

$^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (18.5 min) 1969Zo06, 1971Do09, 2015Kr02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 199,271 (2025)		1-Sep-2024

Parent: ^{81}Se : E=0; $J^\pi=1/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=18.5$ min I ; $Q(\beta^-)=1588.0$ 14; % β^- decay=100

$^{81}\text{Se}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: from ^{81}Se Adopted Levels.

$^{81}\text{Se}-Q(\beta^-)$: from 2021Wa16.

Others: 1960Ku06, 1962Su07, 1963Ar01, 1967Pr06, 1967Yt03, 1969Ba34, 1969Be82, 1971Na18, 1974Ve12, 1974SaYH, 1977Kr18.

1969Zo06: chemically separated Se from $^{80}\text{Se}(n,\gamma)$ (99.87% ^{80}Se); measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ with Ge(Li), FWHM=2.5 keV at $E\gamma=1332$, and $\gamma\gamma$ coin with Ge(Li) and NaI, FWHM=7.0 keV at $E\gamma=1332$.

1971Do09: source from $^{82}\text{Se}(\gamma,n)$ (89.1% ^{82}Se target); measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(t)$; Ge(Li) (FWHM=3.6 keV at 1 MeV) and, for $E\gamma<300$, x-ray Ge(Li) spectrometer (FWHM=0.8 keV at 50 keV, 1.3 keV at 300 keV).

2015Kr02: ^{nat}Se targets were irradiated using rabbit system in the core of the Oregon State University TRIGA reactor. γ rays were detected with high-resolution Ge detectors (efficiency of 35% and FWHM=1.9 keV at 1332 keV). Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$. Deduced levels, β feedings and log f_t values.

1974Ve12: source from $^{80}\text{Se}(n,\gamma)$ E=thermal (96.87% ^{80}Se target); measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$; Ge(Li) FWHM=4 keV at 662 keV. $\Delta E(\gamma)$ not given; no isomeric assignment made for observed γ rays.

 ^{81}Br Levels

The adopted decay scheme is based on those from 1969Zo06 and 1971Do09, with minor revisions by the evaluator. The tentative 815 level (deexcited by an 815γ) and the 1352 level (deexcited by 1352γ and 787γ), proposed by 1974Ve12 without the benefit of coin information, are not adopted by the evaluator. The 787γ presumably corresponds to the weak lines reported at 789.2 5 and 787 2 by 1971Do09 and 1969Zo06, respectively, and that $E\gamma$ implies $E(\text{level})=1354.5$ 5 which does not fit the 1352γ well. An $E\gamma=789.6$ 7 line in (p,γ) is placed as the major branch from a 1327 level, and the evaluator tentatively introduces this level into the decay scheme to accommodate the 789.2γ of 1971Do09. The evaluator also tentatively introduces the known 1105 level ($J^\pi=1/2^-, 3/2^-$) to accommodate the weak unplaced 1105 $I\gamma$ from 1971Do09. $E\gamma=30$ reported in 1962Su07 is not confirmed in 1967Ra08.

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$	Comments
0	$3/2^-$		
275.986 9	$5/2^-$	9.7 ps 14	$T_{1/2}$: 235 ps 15 from $290\gamma-276\gamma(t)$ (1974SaYH). Inconsistent with adopted value (9.7 ps 14) for reasons which are unknown.
538.194 14	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$	0.76 ps 3	J^π : proposed spin and parity $5/2^-$ or $7/2^-$ in 1967Ra08.
566.125 11	$3/2^-$	68 ps +32-18	
650.003 16	$(3/2)^-$	2.6 ps 3	
828.434 15	$3/2^-$	0.46 ps 7	
835.5 5	$7/2^-$	1.05 ps 7	$E(\text{level})$: level proposed in 1977Kr18. Absent in other references.
1105? 1	$(1/2)^-$		
1327.3? 5			

† From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

β^- av $E\beta$: Additional information 2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (18.5 min) [1969Zo06](#),[1971Do09](#),[2015Kr02](#) (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log f_t	Comments
(260.7 [#] 18)	1327.3?	≤ 0.0025	≥ 6.8	av $E\beta=74.46$ 48 $I\beta^-$: 0.0025 4 from γ transition intensity balance. The evaluator proposed the limit for I_β , since isomeric assignment of $I\gamma(789.1\gamma)$ was not determined in 1969Zo06 . A comparable 789.254 γ also reported in $^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (57.28 m) from 2015Kr02 .
(759.6 17)	828.434	0.41 4	6.188 43	av $E\beta=257.8$ 6 $I\beta^-$: other: 0.61 5% in 1967Ra08 .
(938.0 17)	650.003	0.0191 18	7.857 41	av $E\beta=330.8$ 6
(1021.9 17)	566.125	0.78 7	6.386 39	av $E\beta=366.1$ 6 $I\beta^-$: other: 0.92 5% in 1967Ra08 .
(1049.8 17)	538.194	0.032 7	7.80 9	E(decay): 1010 (1960Ku06).
(1312.0 17)	275.986	≤ 0.013	≥ 9.3	av $E\beta=377.9$ 6 av $E\beta=509.8$ 6 $I\beta^-$: 0.013 9 from γ transition intensity balance. The evaluator proposed the limit for I_β , since $I\gamma(275.99\gamma)$ may contain negligible intensity resulting from the feeding in $^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (57.28 m).
(1588.0 20)	0	98.74 12	5.0283 29	av $E\beta=614.2$ 6 E(decay): measured endpoint energy 1580 40: weighted average of 1560 50 (1960Ku06) and 1600 50 (1967Yt03). $I\beta^-$: 100%- $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$ to g.s.). Other: 98.5 1% in 1967Ra08 .

[†] From total transition intensity balance at each level. Values of [1967Ra08](#) are listed in comments, estimated from measured $I\gamma$, the total number of 18-min ^{81}gSe disintegrations was obtained by using a total conversion coefficient of $\alpha=7.07$ for the 102.7-keV isomeric transition, and a value of 0.68, from $[(T_p-T_d)/T_p]$, for the ratio of $^{81}\text{mSe}/^{81}\text{gSe}$ activities at equilibrium.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (18.5 min) [1969Zo06](#), [1971Do09](#), [2015Kr02](#) (continued)

$\gamma(81\text{Br})$

I γ normalization: using equation [8] of [2020Ba30](#) in transient equilibrium of ^{81m}Se (57.28 m 2) and ^{81}Se (18.5 m 1), where I γ (103 γ , ^{81}Se IT)/I(276 γ , ^{81}Br)=12.9 9 for ^{81}Se source in transient equilibrium (weighted average of 12.2 14 (using data in [1969Zo06](#)) and 13.6 15 (using data in [1971Do09](#))), and %I γ (102.968)=12.8 2. Authors, typically, have employed ^{81}Se sources in which the 57-min and 18-min isomers are in transient equilibrium, and quote I γ relative to I γ =100 for the 103 γ in ^{81}Se (57 min) IT decay. Following [1969Zo06](#) and [1971Do09](#), the evaluator has deduced I(276 γ) appropriate to ^{81}Se (18 min) decay alone using the measured I(276 γ) for ^{81}Se (57 min+18 min) decay and I(260 γ) (present in ^{81}Se (57 min) decay only), assuming $\alpha(260\gamma)=0.0456$ and $\alpha(276\gamma)=0.008$; I γ data from [1969Zo06](#), [1971Do09](#) and [1974Ve12](#) were then renormalized so I(276 γ , 18-m Se)=100. These data are then in excellent agreement among themselves and with I γ obtained by [1969Zo06](#) by resolving the decay curve for each γ into its two components.

$\alpha(K)\exp$, $\alpha(L)\exp$ data are from [1971Na18](#), measured with Ge(Li) γ detector and Si(Li) electron spectrometer, calibrated using transitions with well-known $\alpha(K)$ and $\alpha(L)$.

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data: for 290 γ -276 $\gamma(\theta)$, A₂=+0.050 35 ([1963Ar01](#)), +0.052 20 ([1969Be82](#)) and A₄=+0.031 5 ([1963Ar01](#)), +0.08 7 ([1969Be82](#)). Weighted averages of data are A₂=+0.052 17, A₄=+0.031 5; these allow $\delta(290\gamma)$ and $\delta(276\gamma)$ to be -8 +4-17 and +0.262 20, or -0.262 20 and +8 +17-4, or +0.258 23 and -7.5 +6-7, or +7.5 +7-6 and -0.258 23, none of which is consistent with adopted values of +1.08 18 and -0.10 3, respectively.

E $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger b}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
178.416 24	0.92 4	828.434	3/2 $^-$	650.003	(3/2) $^-$	(M1+E2)	<0.28	0.0274 31	$\alpha(K)\exp<0.05$; $\alpha(L)\exp<0.003$ $\alpha(K)=0.0243$ 27; $\alpha(L)=0.00269$ 34; $\alpha(M)=0.00043$ 5 $\alpha(N)=3.9\times10^{-5}$ 5
275.990 10	100 1	275.986	5/2 $^-$	0	3/2 $^-$	M1+E2	-0.10 3	0.00816 14	E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 178.3 1 (1969Zo06), 177.9 3 (1971Do09), 178.424 20 (2015Kr02), 178.4 1 (1977Kr18). I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 0.98 10 (1969Zo06), 0.80 8 (1971Do09 , recalculated by evaluator), 0.94 4 (2015Kr02), 0.93 6 (1977Kr18). Mult.: E1 or M1(+E2), based on $\alpha(K)\exp$ alone. If M1+E2, $\delta<0.28$ from $\alpha(L)\exp$, <0.84 from $\alpha(K)\exp$. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.009$ 1; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.002$ 1 $\alpha(K)=0.00724$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.000781$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0001242$ 23 $\alpha(N)=1.158\times10^{-5}$ 21
290.1 @ 1	2.4 @ 5	828.434	3/2 $^-$	538.194	1/2 $^-, 3/2^-$				E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 275.94 5 (1969Zo06), 275.9 1 (1971Do09), 275.6 3 (1969Ba34), 275.8 5 (1967Ra08), and 275.993 10 (2015Kr02). I $_{\gamma}$: from 2015Kr02 . Others: 100 (1969Zo06), 100 (1971Do09), 100 3 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 10.2 3), 100 5 (1967Ra08 – rescaling 10.2 5). δ : 0.45 +14-15 from $\alpha(K)\exp$ and $\alpha(L)\exp$, but datum probably too imprecise to be reliable. E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 290.1 1 (1969Zo06), 290.0 7

⁸¹Se β⁻ decay (18.5 min) 1969Zo06,1971Do09,2015Kr02 (continued) $\gamma^{(81)}\text{Br}$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\frac{+}{-}}$	$I_\gamma^{\frac{+}{-}b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
290.138 @ 13	84.2 @ 8	566.125	3/2 ⁻	275.986	5/2 ⁻	E2+M1	+1.11 22	0.0131 12	(1971Do09), 289.9 3 (1969Ba34), 290.0 5 (1977Kr18), 289.9 5 (1967Ra08). I_γ : weighted average of 1.9 5 (1969Zo06), 2.5 5 (1971Do09), 3.2 8 (1977Kr18).
538.189 14	7.18 7	538.194	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.087 15	1.63×10^{-3} 2	$A_2=0.052$ 20; $A_4=0.08$ 7 (1970Be79) $\alpha(K)\exp=0.012$ 1; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.002$ 1 $\alpha(K)=0.0115$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.00130$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.000205$ 20 $\alpha(N)=1.87 \times 10^{-5}$ 17 E_γ : weighted average of 290.08 5 (1969Zo06), 289.9 1 (1971Do09), 290.141 10 (2015Kr02), 290.19 5 (1977Kr18), 289.9 5 (1967Ra08), 289.9 3 (1969Ba34). In 1969Ba34, authors could not prove it as doublet. I_γ : weighted average of 82.8 (1969Zo06), 82.9 (1971Do09), 84.3 8 (2015Kr02), 87.4 (1977Kr18), 85 4 (1967Ra08 – for both placement – rescaling 8.7 4), 82.3 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 8.4 3). In 1969Ba34, authors could not prove it as doublet. δ : 1.23 22 from $\alpha(K)\exp$, >0.64 from $\alpha(L)\exp$. $\alpha(K)=0.001454$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.0001541$ 22; $\alpha(M)=2.448 \times 10^{-5}$ 34 $\alpha(N)=2.293 \times 10^{-6}$ 32 E_γ : weighted average of 538.2 1 (1969Zo06), 538.2 2 (1971Do09), 538.0 4 (1969Ba34), 539.0 5 (1967Ra08), 538.188 14 (2015Kr02), 538.2 1 (1977Kr18). I_γ : from 2015Kr02. Others: 6.9 7 (1969Zo06), 7.7 8 (1971Do09), 6.3 16 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 0.64 16), 7.8 20 (1967Ra08 – rescaling 0.8 2), 7.3 4 (1977Kr18). $\alpha(K)=0.00142$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000151$ 8; $\alpha(M)=2.40 \times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(N)=2.24 \times 10^{-6}$ 12 E_γ : 552.4 1 (1969Zo06), 552.5 2 (1971Do09), 552.1 4 (1969Ba34), 553.0 5 (1967Ra08), 552.456 14 (2015Kr02), 552.44 8 (1977Kr18). I_γ : weighted average of 12.5 12 (1969Zo06), 13.6 14 (1971Do09), 13.2 20 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 1.35 20), 14.7 29 (1967Ra08 – rescaling 1.5 3), 13.6 1 (2015Kr02), 13.6 9 (1977Kr18). $\alpha(K)=0.001763$ 32; $\alpha(L)=0.0001908$ 35; $\alpha(M)=3.03 \times 10^{-5}$ 6
552.455 14	13.6 1	828.434	3/2 ⁻	275.986	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.32 20	0.00160 8	
566.123 14	31.8 3	566.125	3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-3.0 5	0.00199 4	

$^{81}\text{Se} \beta^-$ decay (18.5 min) 1969Zo06, 1971Do09, 2015Kr02 (continued)

$\gamma(^{81}\text{Br})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
649.990 19	3.79 4	650.003	(3/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.111 7	1.07×10^{-3} 2	$\alpha(N)=2.80 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 E_γ : weighted average of 566.04 5 (1969Zo06), 565.9 2 (1971Do09), 566.0 4 (1969Ba34), 566.1 5 (1967Ra08), 566.131 14 (2015Kr02), 566.11 7 (1977Kr18). Other: 552 and 560 (1962Su07).
789.1 ^{&c} 5	0.38 5	1327.3?		538.194	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻				I_γ : weighted average of 33.0 33 (1969Zo06), 32.5 50 (1971Do09), 29.9 29 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 3.05 30), 28.4 29 (1967Ra08 – rescaling 2.9 3), 31.8 3 (2015Kr02), 33.3 15 (1977Kr18). Other: 37 8 for doublet 552 and 560 (1962Su07). $\alpha(K)=0.000950$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0001003$ 14; $\alpha(M)=1.593 \times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(N)=1.493 \times 10^{-6}$ 21 E_γ : weighted average of 649.8 1 (1969Zo06), 649.7 3 (1971Do09), 649.996 14 (2015Kr02), 649.9 1 (1977Kr18). I_γ : weighted average of 3.5 4 (1969Zo06), 4.0 4 (1971Do09), 3.79 4 (2015Kr02), 4.00 35 (1977Kr18). E_γ : weighted average of 787 2 (1969Zo06), 789.2 5 (1971Do09), 789.2 7 (1977Kr18 – not placed in the level scheme). I_γ : weighted average of 0.4 1 (1969Zo06) and 0.38 5 (1974Ve12 – rescaled $I_\gamma=0.039$ 5 (in level scheme) relative to $I_\gamma(275.990)=100$ instead of 10.23, $I_\gamma=0.930$ 5 in Table I appears to be a misprint). Other: < 0.2 (2015Kr02).
828.33 17	43.5 5	828.434	3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.18 3	6.29×10^{-4} 9	$\alpha(K)=0.000560$ 8; $\alpha(L)=5.89 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(M)=9.35 \times 10^{-6}$ 13 $\alpha(N)=8.77 \times 10^{-7}$ 12 E_γ : unweighted average of 828.27 5 (1969Zo06), 828.2 2 (1971Do09), 827.7 3 (1969Ba34), 829.0 5 (1967Ra08), 828.432 14 (2015Kr02), and 828.37 15 (1977Kr18). Other: 832 (1962Su07). I_γ : weighted average of 40.2 40 (1969Zo06), 43.9 44 (1971Do09), 40 5 (1969Ba34 – rescaling 4.1 5), 25 6 (1962Su07), 48 5 (1967Ra08 – rescaling 4.9 5), 43.6 4 (2015Kr02), 44 2 (1977Kr18).
835.5 5	0.11 5	835.5	7/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	E2		7.08×10^{-4} 10	$\alpha(K)=0.000629$ 9; $\alpha(L)=6.70 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(M)=1.063 \times 10^{-5}$ 15 $\alpha(N)=9.89 \times 10^{-7}$ 14 E_γ, I_γ : from 1977Kr18. Other: 835 2 – reported in 1969Zo06, however, comparable 834.1 γ was expected from contaminant ^{83}Se , an upper limit $I_\gamma < 0.0003\%$ was reported for this γ . E_γ : weak γ , isomeric assignment not established (1971Do09); placed by evaluator.
1105 ^c 1		1105?	(1/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	D			

$^{81}\text{Se } \beta^-$ decay (18.5 min) [1969Zo06](#),[1971Do09](#),[2015Kr02](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{81}\text{Br})$ (continued)

<u>E_γ^{\ddagger}</u>	<u>$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$</u>	<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>Comments</u>
$^{x}1352^a$	0.21 7		

[†] Additional information 3.

[‡] Weighted average of available data as listed in comments, except as noted. I_γ is photon intensity relative to $I(276\gamma, 18\text{-m } ^{81}\text{Se})=100$. Weak γ rays with $E\gamma=375$ ($I\gamma=0.54$ 6) and $E\gamma=815$ ($I\gamma=0.11$ 6) on the intensity scale used here are reported by [1974Ve12](#) (isotopic assignment unknown) and tentatively placed from 650 and 815 levels, respectively, but these gammas are absent in both [1969Zo06](#) and [1971Do09](#), so are omitted here.

[#] From Adopted Gammas, except as noted.

[@] Doublet. I_γ divided based on $\gamma\gamma$ coin. Energy separation of components is <0.7 keV ([1971Do09](#)) so averaged energy of doublet (290.04 7) is assigned to the stronger component and $E\gamma=290.0$ 7 (suggested by [1971Do09](#)) to the weaker one.

[&] Tentatively placed by evaluator by analogy with (p, γ); placed by [1974Ve12](#) from a tentative 1352 level which the evaluator does not adopt, but not placed by [1969Zo06](#), [1971Do09](#). Isomeric assignment not established.

^a Reported by [1974Ve12](#) only and placed from a 1352 level which the evaluator does not adopt. This γ must be different from the 1350 γ deexciting the 1350-keV level in (n,n' γ), because the stronger 327 γ and 583 γ which also deexcite that level in (n,n' γ) are not seen by [1974Ve12](#). This γ may not belong to $^{81}\text{Se } \beta^-$ decay.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0067 5.

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{81}Se β^- decay (18.5 min) 1969Zo06,1971Do09,2015Kr02

