<sup>81</sup>Sr  $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28

	Hi		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 199,271 (2025)	1-Sep-2024

Parent: <sup>81</sup>Sr: E=0;  $J^{\pi}=1/2^{-}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=22.3 \text{ min } 4$ ;  $Q(\varepsilon)=3929 \ 6$ ;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^{+} \text{ decay}=100$ 

<sup>81</sup>Sr-Q( $\varepsilon$ ): from 2021Wa16.

Others: 1982Th03, 1981FrZY, 1977LiZP, 1973Br32, 1971Do01.

**1980Ho28**: Chemically separated source; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ ; low-energy photon spectrometer (FWHM=550 eV at 122 keV) and Ge(Li) (FWHM=2.5 keV at 1.33 MeV).

1973Br32: Ge(Li) and Ge(Li)-NaI detectors, chemically separated source; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma$ - $\gamma^{\pm}$  coin,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , E $\beta$ ,  $\beta^+$ - $\gamma$  coin.

1981FrZY: single and coincidence spectra, measured with Ge(Li) (FWHM=2.5-3.5 keV at 1.33 MeV) and Si(Li), timing FWHM=12 ns. Source not chemically separated.

### <sup>81</sup>Rb Levels

Decay schemes of 1973Br32 and 1981FrZY are based on Ritz combination principle, intensity balances, and coincidence measurements. The 1980Ho28 scheme is based only on the Ritz principle and intensity balances. Different authors propose significantly different decay schemes. The adopted scheme is essentially that of 1980Ho28 with the addition of levels at 1061 keV and 1218 keV (proposed in 1981FrZY) and the  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data from 1973Br32 and 1981FrZY. Levels at 497, 819, 866, 1344 and 1600, proposed in 1973Br32, are not adopted because  $\gamma$  rays deexciting them are either placed differently or are unobserved by 1980Ho28 or 1981FrZY.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \#}$	$T_{1/2}^{\#}$	Comments
0.0	3/2-	4.571 h 4	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
86.31 7	9/2+	30.5 min 3	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
153.481 20	5/2-	0.21 ns 10	-,- *
188.228 17	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$		
245.271 25	5/2+		
301.240 16	$(3/2)^{-}$		
443.387 19	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$		
463.00 5	1/2+,3/2,5/2,7/2-		
486.69 5	$(5/2^{-})$		
574.729 <i>23</i>	$(1/2)^{-}$		
630.59 6	$(5/2^+)$		
702.16 4	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$		
711.93 <i>3</i>	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$		
909.089 19	$(3/2)^{-}$		
923.02 8	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$		
1061.42?‡ 7	$1/2^{+}$		
1218.9 <sup>‡</sup> 5	$1/2^{(+)}, 3/2$		
1243.63 13	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$		
1381.89 <i>3</i>	$(3/2)^{-}$		
1513.10 12	(1/2, 3/2)		
1553.67 4	1/2-,3/2-		
1804.35 13	(1/2,3/2)		
1848.15 <i>18</i>	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$		
2165.4 4	$(1/2^+)$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ , omitting 978.66, 1252.82 and 1554.15 from 1553.7 keV level; 1382.44 from 1381.9 keV level. Without and with considerations  $\chi^2$ =3.4 and 1.8, respectively, cf.  $\chi^2_{crit}$ =1.5.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1981FrZY decay scheme only; based on  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data.

<sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels.

#### <sup>81</sup>Sr $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28 (continued)

#### $\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations

The decay scheme is incomplete and the normalization to be considered as approximate.  $\varepsilon K$ ,  $\varepsilon L$ ,  $\varepsilon M$ ,  $\varepsilon N$ : Additional information 2. av E $\beta$ : Additional information 3.

Iβ<sup>+</sup> ‡ Ιε<sup>‡</sup>  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger \ddagger}$ E(level) Log ft E(decay) Comments 2165.4 (1764 6) 0.032 7 0.158 39 6.33 10 0.19 4 av E<sub>β</sub>=323.7 26; εK=0.722 14; εL=0.0885 18; €M+=0.01943 36 (2081 6) 0.26 4 1848.15 0.106 18 0.154 36 6.49 7 av Eβ=461.8 26; εK=0.516 22; εL=0.0632 27; €M+=0.0139 5  $(2125\ 6)$ 1804.35 0.132 23 0.168 44 6.47 8 0.30 5 av E<sub>β</sub>=481.1 26; εK=0.488 23; εL=0.0597 28; *ε*M+=0.0131 5 (2375 6) 1553.67 2.05 45 1.35 21 5.67 7 3.4 5 av Eβ=592.0 27; εK=0.345 21; εL=0.0421 26;  $\varepsilon M$ +=0.0092 5 (2416 6) 1513.10 0.219 46 0.131 20 6.70 6 0.35 5 av Eβ=610.4 27; εK=0.326 21; εL=0.0398 25; εM+=0.00871 49 (2547 6) 1381.89 3.57 1.58 24 5.66 6 5.1 7 av E\beta=669.1 27; EK=0.270 19; EL=0.0329 23; €M+=0.00722 43 1243.63 0.14 6 0.048 16 7.22 14 0.19 6 av Eβ=731.4 27; εK=0.222 16; εL=0.027 2; (2685 6) €M+=0.00593 38 (2710 6) 1218.9  $\geq 0.14$ ≥0.04 ≤7.3  $\geq 0.18$ av E<sub>β</sub>=742.7 27; εK=0.214 16; εL=0.0261 19; €M+=0.00573 37  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : lower limit from an intensity balance of 0.182 23. (2868# 6) 1061.42? ≤0.26  $\leq 0.07$ ≥7.2 ≤0.33 av Eβ=814.5 27; εK=0.172 13; εL=0.0210 16; *ε*M+=0.00461 *31*  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : from intensity balance of 0.11 22 at this level. (3006 6) 923.02 0.33 7 0.064 13 7.20 8 0.39 7 av E\beta=877.5 27; EK=0.143 12; EL=0.0175 14; €M+=0.00384 27 909.089 8.0 12 9.6 12 av E<sub>β</sub>=884.0 27; εK=0.141 11; εL=0.0172 14; (3020 6) 1.55 23 5.82 6 €M+=0.00377 26 (3217 6) 711.93 ≤2.6 ≤0.4 ≥6.5 ≤3 av E<sub>β</sub>=974.5 28; εK=0.110 9; εL=0.0134 11; €M+=0.00294 21  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : upper limit for possible different placements of several  $\gamma$  rays from the level. Transition intensity balance gives 2.6 4. (3227 6) 702.16 0.34 10 0.049 13 7.38 11 0.39 10 av Eβ=979.1 28; εK=0.109 9; εL=0.0132 11; €M+=0.00290 21 8.31<sup>1u</sup> 7 (3298 6) 630.59 0.68 13 0.25 4 0.93 14 av Eβ=1032.0 27; εK=0.231 16; εL=0.0283 20; €M+=0.00621 38 6.13 6 (3354 6) 574.729 7.9 12 0.94 15 8.8 12 av Eβ=1037.7 28; εK=0.093 8; εL=0.0114 10; €M+=0.00250 18 (3442<sup>#</sup> 6) 486.69  $\leq 0.28$  $\leq 0.08$ ≥8.9 ≤0.36 av Eβ=1097.5 27; εK=0.197 15; εL=0.0242 18; €M+=0.00531 34  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : upper limit from an intensity balance of 0.15 21. (3466 6) 463.00 ≤0.43 ≤0.044 ≥7.5 ≤0.47 av E<sub>β</sub>=1089.6 28; εK=0.082 7; εL=0.0100 9; €M+=0.00219 16  $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : upper limit from assigned for possible different placement of  $\gamma$  ray from the level. Transition intensity balance gives 0.47 9. (3486 6) 443.387 16.6 24 1.69 27 5.91 6 18.3 24 av Eβ=1098.8 28; εK=0.080 7; εL=0.0098 8; €M+=0.00215 16 E(decay): 3457 92 (from measured  $E_0=2435 92 +$ 1022) (1973Br32).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

			<sup>81</sup> S	Sr $\varepsilon$ + $\beta^+$ dec	cay (22.3 min)	1980Ho28 (continued)		
$\epsilon, \beta^+$ radiations (continued)								
E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> ‡	I $arepsilon^{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger\ddagger}$	Comments		
(3628 6)	301.240	29 5	2.45 45	5.78 7	31 5	av $E\beta$ =1164.8 28; $\varepsilon$ K=0.069 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0084 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00184 14 E(decay): 3706 30 (from measured E <sub>0</sub> =2684 30 + 1022) (1973Br32).		
(3684 <sup>#</sup> 6)	245.271	< 0.07	< 0.01	>9.8 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i>	< 0.08	av E $\beta$ =1208.0 27; $\varepsilon$ K=0.152 12; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0187 15; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00410 28		
(3741 6)	188.228	6.7 13	0.51 10	6.50 8	7.2 13	I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ): upper limit from an intensity balance of $-0.01 \ 8$ . av E $\beta$ =1217.5 28; $\varepsilon$ K=0.061 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0074 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00163 12		
(3929 <sup>#</sup> 6)	0.0	11 <i>11</i>	0.7 6	6.4 4	12 11	av $E\beta$ =1305.4 28; $\varepsilon K$ =0.0507 45; $\varepsilon L$ =0.0062 5; $\varepsilon M$ +=0.00135 10 I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ): from net I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) negative feeding at the g.s 100 – $\Sigma I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ to the excited states yields ≈10.5 and there are the negative feeding of 0.15 3 and 1 4 at the 86.3 and 153.5 keV levels, imply inaccurate or missing $\gamma$ placement. The decay scheme is incomplete and the normalization to be considered as approximate.		

<sup>†</sup> From total transition intensity balance, Iγ(1+α), at each level.
<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

# $\gamma(^{81}\text{Rb})$

Iy normalization: from intensity balances and measured relative  $I(\gamma^{\pm})=245 I\gamma(443\gamma)=24.2 I8 (1973Br32)$ , assuming theoretical  $I\beta^+/I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$  ratios. The decay scheme

is incomplete and the normalization to be considered as approximate.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	δ	α <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
55.95	0.53 4	301.240	(3/2)-	245.271	5/2+	[E1]		0.527 7	$\alpha(K)=0.465$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0522$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.00851$
86.26	5 19	86.31	9/2+	0.0	3/2-	E3		17.66 <i>31</i>	$\begin{array}{l} \alpha(\mathrm{N}) = 0.000926 \ 13; \ \alpha(\mathrm{O}) = 3.47 \times 10^{-5} \ 5 \\ \alpha(\mathrm{K}) = 12.12 \ 20; \ \alpha(\mathrm{L}) = 4.68 \ 9; \ \alpha(\mathrm{M}) = 0.790 \ 15 \\ \alpha(\mathrm{N}) = 0.0739 \ 14; \ \alpha(\mathrm{O}) = 0.000825 \ 14 \\ \mathrm{E}_{\gamma}: \ \text{weighted average of } 86.2 \ \mathrm{keV} \ 2 \\ (1977 \mathrm{LiZP}) \ \mathrm{and} \ 86.6 \ \mathrm{keV} \ 5 \ (1981 \mathrm{FrZY}). \\ \mathrm{Mult.: \ from } \ \alpha(\mathrm{K}) \mathrm{exp} / (\alpha(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{exp} + \alpha(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{exp}) = 2.57 \\ \ 30 \ (1956 \mathrm{Do} 52). \ \alpha(\mathrm{K}) / (\alpha(\mathrm{L}) + \alpha(\mathrm{M})) = 2.22 \ \mathrm{(E3)} \end{array}$
113.02	0.98 8	301.240	(3/2)-	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	[M1,E2]		0.36 26	theory). $\alpha(K)=0.31\ 22;\ \alpha(L)=0.044\ 34;\ \alpha(M)=0.007\ 6$ $\alpha(N)=8.E-4\ 6;\ \alpha(O)=2.4\times10^{-5}\ 16$
131.56	0.13 8	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$				
142.15	<i>i 3</i> 17.3 5	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	301.240	(3/2)-	[M1,E2]		0.16 11	$\alpha(K)=0.14\ 9;\ \alpha(L)=0.018\ 13;\ \alpha(M)=0.0030\ 21$ $\alpha(N)=3\ 2\times10^{-4}\ 22;\ \alpha(O)=1\ 1\times10^{-5}\ 7$
147.76	<i>3</i> 172 5	301.240	(3/2)-	153.481	5/2-	[M1,E2]		0.14 9	$\alpha(K) = 0.12 \ 8; \ \alpha(L) = 0.016 \ 11; \ \alpha(M) = 0.0026 \ 18 \ \alpha(N) = 2 \ 8 \times 10^{-4} \ 19; \ \alpha(O) = 10 \times 10^{-5} \ 6$
153.54	3 193 6	153.481	5/2-	0.0	3/2-	(M1+E2)	< 0.5	0.061 16	$\alpha(K) = 0.053 \ 13; \ \alpha(L) = 0.0063 \ 19; \ \alpha(M) = 0.00104 \ 31$
158.96	<i>6</i> 0.70 <i>11</i>	245.271	5/2+	86.31	9/2+	[E2]		0.1764 25	$\begin{array}{l} \alpha(\mathrm{N}) = 1.16 \times 10^{-4} \ 32; \ \alpha(\mathrm{O}) = 4.6 \times 10^{-6} \ 10 \\ \alpha(\mathrm{K}) = 0.1526 \ 21; \ \alpha(\mathrm{L}) = 0.02008 \ 28; \\ \alpha(\mathrm{M}) = 0.00331 \ 5 \end{array}$
188.27	3 88 3	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	0.0	3/2-	[M1,E2]		0.061 34	$\alpha$ (N)=0.000354 5; $\alpha$ (O)=1.205×10 <sup>-5</sup> 17 $\alpha$ (K)=0.053 30; $\alpha$ (L)=0.007 4; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0011 6
197.32 206.98	2.8         0.51 14           7         1.70 17           4         1.86 20	909.089 909.089 463.00	$(3/2)^{-}$ $(3/2)^{-}$ $1/2^{+}$ $3/2$ $5/2$ $7/2^{-}$	711.93 702.16 245.271	$(1/2^-, 3/2, 5/2^+)$ $(1/2^-, 3/2)$ $5/2^+$	[D,E2] [D,E2]			$\alpha(N)=1.2\times10^{-4}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=4.4\times10^{-6}$ 23
245.24	4 4.0 <i>3</i>	405.00 245.271	1/2 ,5/2,5/2,7/2 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]		0.00697 10	$^{81}$ Rb β <sup>+</sup> decay at same E in 1981FrZY. $\alpha$ (K)=0.00618 9; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000666 9; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001095 15
									$\alpha$ (N)=1.231×10 <sup>-5</sup> <i>17</i> ; $\alpha$ (O)=5.17×10 <sup>-7</sup> <i>7</i> E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : coincides with $\gamma$ from <sup>81</sup> Rb $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decay in 1981FrZY. $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
255.16 289.95	3     8.9 4       5     0.6 3	443.387 443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$ $1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	188.228 153.481	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>				

4

# <sup>81</sup>Sr $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28 (continued)

# $\gamma(^{81}\text{Rb})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Comments
301.30 3	8.3 4	301.240	$(3/2)^{-}$	0.0	3/2-	
347.8 <i>3</i>	0.29 26	923.02	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	
386.55 4	9.4 5	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	
410.83 11	2.5 4	711.93	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$	301.240	$(3/2)^{-}$	$E_{\gamma}$ : 412.6 5 $\gamma$ ray observed in 1973Br32 is placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
421.29 6	5.4 <i>4</i>	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	153.481	5/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
422.47 15	2.1 3	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	486.69	$(5/2^{-})$	
443.34 4	100 <i>3</i>	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	0.0	3/2-	
463.08 17	1.7 <i>3</i>	463.00	1/2+,3/2,5/2,7/2-	0.0	3/2-	
465.80 5	6.9 4	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	
477.15 16	3.7 4	630.59	$(5/2^+)$	153.481	5/2-	$\gamma$ from <sup>81</sup> Rb $\beta^+$ decay at same energy in 1981FrZY.
486.69 <mark>6@</mark> 6	6.7 <mark>b</mark> 11	486.69	(5/2-)	0.0	3/2-	
486.69 <sup><b>b@c</b> 6</sup>	0.6 <sup>b</sup> 12	1061.42?	1/2+	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	
507.0 <sup>#</sup> 5	≥1.02 <sup>#</sup>	1218.9	$1/2^{(+)}, 3/2$	711.93	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$	
523.71 4	7.6 3	711.93	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
541.51 <i>14</i>	0.68 25	1243.63	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	702.16	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$	
548.65 5	3.18 16	702.16	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$	153.481	5/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : coincides with $\gamma$ from <sup>81</sup> Rb $\beta^+$ decay in 1981FrZY.
558.8 <i>4</i>	0.21 11	711.93	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$	153.481	5/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
574.67 <i>3</i>	38.5 12	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	0.0	3/2-	
607.88 <i>3</i>	7.8 <i>3</i>	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	301.240	$(3/2)^{-}$	
630.57 6	1.66 13	630.59	$(5/2^+)$	0.0	3/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
644.56 17	1.17 12	1553.67	1/2-,3/2-	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	
663.6 <i>3</i>	0.48 10	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	245.271	$5/2^+$	$E_{\gamma}$ : 1981FrZY report a 664-keV sum peak from $153\gamma + \gamma^{\pm}$ .
670.4 3	0.60 10	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	711.93	$(1/2^-, 3/2, 5/2^+)$	
702.14 9	5.79.23	702.16	(1/2, 3/2) $(1/2 - 3/2, 5/2^+)$	0.0	3/2	E
711.90 0	7.9.3	/11.93	$(1/2, 3/2, 3/2^{-1})$	100 220	$\frac{3}{2}$	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
720.81 5	20.2 0	909.089	(3/2) (1/2 - 3/2)	100.220	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	E : a placed alsowhere by 1073Br32
807.04.18	0.12.8	1381.80	(1/2, 3/2) $(3/2)^{-}$	574 720	$(1/2)^{-}$	$E_{\gamma}$ . y placed elsewhere by 1973b132.
811.01.12	0.92 10	1513 10	(3/2) (1/2 3/2)	702.16	(1/2) $(1/2^{-} 3/2)$	
841 34 15	1 22 11	1553.67	(1/2, 3/2) $1/2^{-} 3/2^{-}$	711 93	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$ $(1/2^{-}, 3/2, 5/2^{+})$	
851.39.6	3.40 15	1553.67	$1/2^{-},3/2^{-}$	702.16	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$	
895.10 25	0.83 10	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	486.69	$(5/2^{-})$	$E_{\nu}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
909.03 3	15.5 5	909.089	$(3/2)^{-}$	0.0	3/2-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
923.05 8	1.76 11	923.02	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2)$	0.0	3/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
938.45 <i>3</i>	16.9 5	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	
<sup>x</sup> 953.8 4	0.29 8		× 1 /			1981FrZY report an E=954.5 5 sum peak from $443\gamma + \gamma^{\pm}$ .
978.66 <sup>‡</sup> 7	2.13 12	1553.67	$1/2^{-}.3/2^{-}$	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	$E_{\gamma}$ : coincides with $\gamma$ from <sup>81</sup> Rb $\beta^+$ decay in 1981FrZY.
998.6 4	0.19 7	1243.63	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	245.271	5/2+	,
1066.96 8	2.19 12	1553.67	1/2-,3/2-	486.69	$(5/2^{-})$	
1080.72 11	2.80 14	1381.89	(3/2)-	301.240	(3/2)-	

S

#### <sup>81</sup>Sr $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28 (continued)

### $\gamma(^{81}\text{Rb})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
1090.75 17	0.90 9	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	463.00	1/2+,3/2,5/2,7/2-	
1110.26 10	1.63 11	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	
1136.63 11	1.14 8	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	245.271	5/2+	
1193.76 5	3.48 15	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	
1211.2 4	0.38 7	1513.10	(1/2, 3/2)	301.240	(3/2)-	
1243.0 4	0.20 7	1243.63	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	0.0	3/2-	
1252.82 <sup>‡</sup> 10	1.81 10	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	301.240	$(3/2)^{-}$	
1273.45 19	0.93 10	1848.15	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	574.729	$(1/2)^{-}$	
1317.54 24	0.29 7	1804.35	(1/2, 3/2)	486.69	$(5/2^{-})$	
1324.7 4	0.64 9	1513.10	(1/2, 3/2)	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	
1360.6 <i>3</i>	0.57 8	1804.35	(1/2, 3/2)	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	
1365.68 22	0.75 8	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	188.228	1/2-,3/2-	
1382.44 <sup>‡</sup> 8	2.32 12	1381.89	$(3/2)^{-}$	0.0	3/2-	
1400.33 6	2.39 12	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	153.481	5/2-	
<sup>x</sup> 1468.8 4	0.51 7					
1502.5 7	0.25 7	1804.35	(1/2,3/2)	301.240	$(3/2)^{-}$	
1533.6 7	0.12 7	2165.4	$(1/2^{+})$	630.59	$(5/2^+)$	
<sup>*</sup> 1544.6 5	0.177					
1554.15+ 11	1.56 10	1553.67	$1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	0.0	3/2-	
1603.0 7	0.38 8	1848.15	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	245.271	5/2+	$E_{\gamma}$ : $\gamma$ placed elsewhere by 1973Br32.
1616.31 <i>17</i>	0.60 8	1804.35	(1/2, 3/2)	188.228	1/2 ,3/2	
×1627.57	0.11 0					
x1654.4.3	0.21 /					
1659.6.5	0.49.0	1848 15	(1/2 + 3/2)	188 228	$1/2^{-} 3/2^{-}$	
1679.6.7	0.15 5	2165.4	$(1/2^+)$	486.69	$(5/2^{-})$	
x1698.35 18	0.22 6	_100.1	(-/- )	.00.07	(0,-)	
1722.2 7	0.47 7	2165.4	$(1/2^+)$	443.387	$1/2^{(-)}, 3/2^{(-)}$	

<sup>†</sup> From 1980Ho28, if not noted otherwise. I $\gamma$  data from 1980Ho28 and 1973Br32 are in reasonable agreement, whereas data from 1981FrZY frequently disagree significantly with those from 1980Ho28. Fourteen weaker  $\gamma$  rays (E $\gamma$ =98 *l*, 172.0 *5*, 177.6 *5*, 237.5 *l*0, 314 *l*, 319 *l*, 496.5 *l*0, 517 *l*, 545.2 *5*, 586.2 *l*0, 819.2 *l*0, 1034.8 *8*, 1344 *l*, 1620 *2*) reported in 1973Br32 are absent in the higher resolution and statistically superior spectra of 1980Ho28 and consequently omitted here; so is E $\gamma$ =97.6 *5* from 1982Th03. E $\gamma$ =507.0, 516.4, 543.3, 589.1, 975.1? from 1981FrZY are also absent in 1980Ho28; the 507 $\gamma$  is retained here because it is observable only in coin spectra (unmeasured by 1980Ho28), the others are omitted because they are only tentatively assigned to <sup>81</sup>Rb or are presumed by 1981FrZY to be identical to  $\gamma$  rays from 1973Br32 which are not adopted here.

<sup>±</sup> Ey at least  $3\sigma$  from a least-squares adjusted value; datum omitted from least-squares level energy adjustment.  $\gamma$  could be complex or misplaced.

<sup>#</sup> From 1981FrZY; not reported in 1980Ho28. Observed only in  $\gamma\gamma$  coin spectra owing to proximity of strong  $\gamma^{\pm}$  peak.

<sup>@</sup> A 487 $\gamma$  placed from a 487 level in 1980Ho28 (as in ( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ )) is placed from a 1061 level in 1981FrZY based on observed coincidence with 421 $\gamma$  and 574 $\gamma$ , both of

## $\gamma(^{81}\text{Rb})$ (continued)

which deexcite the 574 level. Since several  $\gamma$  rays can be placed feeding a 487 level, and the 1061 level deexcites to a level absent in  $(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$ , the evaluator retains both 487 and 1061 levels, assuming the 487 $\gamma$  to be a doublet in <sup>81</sup>Sr  $\varepsilon$  decay. The quoted E $\gamma$  applies to that doublet. Intensity balance at the 486 level requires I(486 $\gamma$ )  $\geq$  5.9 4 from that level, whereas I $\gamma$ (doublet)=7.3 5. Thus, I(486 $\gamma$ ) from 486 level could range from 5.5 to 7.8 so the evaluator assigns 6.7 11, leaving 0.6 12 for I(486 $\gamma$ ) from the 1061 level.

- <sup>&</sup> Additional information 4.
- <sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.178 22.
- <sup>b</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.
- <sup>c</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
- $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

#### <sup>81</sup>Sr $\varepsilon$ decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28



8

### <sup>81</sup>Sr ε decay (22.3 min) 1980Ho28

