⁸¹Y ε + β ⁺ decay (70.4 s) 1985Li12

	Hi	story	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 199,271 (2025)	1-Sep-2024

Parent: ⁸¹Y: E=0; $J^{\pi}=(5/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=70.4$ s *11*; $Q(\varepsilon)=5815$ 6; $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$ decay=100

⁸¹Y-Q(ε): from 2021Wa16.

Others: 1981Li12, 1982De36, 1993Mi11, 2005Ka39. 1985Li12: source from 58 Ni(28 Si, α p) at E(28 Si)=95 MeV, alternating 120-s bombardment and 180-s counting cycles, Ge(Li); measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma(t)$. Data from 1981Li12 reappraised.

1982De36: ⁸¹Y from ³²S induced reactions on 54Fe, 58Ni and 50Cr, E=100-160 MeV, β recoil tof mass spectrometer, plastic scin, Ge(Li), x-ray detector.

1981Li12: heavy ion reactions, measured with Ge(Li), intrinsic germanium, plastic detectors.

The adopted decay scheme is essentially that of 1985Li12 and differs fundamentally from that of 1982De36. It relies heavily on tentative J^{π} assignments to Sr excited states deduced from $(\alpha, xn\gamma)$ data.

⁸¹Sr Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2} ‡	Comments
0	$1/2^{-}$	22.3 min 4	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
79.20 4	(5/2)-	0.39 µs 6	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 0.326 μ s 55 (1982De36 – from τ =470 ns 80) and 0.370 μ s 85 (1985Li12,1981Li12).
89.02 7	$(7/2^+)$	6.4 µs 5	
119.76 4	$(1/2^+)$	24 ns 4	
132.3	$(9/2^+)$	<9 ns	Reported in 1993Mi11 only.
155.20 10	$3/2^{-}$	74 ps 20	
203.36 5	$(5/2^+)$	1.1 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: from $\tau < 5$ ns (1982De36); Other: <7 ns (1981Li12).
220.81 7	$3/2^{(+)}$	0.63 ns 20	
336.20 9	$(5/2^+)$	0.16 ns 5	
611.58 8	$(7/2^+)$	<7 ns	$T_{1/2}$: from 1981Li12. Based on electronic time resolution (408 γ and 511 γ observed to be in prompt coincidence).

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

ε, β^+ radiations

1982De36 report endpoint energy of 4520 227 for $T_{1/2}=70 \text{ s} \beta^+$ from A=81 β -recoil nuclei. They attribute this to g.s. feeding, but ΔE is too big to preclude attribution to excited states up to ≈ 200 keV. It was, presumably, feeding the 203 level that was observed.

εK, εL, εM, εN: Additional information 1.

av E β : Additional information 2.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ #	Ie#	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger \#}$	Comments
(5203 6)	611.58	≈15.0	≈0.4	≈5.7	≈15.4	av E β =1908.0 29; ε K=0.02165 45; ε L=0.00257 5; ε M+=5.81×10 ⁻⁴ 10
						E(decay): other: 4723 135 from $E\beta(max)=3701$ 135 (408 γ - β ⁺ coin, 1981Li12).
(5479 6)	336.20	≈2.7	≈0.1	≈6.6	≈2.8	av E β =2039.6 29; ε K=0.01804 37; ε L=0.002140 44; ε M+=4.84×10 ⁻⁴ 9
(5594 6)	220.81	≈4.5	≈0.1	≈6.4	≈4.6	av E β =2094.6 29; ε K=0.01676 34; ε L=0.001988 41; ε M+=4.49×10 ⁻⁴ 8
(5612 6)	203.36	≈32.1	≈0.6	≈5.6	≈32.7	av E β =2103.2 29; ε K=0.01658 34; ε L=0.001967 40;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁸¹Y ε + β ⁺ decay (70.4 s) 1985Li12 (continued)

ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Ιβ ⁺ #	Ie#	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger \#}$	Comments
						$\varepsilon M+=4.45 \times 10^{-4} \ 8$ E(decay): other: 5358 74 from E β (max)=4336 74: weighted average of E β (max)=4235 112 (1981Li12) and 4479 128, 4320 146 (1982De36); from 124 γ - β ⁺ coin.
(5660 6)	155.20	≈3.2	≈0.1	≈6.6	≈3.3	av E β =2126.2 29; ε K=0.01609 33; ε L=0.001909 39; ε M+=4.32×10 ⁻⁴ 8
(5695 6)	119.76	≈4.2	≈0.2	≈8.2	≈4.4	av E β =2142.1 28; ε K=0.0348 7; ε L=0.00415 8; ε M+=9.39×10 ⁻⁴ 16
(5726 6)	89.02	≤36.3	≤0.7	≥5.6	≤37 [‡]	av E β =2157.8 29; ε K=0.01545 31; ε L=0.001833 37; ε M+=4.15×10 ⁻⁴ 7 I(ε + β^{+}): upper limit from transition intensity balance of 18 19
(5736 6)	79.20	≤35.4	≤0.6	≥5.6	≤36 [‡]	av E β =2162.5 29; ϵ K=0.01536 31; ϵ L=0.001822 37; ϵ M+=4.13×10 ⁻⁴ 7
(5815 [@] 6)	0	≤2.5	≤0.1	$\geq 8.5^{1u}$	≤2.6	I(ε+β'): upper limit from transition intensity balance of 17 19. av Eβ=2198.6 28; εK=0.0322 6; εL=0.00384 8; εM+=8.70×10 ⁻⁴ 15

[†] From transition intensity balance at each level, except where otherwise noted.

[‡] Because the 89 level to 79 level transition is unobserved, only $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ branching ($\approx 36.5\%$) to this pair of levels can be deduced. However, if $J^{\pi}({}^{81}Y \text{ g.s.})=5/2^+$, most of the feeding would be expected to go to the (7/2⁺) 89 level. Decay to a level would be allowed (log $ft \le 5.9$) if $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \ge 16.5\%$, so an expected $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ would be $\le 16.5\%$ to the 79 level and rest expected to feed the 89 level. In the dataset the upper-limits are listed for these two levels.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[@] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{81}\mathrm{Sr})$

I γ normalization: if $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.)=98.7% 13 (i.e., assuming g.s. branch <2.6%, which follows if log $f^{1u}t>8.5$). Note: absolute I γ determined by 1982De36 from (total I γ /total β^+ recoils) implies I γ normalization=0.011 1 (97% g.s. branch); the reason for this major discrepancy is not understood. The decay scheme normalization is consequently tentative (see also comments on adopted J^{π} for 81 Y g.s.) and approximate.

${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\&$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$\mathbf{E}_f \qquad \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. [‡]	δ^{\ddagger}	$\alpha^{@}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\&}$	Comments
(9.82)		89.02	(7/2+)	79.20 (5/2)-	[E1]		10.24 14	62 50	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.772 6; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.1254 22 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.01332 25; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.000456 9 α(L)=8.68 12; α(M)=1.410 20 α(N)=0.1498 21; α(O)=0.00513 7 E _γ : 9.82 8 from level energy difference; transition not observed. I _(γ+ce) : from intensity imbalance at 79 and 89 levels, respectively, I(γ+ce)≤109 3 and ≥12.7 4 (assuming mult(114γ)=M1 and I(γ+ce)(43γ) negligible). Note that α(k)(exp)(43γ)=1.5 3 (2005Ka39) implies I(γ+ce)(43γ) is not negligible. An estimated value I(γ+ce)(43γ)=228 does not yield a satisfactory intensity balance to resolve the beta feeding as of the footnote. Measurement of Iγ(43) is needed
43.3		132.3	(9/2+)	89.02 (7/2+)	M1+E2	-0.08 3	1.87 <i>11</i>		needed. $\alpha(K)\exp=1.5 \ 3 \ (2005Ka39)$ $\alpha(K)=1.62 \ 8; \ \alpha(L)=0.210 \ 28; \ \alpha(M)=0.035 \ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.0043 \ 5; \ \alpha(O)=0.000245 \ 9$ E_{γ} : from level energy difference in 1993Mi11. Mult., δ : from Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(K)\exp=1.5 \ 3 \ (2005Ka39;$ measured relative to $\alpha(K)\exp(79.2\gamma)$). This implies mult=M1(+E2) with $\delta \le 0.14$, consistent with adopted value. Additional information 3
79.20 4	66.5 4	79.20	(5/2)-	0 1/2-	E2		2.386 <i>34</i>		Additional motimation 3: %Iγ≈24.7 $\alpha(K)$ =1.953 28; $\alpha(L)$ =0.364 5; $\alpha(M)$ =0.0615 9 $\alpha(N)$ =0.00697 10; $\alpha(O)$ =0.0002410 34 E _γ : weighted average of 79.23 4 (1985Li12) and 79.17 4 (1982De36). I _γ : other: 82 1 (relative to Iγ(124)=100) and 0.91% 25 (1982De36 - %Iγ appears to be erroneous). Mult.: from $\alpha(K)$ exp=2.3 6 ((K x ray)-γ coin, 1982De36) which implies $\delta(E2,M1)$ >2.4.
101.05 5	7.4 4	220.81	3/2(+)	119.76 (1/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	-0.5 2	0.32 10		% $I\gamma \approx 2.75$ $\alpha(K)=0.28 \ 8; \ \alpha(L)=0.039 \ 14; \ \alpha(M)=0.0066 \ 23$ $\alpha(N)=7.8 \times 10^{-4} \ 26; \ \alpha(O)=3.8 \times 10^{-5} \ 10$

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

					:	⁸¹ Y ε + β ⁺ de	cay (70.4	s) 1985Li1 2	2 (continued)
							$\gamma(^{81}\mathrm{Sr})$	(continued)	
E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger} &	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [‡]	δ^{\ddagger}	α@	Comments
114.34 4	11.4 3	203.36	(5/2+)	89.02	(7/2 ⁺)	[M1]		0.1122 16	% $I\gamma \approx 4.23$ $\alpha(K)=0.0988 \ 14; \ \alpha(L)=0.01125 \ 16; \ \alpha(M)=0.001895 \ 27$ $\alpha(N)=0.0002369 \ 33; \ \alpha(O)=1.509 \times 10^{-5} \ 21$
115.39 6	5.7 5	336.20	(5/2+)	220.81	3/2 ⁽⁺⁾	(M1+E2)	-0.2 1	0.128 22	% $I\gamma \approx 2.12$ $\alpha(K) = 0.112 \ 18; \ \alpha(L) = 0.0135 \ 29; \ \alpha(M) = 0.0023 \ 5$ $\alpha(M) = 0.0028 \ 6; \ \alpha(Q) = 1.67 \times 10^{-5} \ 23$
119.76 4	21.5 17	119.76	$(1/2^+)$	0	1/2-	(E1)		0.0597 8	$\alpha(N)=0.00028\ 0,\ \alpha(O)=1.07\times10^{-2.5}\ 2.5$ %Iy ~ 8.0 $\alpha(K)=0.0528\ 7;\ \alpha(L)=0.00582\ 8;\ \alpha(M)=0.000970\ 14$
124.16 <i>3</i>	110.7 9	203.36	(5/2+)	79.20	(5/2)-	(E1)		0.0537 8	$\alpha(N)=0.0001195 17; \alpha(O)=7.22\times10^{-6} 10^{-6} N_{\rm I}\gamma\approx41.1$ $\alpha(K)=0.0475 7; \alpha(L)=0.00523 7; \alpha(M)=0.000872 12^{-6} \Omega(N)=0.0001075 15; \alpha(O)=6.51\times10^{-6} 9^{-6} E_{\gamma}$: other: 124.17 4 (1982De36). I_{γ} : other: 100 (relative) and 1.1% 1 (1982De36 - %Iy appears to
155.20 10	8.5 10	155.20	3/2-	0	1/2-	(M1+E2)	+0.1 1	0.051 4	be erroneous). % $I\gamma \approx 3.2$ $\alpha(K)=0.045 4; \alpha(L)=0.0051 5; \alpha(M)=0.00086 9$ $\alpha(K)=0.000107 L1; \alpha(G)=6.8\times 10^{-6} 5$
216.6	<2.2	336.20	(5/2+)	119.76	(1/2 ⁺)	(E2)		0.0606 8	%Iγ<0.816 α(K)=0.0527 7; α(L)=0.00663 9; α(M)=0.001114 16 $α(N)=0.0001346 19; α(O)=7.29×10^{-6} 10$ $E_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}: \gamma$ not observed by 1985Li12; Eγ is from level energy difference in 1993Mi11, Iγ is limit from 1985Li12.
221 [#]	95	220.81	3/2 ⁽⁺⁾	0	1/2-	(E1)		0.01002 14	%I γ ≈3.3 α (K)=0.00887 <i>12</i> ; α (L)=0.000967 <i>14</i> ; α (M)=0.0001617 <i>23</i> α (N)=2.011×10 ⁻⁵ <i>28</i> : α (Q)=1.260×10 ⁻⁶ <i>18</i>
408.22 8	41.2 10	611.58	(7/2 ⁺)	203.36	(5/2+)	(M1)		0.00424 6	$%I\gamma \approx 15.3$ $\alpha(K)=0.00375 5; \alpha(L)=0.000412 6; \alpha(M)=6.92\times 10^{-5} 10$ $\alpha(N)=8.69\times 10^{-6} 12; \alpha(O)=5.66\times 10^{-7} 8$ E_{γ} : weighted average of 408.18 6 (1982De36) and 408.36 11 (1985Li12). I_{γ} : other: 35 6 (relative to $I\gamma(124)=100$) and 0.4% 1 (1982De36 – % by appears to be acroneous.)
479.3		611.58	$(7/2^+)$	132.3	$(9/2^+)$				E_{γ} : from level energy difference in 1993Mi11. Weak transition.

[†] From 1985Li12, except as noted. Data for the 3 gammas in 1982De36, listed in comments, are in agreement, except for Iγ(79).
[‡] From Adopted Gammas, except as noted.
[#] Unresolved from much stronger 221γ from ⁸³Zr ε decay. Decay time of multiplet indicates that most of the intensity comes from the A=83 chain (1985Li12).
[@] Additional information 4.
[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.371.

4

From ENSDF

 ${}^{81}_{38}{
m Sr}_{43}{
m -4}$

⁸¹Y ε decay (70.4 s) _____1985Li12



 $^{81}_{38}{
m Sr}_{43}$