

**$^{81}\text{Rb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (4.572 h) 1977Li14,1975Va24**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 109, 2257 (2008)	15-Aug-2008

Parent:  $^{81}\text{Rb}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=3/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=4.572$  h 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2239$  6;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

Others: 1950Ka62, 1956Do52, 1967Vr07, 1970Wa38, 1972Va41, 1973Br32, 1981FrZY, 1982Gr07, 1982Th03.

Decay scheme is basically that of 1977Li14, but some  $\gamma$  rays absent in 1977Li14 are also considered by the evaluator.

1973Br32: measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin, 511 $\gamma$ -511 $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ; Ge(Li) and NaI detectors.

1975Va24: measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $E\beta^+(\text{max})$ ,  $I(\text{ce})$ ; Ge(Li) detectors and Si(Au) detector (FWHM=5 keV at 1048 keV) for  $\beta^+$  and ce.

1977Li14:  $\gamma$  singles and coin spectra with Ge(Li) (FWHM=2.5 keV at 1.33 MeV), internal conversion.

1981FrZY:  $\gamma$  singles and coin spectra, measured with Ge(Li) (FWHM=2.5-3.5 keV at 1.33 MeV) and Si(Li), timing FWHM $\approx$ 12 ns.

 $^{81}\text{Kr}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		
49.56 5	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	3.9 ns 4	
190.72 5	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	13.10 s 3	
456.74 4	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
548.98 4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
608.52 6	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
636.85 5	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
700.86 6	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>		
731.9? 5	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>		E(level): proposed by 1981FrZY only. 1977Li14 assigned 682 $\gamma$ to $^{81}\text{Rb}$ (30.5 min) decay.
919.83 6	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
976.55 18	1/2 <sup>+</sup>		E(level): proposed by 1977Li14 only.
994.34 5	(1/2, 3/2, 5/2) <sup>-</sup>		
1025.64 4	3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1100.3? 5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		E(level): this level is placed in this decay scheme by 1981FrZY only. 1977Li14 placed it in (30.5 min) $\beta^+$ decay scheme.
1239.05 8	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>		E(level): not proposed by 1981FrZY and 1973Br32.
1280.6? 3	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> , 3/2, 5/2) <sup>-</sup>		E(level): proposed tentatively by 1981FrZY and 1973Br32.
1338.39? 13			E(level): proposed by 1975Va24 only.
1558.4? 4	1/2, 3/2, 5/2		E(level): proposed tentatively by 1981FrZY only.
1678.04 6	1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1744.86 12	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>		E(level): proposed by 1975Va24 and 1977Li14 only.
2064.69 14	(1/2, 3/2) <sup>-</sup>		E(level): proposed by 1977Li14 and 1975Va24 only.

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ , omitting uncertain transitions unless all transitions deexciting level are uncertain.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

1956Do52 report a  $\beta^+$  branch with endpoint energy of 325 40; evaluator is unable to place this in adopted scheme.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>‡</sup>	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
(174 6)	2064.69	0.059 5	5.75 5	0.059 5	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8611$ 7; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1149$ 6; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02402$ 14
(494 6)	1744.86	0.106 7	6.45 3	0.106 7	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8726$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10556$ 6; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02179$ 2
(561 6)	1678.04	1.26 6	5.485 23	1.26 6	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8733$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10499$ 5; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02166$ 1

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{81}\text{Rb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (4.572 h) 1977Li14,1975Va24 (continued)** $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u><math>I\beta^+</math> ‡</u>	<u><math>I\varepsilon</math> ‡</u>	<u>Log <math>ft</math></u>	<u><math>I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)</math> ‡</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(681 <sup>#</sup> 6)	1558.4?		0.6 5	6.0 4	0.6 5	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8742$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10426$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.021487$ 8
(1000 6)	1239.05		0.38 8	6.52 10	0.38 8	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8756$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10319$ 2; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.021233$ 4
(1139 <sup>#</sup> 6)	1100.3?		<0.06	>7.4	<0.06	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8758$ ; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10290$ 2; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.021165$ 4
(1213 6)	1025.64	0.0028 4	2.29 14	5.91 3	2.29 14	av $E\beta=88.6$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8750$ 2; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10267$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.021113$ 6
(1245 6)	994.34	0.0090 11	3.91 20	5.696 23	3.92 20	av $E\beta=102.0$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8741$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10251$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.021079$ 8
(1319 6)	919.83	0.00194 20	0.264 17	6.92 3	0.266 17	av $E\beta=133.7$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8699$ 6; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10189$ 7; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.02095$ 2
(1538 6)	700.86	0.28 6	4.7 9	5.80 9	5.0 10	av $E\beta=226.6$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8270$ 20; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.09662$ 24; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01986$ 5
1597 35	636.85	1.82 10	19.9 8	5.211 19	21.7 9	av $E\beta=253.9$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.803$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.0938$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01927$ 7 E(decay): measured $\beta^+$ endpoint energy=575 35 (1956Do52).
(1630 6)	608.52	0.018 6	0.16 5	7.31 15	0.18 6	av $E\beta=266.1$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.791$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.0923$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01897$ 7
(1690 <sup>#</sup> 6)	548.98	<0.004	<0.03	>8.1	<0.03	av $E\beta=291.6$ 26; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.762$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.0889$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01826$ 8 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : -0.01 4 from intensity balance.
2072 <sup>†</sup> 21	190.72	25 1	39 2	5.137 22	64 3	av $E\beta=447.6$ 27; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.530$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.0617$ 5; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01268$ 10
(2239 <sup>#</sup> 6)	0	<0.12	<0.38	>8.5 <sup>1u</sup>	<0.50	av $E\beta=558.8$ 27; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.668$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.0787$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01619$ 8 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : if $\log f^{Au}t > 8.5$ ; no branch expected or reported.

<sup>†</sup> From weighted average of measured  $\beta^+$  endpoint energies of 1050 30 (1972Va41) and 1050 30 (1956Do52); other: 1950Ka62 (990 50).

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>81</sup>Rb ε decay (4.572 h) [1977Li14](#),[1975Va24](#) (continued)

γ(<sup>81</sup>Kr)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: From Σ (I(γ+ce) to g.s.)=(100%-Iβ(g.s.))=99.75% 25, which follows from Iβ(g.s.)<0.50% (deduced assuming log f<sup>u</sup><sub>t</sub>>8.5 for 7/2<sup>+</sup> g.s. ε feeding from 3/2<sup>-</sup> <sup>81</sup>Rb parent), assuming mult(190γ) is pure E3.

α(K)exp data are from β spectra of [1977Li14](#), renormalized by evaluator so α(K)exp(446.1 keV transition)=0.00273 20 (the value adopted from (p,n)).

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ<sup>#</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>b</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
49.57 5	2.9 7	49.56	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)	0.19 +12-19	1.3 6	α(K)exp=1.1 5 α(K)=1.1 5; α(L)=0.17 12; α(M)=0.028 19; α(N+..)=0.0025 16 α(N)=0.0025 16 E <sub>γ</sub> : from <a href="#">1975Va24</a> .
59.65 15	0.35 7	608.52	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]		3 3	α(K)=2.7 23; α(L)=0.5 5; α(M)=0.09 8; α(N+..)=0.007 7 α(N)=0.007 7 E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ; not reported by other authors.
63.97 5	2.4 5	700.86	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)	0.15 +9-15	0.54 14	α(K)exp=0.46 12 α(K)=0.47 12; α(L)=0.061 23; α(M)=0.010 4; α(N+..)=0.0009 4 α(N)=0.0009 4 E <sub>γ</sub> : 63.96 4 ( <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ), 64.5 4 ( <a href="#">1977Li14</a> ).
<sup>x</sup> 68.79 8 87.80 10	0.69 7 0.69 7	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.1325	α(K)=0.1175 17; α(L)=0.01276 19; α(M)=0.00205 3; α(N+..)=0.000200 3 α(N)=0.000200 3 E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ; not reported by other authors.
180.20 10	6.59 17	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.07 4	α(K)=0.06 4; α(L)=0.007 5; α(M)=0.0011 8; α(N+..)=0.00011 7 α(N)=0.00011 7 E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ; not reported by other authors.
190.46 16	2760 60	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3		0.478	α(K)=0.398 6; α(L)=0.0680 10; α(M)=0.01108 17; α(N+..)=0.000983 15 α(N)=0.000983 15 I(190γ)=64.8% 7 assuming decay-scheme normalization recommended here. Mult.: α(K)exp/(α(L)exp+α(M)exp)=4.53 3 ( <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ). α(K)exp(190)/α(K)exp(446)=190 30 ( <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ). E <sub>γ</sub> : from Adopted Gammas. From <sup>81</sup> Rb ε decay (4.572 h), E <sub>γ</sub> data are 190.4 keV 2 ( <a href="#">1970Wa38</a> ), 190.1 keV 3 ( <a href="#">1973Br32</a> ), 190.30 keV 3 ( <a href="#">1975Va24</a> ), 190.4 keV 2 ( <a href="#">1977Li14</a> ), 190.4 keV 5 ( <a href="#">1981FrZY</a> ), 190.54 keV 10 ( <a href="#">1982Gr07</a> ), 190.3 keV 5 ( <a href="#">1982Th03</a> ).
218.8 6 243.87 17	0.8 2 13.3 4	919.83 700.86	3/2 <sup>-</sup> (5/2) <sup>-</sup>	700.86 456.74	(5/2) <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	+1.6 +6-3	0.029 3	E <sub>γ</sub> : from <a href="#">1977Li14</a> . <a href="#">1981FrZY</a> report E <sub>γ</sub> =217.8 5. α(K)exp=0.023 4

3

<sup>81</sup>Rb ε decay (4.572 h) 1977Li14,1975Va24 (continued)

γ(<sup>81</sup>Kr) (continued)

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ<sup>#</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>b</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
									α(K)=0.0253 23; α(L)=0.0030 3; α(M)=0.00048 5; α(N+..)=4.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 α(N)=4.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 E <sub>γ</sub> : 243.80 8 (1975Va24), 244.3 2 (1977Li14).
266.2 <sup>@</sup> 5	1.6 2	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>				
283.1 <sup>@</sup> 5	1.9 4	919.83	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)		0.00841	α(K)=0.00745 11; α(L)=0.000810 12; α(M)=0.0001313 20; α(N+..)=1.323×10 <sup>-5</sup> 20 α(N)=1.323×10 <sup>-5</sup> 20 E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from γγ coin.
319.09 10	1.9 2	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	919.83	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1(+M2)	0.16 +9-16	0.0037 8	α(K)=0.0033 7; α(L)=0.00036 9; α(M)=5.8×10 <sup>-5</sup> 14; α(N+..)=5.8×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14 α(N)=5.8×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14 E <sub>γ</sub> : 319.06 10 (1975Va24), 319.5 4 (1977Li14).
339.64 <sup>d</sup> 18	2.5 3	976.55	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		0.00263	α(K)=0.00234 4; α(L)=0.000249 4; α(M)=4.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; α(N+..)=4.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6 α(N)=4.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6 E <sub>γ</sub> : 339.70 20 (1975Va24), 339.4 4 (1977Li14). 1975Va24 place this γ from the 1677-keV level.
357.39 6	32.6 10	994.34	(1/2,3/2,5/2) <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)	0.5 +6-5	0.0057 16	α(K)exp=0.0049 15 α(K)=0.0050 15; α(L)=0.00055 17; α(M)=9.E-5 3; α(N+..)=9.E-6 3 α(N)=9.E-6 3 E <sub>γ</sub> : 357.38 4 (1975Va24), 357.7 2 (1977Li14).
386.09 <sup>d</sup> 6	3.6 4	994.34	(1/2,3/2,5/2) <sup>-</sup>	608.52	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>				E <sub>γ</sub> : 386.09 6 (1975Va24), 386.0 3 (1977Li14). 1981FrZY placed their E <sub>γ</sub> =386.2 5 line in the <sup>81</sup> Rb level scheme.
388.85 6	19.6 10	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1		0.00387	α(K)exp=0.0042 14 α(K)=0.00344 5; α(L)=0.000370 6; α(M)=6.00×10 <sup>-5</sup> 9; α(N+..)=6.06×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 α(N)=6.06×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 E <sub>γ</sub> : 388.84 6 (1975Va24), 389.0 2 (1977Li14).
<sup>x</sup> 399.7 5	1.1 3								
<sup>x</sup> 443.0 5	0.69 4								
446.15 3	1000 30	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.44 +20-26	0.00308 24	α(K)=0.00273 21; α(L)=0.000296 25; α(M)=4.8×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; α(N+..)=4.8×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 α(N)=4.8×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 E <sub>γ</sub> : 446.14 2 (1975Va24), 446.3 1 (1977Li14).

<sup>81</sup>Rb ε decay (4.572 h) 1977Li14,1975Va24 (continued)

γ(<sup>81</sup>Kr) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ ‡α	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. #	δ#	α <sup>b</sup>	Comments
456.73 5	130 4	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		1.22×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(K)exp=0.0014 5 α(K)=0.001081 16; α(L)=0.0001147 16; α(M)=1.85×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(N+..)=1.86×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 α(N)=1.86×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 E <sub>γ</sub> : 456.71 3 (1975Va24), 456.9 1 (1977Li14).
476.69 3	22.5 6	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup>	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		1.09×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(K)=0.000971 14; α(L)=0.0001031 15; α(M)=1.665×10 <sup>-5</sup> 24; α(N+..)=1.675×10 <sup>-6</sup> 24 α(N)=1.675×10 <sup>-6</sup> 24 E <sub>γ</sub> : 476.68 3 (1975Va24), 476.8 1 (1977Li14).
499.44 7	5.1 2	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	49.56	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.00321	α(K)=0.00284 4; α(L)=0.000314 5; α(M)=5.07×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; α(N+..)=5.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 α(N)=5.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 E <sub>γ</sub> : 499.45 8 (1975Va24), 499.4 2 (1977Li14).
510.43 26	230& 40	700.86	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.00300	α(K)exp=0.0025 7 α(K)=0.00265 4; α(L)=0.000293 5; α(M)=4.74×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7; α(N+..)=4.72×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 α(N)=4.72×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 I <sub>γ</sub> : from γγ coin (1977Li14). E <sub>γ</sub> : 510.5 5 (1975Va24), 510.4 3 (1977Li14) from γγ coin.
532.3 <sup>d</sup> 5	10.3 10	1558.4?	1/2,3/2,5/2	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup>				E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1981FrZY; not observed by other authors.
537.60 4	96 7	994.34	(1/2,3/2,5/2) <sup>-</sup>	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2(+M1)		0.0022 4	α(K)exp=0.0024 7 α(K)=0.0019 4; α(L)=0.00021 4; α(M)=3.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7; α(N+..)=3.4×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6 α(N)=3.4×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6 E <sub>γ</sub> : 537.60 4 (1975Va24), 537.6 10 (1977Li14).
538.19 10	8 3	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	700.86	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>				E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from γγ coin. E <sub>γ</sub> : 538.2 1 (1975Va24), 537.6 10 (1977Li14).
549.03 5	20.3 6	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2(+M1)	≥0.8	0.00220 22	α(K)exp=0.0025 7 α(K)=0.00195 19; α(L)=0.000213 22; α(M)=3.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; α(N+..)=3.4×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 α(N)=3.4×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 E <sub>γ</sub> : 549.05 4 (1975Va24), 548.9 1 (1977Li14).
568.90 4	25.1 7	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup>	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	≤3.2	0.0019 3	α(K)exp=0.0015 6 α(K)=0.00165 24; α(L)=0.00018 3; α(M)=2.9×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(N+..)=2.9×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5 α(N)=2.9×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5 E <sub>γ</sub> : 568.90 4 (1975Va24), 568.9 1 (1977Li14).
602.54 13	2.2 1	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>				E <sub>γ</sub> : 602.60 15 (1975Va24), 602.3 3 (1977Li14). Not seen by 1981FrZY, not placed by 1973Br32.
608.46 7	11.1 5	608.52	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.00179	α(K)=0.001586 23; α(L)=0.0001734 25;

<sup>81</sup>Rb ε decay (4.572 h) **1977Li14,1975Va24** (continued)

								<u>γ(<sup>81</sup>Kr) (continued)</u>	
<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>α<sup>b</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>	
682.3 <sup>d</sup> 5	<9.2	731.9?	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	49.56	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)	1.30×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(M)=2.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; α(N+..)=2.80×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 α(N)=2.80×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 E <sub>γ</sub> : 608.45 8 (1975Va24), 608.5 2 (1977Li14). α(K)=0.001153 17; α(L)=0.0001253 18; α(M)=2.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(N+..)=2.03×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 α(N)=2.03×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1981FrZY; this γ is placed by 1981FrZY only. 1977Li14 assigned γ to <sup>81</sup> Rb (30.5 min) decay.	
689.9 <sup>@</sup> 3	1.3 1	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	548.98	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			E <sub>γ</sub> : 701.53 12 (1975Va24), 701.5 5 (1977Li14).	
<sup>x</sup> 698.9 2	0.69 17							E <sub>γ</sub> : placed from this level by 1977Li14 only. Others placed γ deexciting the 701 or 1338 levels. E <sub>γ</sub> : 701.53 12 (1975Va24), 701.5 5 (1977Li14).	
701.53 <sup>cd</sup> 12	2.3 <sup>c</sup> 8	1338.39?		636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>				
701.53 <sup>cd</sup> 12	2.3 <sup>c</sup> 8	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	976.55	1/2 <sup>+</sup>				
729.09 5	12.7 4	919.83	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	9.13×10 <sup>-4</sup>	α(K)=0.000811 12; α(L)=8.62×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12; α(M)=1.397×10 <sup>-5</sup> 20; α(N+..)=1.414×10 <sup>-6</sup> 20 α(N)=1.414×10 <sup>-6</sup> 20 E <sub>γ</sub> : 729.09 6 (1975Va24), 729.1 1 (1977Li14) from γγ coin. E <sub>γ</sub> : 758.23 12 (1975Va24), 758.3 2 (1977Li14). Not seen by 1981FrZY.	
758.25 10	2.2 1	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	919.83	3/2 <sup>-</sup>				
782.5 <sup>@</sup> 5	0.6 1	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			α(K)exp=0.00080 32	
803.72 7	35.9 10	994.34	(1/2,3/2,5/2) <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1,E2	0.00079 6	α(K)=0.00070 5; α(L)=7.5×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; α(M)=1.22×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; α(N+..)=1.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 α(N)=1.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 E <sub>γ</sub> : 803.74 6 (1975Va24), 803.5 2 (1977Li14).	
822.8 <sup>d</sup> 5	6.7 7	1280.6?	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	456.74	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			E <sub>γ</sub> : 1981FrZY placed in their scheme an 882.8γ from the 1280-keV level in disagreement with level energy difference which is 823 keV. In the table, the 882.8γ does not appear, but an 822.8γ does. Placement not adopted.	
834.74 6	35.0 10	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1,E2	0.00072 5	α(K)exp=0.0007 3 α(K)=0.00064 4; α(L)=6.9×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(M)=1.11×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; α(N+..)=1.12×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8 α(N)=1.12×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8 E <sub>γ</sub> : 834.73 6 (1975Va24), 834.8 2 (1977Li14).	
<sup>x</sup> 903.2 6	0.2 1								
<sup>x</sup> 912.5 6	0.2 1								
<sup>x</sup> 968.4 9	<0.1								
977.15 4	24.3 8	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	700.86	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>			E <sub>γ</sub> : 977.15 4 (1975Va24), 977.1 2 (1977Li14).	
993.69 <sup>d</sup> 14	0.69 17	994.34	(1/2,3/2,5/2) <sup>-</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1975Va24. Not seen by other authors. E <sub>γ</sub> is 4σ	

**<sup>81</sup>Rb  $\epsilon$  decay (4.572 h) 1977Li14,1975Va24 (continued)** $\gamma(^{81}\text{Kr})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ ‡ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1025.08 <sup>d</sup> 16	0.35 17	1025.64	3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	lower than expected for this placement, so evaluator shows it as uncertain. $E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from 1975Va24. Not seen by other authors. $E_\gamma$ is low for this placement, so evaluator shows it as doubtful.
1041.24 5	23.0 13	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1041.25 5 (1975Va24), 1041.1 2 (1977Li14).
1047.94 23	2.0 2	1239.05	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1047.83 15 (1975Va24), 1048.4 3 (1977Li14).
1069.42 10	2.6 1	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	608.52	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1069.44 11 (1975Va24), 1069.3 3 (1977Li14).
1087.7 <sup>@</sup> 5	0.3 1	2064.69	(1/2, 3/2) <sup>-</sup>	976.55	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1090.2 <sup>d</sup> 3	0.5 1	1280.6?	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> , 3/2, 5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1090.0 4 (1975Va24), 1090.4 5 (1977Li14). Placed by 1973Br32 only; 1975Va24 and 1977Li14 could not place G.
1100.3 <sup>d</sup> 5	4.8 5	1100.3?	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : from 1981FrZY; tentatively assigned to <sup>81</sup> Kr, $T_{1/2}$ designated as “long”. However, 1977Li14 assign $E_\gamma=1099.9$ 2 to <sup>81</sup> Rb $\epsilon$ decay (30.5 min).
1107.96 12	2.2 1	1744.86	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1107.93 15 (1975Va24), 1108.0 2 (1977Li14).
1136.4 <sup>@</sup> 4	0.5 1	1744.86	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>	608.52	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1363.8 <sup>@</sup> 6	0.2 1	2064.69	(1/2, 3/2) <sup>-</sup>	700.86	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	
1368.1 <sup>d</sup> 5	37 4	1558.4?	1/2, 3/2, 5/2	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from 1981FrZY; not observed by other authors.
<sup>x</sup> 1381.5 5	0.4 1					
1427.85 15	1.4 1	2064.69	(1/2, 3/2) <sup>-</sup>	636.85	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1427.91 22 (1975Va24), 1427.8 2 (1977Li14). Not seen by 1981FrZY. 1973Br32 placed $\gamma$ from a tentative 1883-keV level.
1487.07 19	0.4 2	1678.04	1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1487.01 21 (1975Va24), 1487.4 5 (1977Li14).
<sup>x</sup> 1536.0 8	0.2 1					
1554.6 5	1.8 2	1744.86	(1/2) <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 1554.9 3 (1977Li14), 1553.8 5 (1975Va24).
1874.0 <sup>@</sup> 4	0.6 1	2064.69	(1/2, 3/2) <sup>-</sup>	190.72	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	

† Weighted average of data from 1975Va24 and 1977Li14, except as noted.  $E_\gamma$  from these authors and from 1981FrZY, 1973Br32, 1970Wa38 are in excellent agreement. However,  $\Delta E$  from 1975Va24 may be underestimated in some cases (e.g., for the 190 $\gamma$ ).

‡ From 1977Li14.

# From Adopted Gammas, except As noted.

@ From 1977Li14; not observed by other authors.

&  $I(510\gamma + \gamma^\pm)=2880$  150 (1973Br32), 2850 90 (1970Wa38);  $I(\gamma^\pm)=2670$  110 (1977Li14).  $I(\gamma^\pm)=2314$  86 expected based on level scheme.

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0235 6.

<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>c</sup> Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

<sup>81</sup>Rb  $\epsilon$  decay (4.572 h) 1977LJ14,1975V24

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{\gamma+\epsilon}$  per 100 parent decays  
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

- Legend
- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
  - $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
  - $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
  - $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
  - Coincidence

