#### <sup>81</sup>Y $\varepsilon$ decay 1985Li12

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 109, 2257 (2008)	15-Aug-2008

Parent: <sup>81</sup>Y: E=0;  $J^{\pi}=(5/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=70.4$  s *10*;  $Q(\varepsilon)=5819$  *9*;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=100.0

Others: 1981Li12, 1982De36, 1993Mi11, 2005Ka39. 1985Li12: source from  ${}^{58}$ Ni( ${}^{28}$ Si, $\alpha$ p) at E( ${}^{28}$ Si)=95 MeV, alternating 120-s bombardment and 180-s counting cycles, Ge(Li); measured Ey,  $I\gamma(t)$ . Data from 1981Li12 reappraised.

1982De36: <sup>81</sup>Y from <sup>32</sup>S induced reactions on <sup>54</sup>Fe, <sup>58</sup>Ni and <sup>50</sup>Cr, E=100-160 MeV,  $\beta$  recoil tof mass spectrometer, plastic scin, Ge(Li), x-ray detector.

1981Li12: heavy ion reactions, measured with Ge(Li), intrinsic germanium, plastic detectors.

The adopted decay scheme is essentially that of 1985Li12 and differs fundamentally from that of 1982De36. It relies heavily on tentative  $J^{\pi}$  assignments to Sr excited states deduced from  $(\alpha, xn\gamma)$  data.

## <sup>81</sup>Sr Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0	1/2-	22.3 min 4	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
79.23 4	$(5/2)^{-}$	0.34 μs 5	$T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of 326 ns 55 (1982De36) and 370 ns 85 (1985Li12).
89.05 7	$(7/2^+)$		
119.76 4	$(1/2^+)$		
132.3	$(9/2^+)$		Reported in 1993Mi11 only.
155.20 10	$(3/2^{-})$		
203.39 5	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	<3.5 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : from 1982De36; other: <7 ns (1981Li12).
220.81 7	$(3/2^+)$		
336.20 9	$(5/2^+)$		
611.57 8	$(7/2^+)$	<7 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : from 1981Li12. Based on electronic time resolution (408 $\gamma$ and 511 $\gamma$ observed to be in prompt coincidence).

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

## $\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations

1982De36 report endpoint energy of 4520 227 for  $T_{1/2}$ =70 s  $\beta^+$  from  $\alpha$ =81  $\beta$ -recoil nuclei. They attribute this to g.s. feeding, but  $\Delta E$  is too great to preclude attribution to excited states up to  $\approx 200$  keV. it was, presumably, feeding to the 203 level that was observed.

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\beta^+$ #	Ie#	$\log ft^{\dagger}$	$\mathrm{I}(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\#}$	Comments
4.72×10 <sup>3</sup> 14	611.57	15.0 7	0.366 17	5.693 22	15.4 7	av Eβ=1920.5 44; εK=0.02083 13; εL=0.002432 16; εM+=0.000530 4
						E(decay): from E $\beta$ (max)=3701 135 (408 $\gamma$ - $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> coin, 1981Li12).
(5483 9)	336.20	2.7 4	0.055 8	6.56 7	2.8 4	av Eβ=2053.0 44; εK=0.01731 11; εL=0.002020 12; εM+=0.000440 3
(5598 9)	220.81	4.5 20	0.08 4	6.39 19	4.6 20	av Eβ=2108.6 44; εK=0.01608 10; εL=0.001876 11; εM+=0.0004087 2
5358 74	203.39	32.1 12	0.594 23	5.550 18	32.7 12	av Eβ=2117.0 44; εK=0.01590 9; εL=0.001855 11; εM+=0.0004042 2
						E(decay): from weighted average of $E\beta(max)=4235\ 112$ (1981Li12) and 4479 128, 4320 146 (1982De36); from $124\gamma$ - $\beta^+$ coin.

# <sup>81</sup>Y $\varepsilon$ decay 1985Li12 (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Ιβ <sup>+</sup> #	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\#}$	$\log ft^{\dagger}$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\#}$	Comments
(5664 9)	155.20	3.2 4	0.058 7	6.57 6	3.3 4	av Eβ=2140.3 44; εK=0.01542 9; εL=0.001799 11; εM+=0.0003921 2
(5699 9)	119.76	4.3 8	0.076 14	6.46 8	4.4 8	av Eβ=2157.4 44; εK=0.01508 9; εL=0.001760 10; εM+=0.0003835 2
						Log <i>ft</i> : far too low for a $\Delta J=2$ , $\Delta \pi=No$ transition.
(5730 9)	89.05	≤35	≤0.61	≥5.6	≤36 <sup>‡</sup>	av E $\beta$ =2172.2 44; $\varepsilon$ K=0.01480 9; $\varepsilon$ L=0.001727 10; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.0003762 2
(5740 9)	79.23	≤35	≤0.60	≥5.6	≤36 <sup>‡</sup>	av Eβ=2177.0 44; εK=0.01471 9; εL=0.001716 10; εM+=0.0003740 2
(5819 <sup>@</sup> 9)	0	≤2.5	≤0.091	$\geq 8.5^{1u}$	≤2.6	av Eβ=2217.1 43; εK=0.03079 18; εL=0.003611 21; εM+=0.000787 5

### $\epsilon, \beta^+$ radiations (continued)

<sup>†</sup> Calculated using Q=5819 9 from mass measurement by 2006Ka48. note that this value is significantly higher than Q=5510 60 (2003Au03) based on earlier  $\beta$  endpoint data.

<sup>‡</sup> Because the 89 level to 79 level transition is unobserved, only  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  branching (=35.6% 12) to this pair of levels can be deduced. However, if  $J^{\pi}({}^{81}Y \text{ g.s.})=5/2^+$ , most of the feeding would be expected to go to the (7/2<sup>+</sup>) 89 level. Decay to either level would be allowed (log  $ft \le 5.9$ ) if I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) $\ge 16.5\%$ , so the evaluator suggests I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ )=8% 8 feeds the 79 level leaving I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ )=28% 8 (log ft = 5.67 13) to feed the 89 level.

<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>@</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{81}{\rm Sr})$ 

Iγ normalization: if  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s.)=98.7% 13 (i.e., assuming g.s. branch <2.6%, which follows if log  $f^{du}t>8.5$ ). Note: absolute Iγ determined by 1982De36 from (total Iγ/total  $\beta^+$  recoils) implies Iγ normalization=0.011 *I* (97% g.s. branch); the reason for this major discrepancy is not understood. The decay scheme normalization is consequently tentative (see also comments on adopted J<sup>π</sup> for <sup>81</sup>Y g.s.).

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{\&}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{@}$	Comments
(9.82)		89.05	(7/2+)	79.23	(5/2)-	(E1)		10.24	62 50	ce(L)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.772 6; ce(M)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.1254 22; ce(N+)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.0138 3 ce(N)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.0133 3; ce(O)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.000456 9 I( $_{\gamma+ce}$ ): from intensity imbalance at 79 and 89 levels, respectively, I( $\gamma$ +ce)≤109.5 17 and≥12.7 4 (assuming mult(114 $\gamma$ )=M1 and Ti(43 $\gamma$ ) negligible). E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 9.82 8 from level energy difference; transition not observed.
43.2		132.3	(9/2+)	89.05	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	-0.08 3	1.89 <i>12</i>		α(K)=1.64 8; α(L)=0.21 3; α(M)=0.036 5; α(N+)=0.0046 6 α(N)=0.0043 5; α(O)=0.000246 9 Eγ: from level energy difference in 1993Mi11. Mult.,δ: from Adopted Gammas. α(K)exp=1.5 3 (2005Ka39; measured relative to α(K)exp(79.2γ)). This implies mult=M1(+E2) with δ≤0.14, consistent with adopted value.
79.23 4	66.5 4	79.23	(5/2)-	0	1/2-	(E2)		2.38		$\alpha(K)=1.95^{-3}; \alpha(L)=0.364^{-6}; \alpha(M)=0.0614^{-9}; \alpha(N+)=0.00720^{-11}$ $\alpha(N)=0.00696^{-10}; \alpha(O)=0.000241^{-4}$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=2.3^{-6} (K \times ray)-\gamma$ coin, 1982De36) which implies $\delta(E2,M1)>2.4$ . Ev=79.17.4 [ $\chi$ =90.8 <i>U</i> in 1982De36
101.05 5	7.4 4	220.81	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	119.76	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	-0.5 +2-0	0.32 10		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.28 \ 8; \ \alpha(\text{L})=0.039 \ 14; \ \alpha(\text{M})=0.0066 \ 24; \ \alpha(\text{N}+)=0.0008 \ 3 \ \alpha(\text{N})=0.0008 \ 3; \ \alpha(\text{O})=3.8\times10^{-5} \ 10$
114.34 4	11.4 3	203.39	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	89.05	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]		0.1122		$\alpha(K) = 0.0988 \ 14; \ \alpha(L) = 0.01125 \ 16; \alpha(M) = 0.00190 \ 3; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.000252 \ 4 \alpha(N) = 0.000237 \ 4; \ \alpha(O) = 1.509 \times 10^{-5} \ 22$
115.39 6	5.7 5	336.20	(5/2+)	220.81	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1+E2)	-0.2 1	0.128 22		$\alpha(K)=0.112 \ 19; \ \alpha(L)=0.014 \ 3; \ \alpha(M)=0.0023 \ 5; \ \alpha(N+)=0.00030 \ 6 \ \alpha(N)=0.00028 \ 6; \ \alpha(O)=1.67\times10^{-5} \ 23$
119.76 4	21.5 17	119.76	$(1/2^+)$	0	$1/2^{-}$	(E1)		0.0597		$\alpha(K) = 0.0528 \ 8; \ \alpha(L) = 0.00582 \ 9;$

ω

					$^{81}$ Y $\varepsilon$ decay	1985Li12 (	continued	)	
					$\gamma(^{81}S)$	Sr) (continue	d)		
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
124.16 3	110.7 9	203.39	(3/2+,5/2+,7/2+)	79.23	(5/2)-	(E1)		0.0537	$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha(M) = 0.000970 \ 14; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.0001268 \ 18 \\ \alpha(N) = 0.0001195 \ 17; \ \alpha(O) = 7.22 \times 10^{-6} \ 11 \\ \alpha(K) = 0.0475 \ 7; \ \alpha(L) = 0.00523 \ 8; \ \alpha(M) = 0.000872 \\ 13; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.0001140 \ 16 \\ \alpha(N) = 0.0001075 \ 15; \ \alpha(O) = 6.51 \times 10^{-6} \ 10 \end{array} $
155.20 10	8.5 10	155.20	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0	1/2-	(M1+E2)	+0.1 1	0.051 5	Other Ey: 124.17 4 (1982De36). $\alpha(K)=0.045$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0051$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00086$ 10;
216.6	<2.2	336.20	(5/2+)	119.76	(1/2+)	(E2)		0.0606	$\alpha(N+)=0.000114 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.000107 11; \ \alpha(O)=6.8\times10^{-6} 5$ $\alpha(K)=0.0527 8; \ \alpha(L)=0.00663 10;$ $\alpha(M)=0.001114 16; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0001419 20$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001346 19; \ \alpha(O)=7.29\times10^{-6} 11$
									$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ not observed by 1985Li12; $E\gamma$ is from level energy difference in 1993Mi11, $I\gamma$ is limit from 1985Li12
221 <sup>#</sup>	95	220.81	(3/2+)	0	1/2-	(E1)		0.01002	$\alpha(K)=0.00887 \ 13; \ \alpha(L)=0.000967 \ 14; \ \alpha(M)=0.0001617 \ 23; \ \alpha(N+)=2.14\times10^{-5} \ 3$
408.18 6	41.2 10	611.57	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	203.39	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1)		0.00424	$\alpha(N)=2.01\times10^{-5} 3; \ \alpha(O)=1.260\times10^{-6} 18$ $\alpha(K)=0.00375 6; \ \alpha(L)=0.000412 6;$ $\alpha(M)=6.92\times10^{-5} 10; \ \alpha(N+)=9.26\times10^{-6} 13$ $\alpha(N)=8.70\times10^{-6} 13 \times 10^{-7} 8$
479.3		611.57	(7/2+)	132.3	(9/2+)				$ α(N)=8.70\times10^{-7}13; α(O)=5.07\times10^{-7}8 $ Eγ from 1982De36; 408.36 11 in 1985Li12. Iγ=39 7 (1982De36). E <sub>γ</sub> : from level energy difference in 1993Mi11. Weak transition.

<sup>†</sup> From 1985Li12, except as noted. Data for the 3 gammas in 1982De36 agree closely with these, except for  $I\gamma(79)$ .

4

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Gammas, except As noted. <sup>#</sup> Unresolved from much stronger  $221\gamma$  from <sup>83</sup>Zr  $\varepsilon$  decay. Decay time of multiplet indicates that most of intensity comes from the  $\alpha$ =83 chain (1985Li12). <sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.372 *12*.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

### <sup>81</sup>Y $\varepsilon$ decay 1985Li12 Legend Decay Scheme $\begin{array}{l} I_{\gamma} < & 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ I_{\gamma} < & 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ I_{\gamma} > & 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ \gamma & \text{Decay (Uncertain)} \end{array}$ Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays $\frac{(5/2^{+})}{Q_{\varepsilon}=5819.9}$ $\frac{81}{39}Y_{42}$ -0 70.4 s 10 Coincidence 7 4203 498,68 (11) 15,4 $I\beta^+$ <u>I</u>£ Log ft $(7/2^+)$ 611.57 <7 ns 15.0 0.366 5.693 $\downarrow^{26_6}$ $\downarrow^{1,5_{5,6}}$ $^{1,5_{5,9}}$ $^{0,1,2_{8,8}}$ $^{0,1,2_{5,3}}$ $\Box^{2_{1}}_{l_{1}l_{0}}$ $(5/2^+)$ 336.20 2.7 0.055 6.56 $= \frac{1}{1_{1,4,3}^{1,4}} \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,3,4}^{1,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,3,4}^{1,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,3,4}^{1,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,3,4}^{1,6,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,4,5}^{1,6,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,4,5}^{1,6,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,4,5}^{1,6,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,5,5}^{1,6,6,6}} + \frac{1}{(k_{1})_{4,5,5}^{1,6,6}} + \frac{1}$ $(3/2^+)$ 220.81 4.5 0.08 6.39 (3/2+,5/2+,7/2+) 203.39 + 43 + Mr + 15 <3.5 ns 32.1 0.594 5.550 $(3/2^{-})$ 155.20 3.2 0.058 6.57 (E)23 (9/2+) ð 132.3 $(1/2^+)$ 119.76 4.3 0.076 6.46 <u>چ</u> $\frac{(7/2^+)}{(5/2)^-}$ <u>رې</u> 89.05 79.23 $\leq 35 \leq 35$ $\substack{\geq 5.6\\\geq 5.6}$ < 0.61 0.34 µs 5 $\leq 0.60$ 1/2-0 $\leq 0.091 \geq 8.5^{1u}$ 22.3 min 4 $\leq 2.5$

 $^{81}_{38}{
m Sr}_{43}$