	2 <sup>+</sup>
2550	(3,4 <sup>+</sup> )	815&		1735.3	4 <sup>+</sup>
		819&		1731.1	2 <sup>+</sup>
		1895&		654.82	2 <sup>+</sup>

† From **1974Ba80**.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Coulomb excitation 1974Ba80,1977Le11 (continued) $\gamma(^{82}\text{Se})$  (continued)‡  $\gamma$  branching ratios (1974Ba80).# From reinspection of  $\gamma$  spectrum of 1974Ba80 (see 1977Le11).

@ From Adopted Levels, Gammas.

&  $E\gamma$  from level energy difference, presumably observed by 1995Ka29.Coulomb excitation 1974Ba80,1977Le11Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

