

$^{82}\text{Br}$  IT decay [1965An01,1965Em02](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. K. Tuli, E. Browne		NDS 157, 260 (2019)	1-Mar-2019

Parent:  $^{82}\text{Br}$ : E=45.9492 10;  $J^\pi=2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=6.13$  min 5; %IT decay=97.6 3

$^{82}\text{Br}$ -%IT decay: from % $\beta$ -=2.4 deduced from  $\Sigma I\beta(6.13 \text{ min})/\Sigma I\beta(35.282 \text{ h})$  ([1965Em02](#)); no uncertainty given, 3% assumed.

 $^{82}\text{Br}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>†</sup>
0	$5^-$	35.282 h 7
45.9492 10	$2^-$	6.13 min 5

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{82}\text{Br})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\ddagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\#$	Comments
45.949 1	45.9492	$2^-$	0	$5^-$	M3	400	100	$\alpha(\text{exp})=382.75$ ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=251$ $ce(\text{K})/(\gamma+ce)=0.7188$ ; $ce(\text{L})/(\gamma+ce)=0.2364$ ; $ce(\text{M})/(\gamma+ce)=0.03978$ $ce(\text{N})/(\gamma+ce)=0.003247$ $\alpha(\text{K})=288.4$ ; $\alpha(\text{L})=94.614$ ; $\alpha(\text{M})=15.9123$ $\alpha(\text{N})=1.29719$ $E_\gamma$ : 46 keV 2 from <a href="#">1965Em02</a> . $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ from $I(\text{K x ray})/I_\gamma$ if $\omega(\text{K})=0.618$ (value of $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ of <a href="#">1965An01</a> corrected by the evaluators for the improved value of $\omega(\text{K})$ ). $\alpha(\text{exp})$ : from absolute $I_\gamma$ compared to the total 6.13-min activity. The latter was determined from the activity of daughter $^{82}\text{Br}$ (35.282 h). Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})$ , $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ .

<sup>†</sup> From adopted gammas since energy determined in IT decay is very uncertain.

<sup>‡</sup> [Additional information 1](#).

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.976 3.

$^{82}\text{Br}$  IT decay 1965An01,1965Em02Decay Scheme

%IT=97.6 3

