

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. K. Tuli, E. Browne		NDS 157, 260 (2019)	1-Mar-2019

$Q(\beta^-)=16994$ SY; $S(n)=1971$ SY [2017Wa10](#)

$\Delta Q(\beta^-)=600$ syst; $\Delta S(n)=781$ syst ([2017Wa10](#)).

Based on evaluation by B. Singh (McMaster U.) (August, 2010).

[2010Oh02](#): ^{82}Cu nuclide identified in $\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F})$ and $\text{Pb}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F})$ reactions with a $^{238}\text{U}^{86+}$ beam energy of 345 MeV/nucleon produced by the cascade operation of the RIBF accelerator complex of the linear accelerator RILAC and four cyclotrons RRC, fRC, IRC and SRC. Identification of ^{82}Cu nuclei was made on the basis of magnetic rigidity, time-of-flight and energy loss of the fragments using BigRIPS fragment separator. Experiments performed at RIKEN facility.

Based on A/Q spectrum and Z versus A/Q plot, 2 counts were assigned to ^{82}Cu isotope. (Q=charge state).

[2014XuZZ](#): $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{X})$, E=345 MeV/nucleon. Measured β^- , γ ; deduced $T_{1/2}$, delayed-n emission probabilities.

[2005Bo19](#): calculated half-life, β^- -delayed neutron emission probability.

 ^{82}Cu Levels

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	33 ms +7-6	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^- n = ?$; $\% \beta^- 2n = ?$ Measured $\sigma = 3$ pb (2010Oh02), systematic uncertainty $\approx 50\%$. $T_{1/2}$: From β^- measurement (2014XuZZ); Calculated values: 46.8 ms (1997Mo25), 40 ms (2002Pf04). Since no events were observed for neighboring hydrogen-like peaks, the misidentification of ^{82}Cu is not possible (2010Oh02). Calculated: $\% \beta^- n = 45.9$ (2014XuZZ), 52.8 (2014Mi23), 98.9 (2002Pf04), 28.1 (1997Mo25); $\% \beta^- 2n = 30.8$ (2014XuZZ), 39.3 (2014Mi23), 63.6 (1997Mo25).