#### $^{82}$ Zn $\beta^-$ decay 2016Al10

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. K. Tuli, E. Browne	NDS 157, 260 (2019)	1-Mar-2019

Parent: <sup>82</sup>Zn: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=166$  ms *11*;  $Q(\beta^-)=10617$  *4*;  $\%\beta^-$  decay=100.0 <sup>82</sup>Zn-Q( $\beta^{-}$ ): From 2017Wa10.

<sup>82</sup>Zn-T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <sup>82</sup>Zn Adopted Levels.
2016A110: <sup>82</sup>Zn produced in the fission of <sup>238</sup>U target of 6 g/cm<sup>2</sup> thickness by a 50 MeV proton beam from the Holifield

Radioactive Ion Beam Facility (hribf) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, followed by two-step high-resolution mass separation. Measured Ey, Iy,  $\beta\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin, half-life of <sup>82</sup>Zn decay, and  $\beta\beta$ -n. Deduced level scheme of <sup>82</sup>Ga.

## 82Ga Levels

E(level)	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	$T_{1/2}^{\#}$	Comments
0.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.600 s 2	$J^{\pi}$ : 2016Al10 assigned firm 2 <sup>-</sup> by citing the laser spectroscopy work of 2012Ch51; however, this assignment is tentative as J=1 and 3 were not ruled out, and the parity is based only on a comparison of measured magnetic moment with shell-model predictions.
34.5 1	$(2^{-})$	<10 ns	$J^{\pi}$ : See Adopted Levels, Gammas for $J^{\pi} = (2^{-}, 3^{-})$ Assignment.
140.7 3	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	89 ns 9	
366.3 2	$(1^{-},0^{-})^{\ddagger}$		
529.7 <i>3</i>	$(0^{-},1^{-})^{\ddagger}$		
2978.6 4	(1+)		
3374+x			E(level): S(n)( $^{82}$ Ga)=3374 4 (2017Wa10); x<7243 4 from Q( $\beta^{-}$ )( $^{82}$ Zn decay)=10617 4.

<sup>†</sup> Adopted values as proposed in 2016A110, based on allowed or forbidden nature of the  $\beta$  transitions.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> Possible first-forbidden  $\beta$  decay from J<sup> $\pi$ </sup>=0<sup>+</sup> parent nuclide.

# From Adopted Levels.

### radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>-†‡</sup>	Log ft	Comments
$(4 \times 10^{3} @ 4) (7638 4) (10087 4)$	3374+x 2978.6 529.7	69 7 18 6 6.7 <i>1</i> 6	4.84 <i>15</i> 5.83 <i>11</i>	Iβ <sup>-</sup> : from measured %β <sup>-</sup> n=69 7 in 2016Al10. av Eβ=3526.4 20 av Eβ=4715.2 20
$(10251 \ 4)$ $(10476 \ 4)$ $(10583 \ 4)$	366.3 140.7 34.5	4 <i>3</i> <1.2 <3	6.1 <i>4</i> >6.7 >6.3	av $E\beta = 4794.4\ 20$ av $E\beta = 4903.7\ 20$ av $E\beta = 4955.1\ 20$
(10617 <sup>#</sup> 4)	0.0	<1.0	>9.2 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i>	av $E\beta$ =4980.8 20 I $\beta^-$ : estimated by 2016A110 from expected average log <i>ft</i> value of 9.5 8 for first-forbidden unique $\beta$ transition.

<sup>†</sup> Values should be considered as approximate feedings since some  $\gamma$  rays from higher energy levels may have been missed.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>@</sup> Estimated for a range of levels.

# <sup>82</sup>Zn $\beta^-$ decay 2016Al10 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{82}\text{Ga})$ 

Iγ normalization: Summed γ-ray transition intensity to g.s. = 30% 7, using measured %β<sup>-</sup>n=69% 7 (2016A110) for <sup>82</sup>Zn decay, and <1% β<sup>-</sup> feeding to the <sup>82</sup>Ga g.s.

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f \qquad \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\alpha^{\ddagger}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
x42 <sup>†</sup> x49 <sup>†</sup> x60 <sup>†</sup> x72 <sup>†</sup>	10.1 10	34.5	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (2 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1]	1.394 23	24.2 25	%I $\gamma$ =5.0 <i>13</i> $\alpha$ (K)=1.239 <i>21</i> ; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1344 <i>22</i> ; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0197 <i>4</i> $\alpha$ (N)=0.001030 <i>17</i> I( $\gamma$ + <i>ce</i> ): from the $\gamma$ -transition intensity balance at 34.5. It appears to the evaluatorrs that I $\gamma$ =24.2 <i>25</i> listed in Table I and Fig. 7 of 2016A110 is I $\gamma$ +ce value, with the assumption of mult=M1 for 34.5 $\gamma$ . Mult.: M1 is consistent with short half-life (<10 ns) of 34.5 level.
x72† x85† 140.7 <i>3</i>	1.4 6	140.7	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (2 <sup>-</sup> )	[E2]	0.193 4	1.7 7	%I $\gamma$ =0.7 4 $\alpha$ (K)=0.171 3; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0197 4; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00286 5 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0001318 22 I <sub>(<math>\gamma</math>+<math>ce</math>)</sub> : from the $\gamma$ -transition intensity balance at 140.7. It appears to the evaluators that I $\gamma$ =1.7 7 listed in Table I and Fig. 7 of 2016A110 is I $\gamma$ +ce value, with the assumption of mult=E2 for 140.7 $\gamma$ .
163.3 2	3.5 4	529.7	(0 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )	366.3 (1 <sup>-</sup> ,0 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1+E2]	0.065 <i>46</i>		Mult.: E2 is consistent with isomer half-life. %I $\gamma$ =1.72 24 $\alpha$ (K)=0.057 41; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0064 47; $\alpha$ (M)=9.3×10 <sup>-4</sup> 67 $\alpha$ (N)=4.5×10 <sup>-5</sup> 31
x340 <sup>†</sup>	22 7 30	366 3	$(1^{-} 0^{-})$	$0.0.(2^{-})$	[M1 F2]	0 0043 17		%Iv=11 3
500.5 2	22.7 50	500.5	(1,0)	0.0 (2 )	[	5.0015 17		$\alpha(K) = 0.0038 \ 16; \ \alpha(L) = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 17; \alpha(M) = 5.8 \times 10^{-5} \ 24 \alpha(N) = 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \ 12
530.0 5	10.0 <i>10</i>	529.7	(0 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (2 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1,E2]	0.0014 4		% $I\gamma$ =4.9 <i>13</i> $\alpha$ (K)=0.0013 <i>4</i> ; $\alpha$ (L)=1.33×10 <sup>-4</sup> <i>34</i> ; $\alpha$ (M)=1.95×10 <sup>-5</sup> <i>50</i> $\alpha$ (N)=1.03×10 <sup>-6</sup> 25
2612.9 11	11.5 50	2978.6	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	366.3 (1 <sup>-</sup> ,0 <sup>-</sup> )				$\%$ I $\gamma$ =5.7 25

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{82}{\rm Zn}\,\beta^-$ decay 2016Al10 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{82}\text{Ga})$  (continued)

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
2943.8 <i>4</i>	23.7 <i>49</i>	2978.6	$(1^+)$	$\begin{array}{c c} 34.5 & (2^{-}) \\ 0.0 & (2^{-}) \end{array}$	%I <sub>Y</sub> =12 3
2978.7 <i>6</i>	2.4 <i>19</i>	2978.6	$(1^+)$		%I <sub>Y</sub> =1.2 10

<sup>†</sup>  $\gamma$  ray in coin with 85 $\gamma$  but could not be assigned to any specific nuclide. <sup>‡</sup> Additional information 1. <sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.49 *11*. <sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

# $\frac{^{82}Zn \ \beta^{-} \ decay}{2016Al10}$

### Decay Scheme



<sup>82</sup><sub>31</sub>Ga<sub>51</sub>

4