

$^{80}\text{Y } \varepsilon \text{ decay (30.1 s)}$ **1999Do01,1981Li12,1982De36**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 105, 223 (2005)	22-Jun-2005

Parent: ^{80}Y : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(4^-)$; $T_{1/2}=30.1$ s; $Q(\varepsilon)=9.09 \times 10^3$ 18; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

$^{80}\text{Y}-\text{Q}(\varepsilon)$: from 2003Au03. The $\beta\gamma$ measurement of 2003Ba18 gives ≥ 8929 83.

1999Do01 (also 2000Do10): ^{80}Y source produced by $^{24}\text{Mg}(^{58}\text{Ni},\text{pn})$ at 190 MeV and separated by Argonne fragment mass analyzer (FMA). Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$, time- γ and β -gated time- γ using three Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors, a low-energy photon (LEPS) spectrometer. Positrons emitted in the decay of ^{80}Y were detected with thin plastic scintillators placed in front of Ge detectors.

1981Li12: source produced by $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{24}\text{Mg},\text{pn})$ E=85 MeV, $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{25}\text{Mg},\text{p}2\text{n})$ E=95 MeV and $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{28}\text{Si},\text{pn}\alpha)$ E=110 MeV.

Measured $T_{1/2}(^{80}\text{Y})$, γ , $\gamma\gamma$, γX , β , $\beta\gamma$.

1982De36: source produced by $^{54}\text{Fe}(^{32}\text{S},\text{pn}\alpha)$ and mass separation. Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, β , $\beta\gamma$, $T_{1/2}(^{80}\text{Y})$.

Others:

2003Ba18: Source produced by $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{28}\text{Si},\text{np}\alpha)$; measured Q value by $\beta\gamma$ coin.

1996Sh27: Source produced by $^{54}\text{Fe}(^{28}\text{Si},\text{pn})$ E=88 MeV. Measured Q value by $\beta\gamma$ coin.

1987Li14, 1987Lo10, 1987LeZT: yield of ^{80}Y in heavy-ion reactions.

All data are from 1999Do01, unless otherwise stated.

 ^{80}Sr Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0^+		
385.89 8	2^+	30 ps 10	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1982De36).
980.70 10	4^+		
1142.13 8	(2^+)		Additional information 1.
1571.05 10	(3^+)		Additional information 2.
1653.59 12	(2^+)		Additional information 3.
1763.80 23	6^+		
1832.55 10	(4^+)		
2296.27 16	(5^+)		
2301.15 13	$(3,4^+)$		J^π : $(3^-,4^+)$ (1999Do01).
2418.87 12	$(3,4^+)$		J^π : $(3^-,4^+)$ (1999Do01).
2492.54? 13	$(0,1,2)$		J^π : $(1,2)^-$ (1999Do01). E(level): population uncertain in the decay of 30.5-s activity.
2836.5 3	(4)		J^π : (5^-) (1999Do01).
2958.26 19	$(3,4,5^+)$		J^π : (4^-) (1999Do01).
3058.07 17	$(3,4,5^+)$		J^π : (4^-) (1999Do01).
3094.6 4			
3163.0 3			
3283.96 19	$(3^+,4,5)$		J^π : (4^-) (1999Do01).
3311.6 4			
3377.1 3			
3697.6 3	$(3,4,5)$		J^π : $(4,5)^-$ (1999Do01).

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From 'Adopted Levels'. The assignments by 1999Do01, based on rather weak arguments, are different in some cases; these are listed under comments. In other cases the evaluator has added parentheses since strong arguments are lacking.

^{80}Y ε decay (30.1 s) 1999Do01,1981Li12,1982De36 (continued) ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+ \dagger$	I ε^\ddagger	Log ft †	I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$) ‡†	Comments
(5.39×10 ³ 18)	3697.6	3.6	0.08	6.0	3.7	av E β =2009 87; ε K=0.0184 24; ε L=0.0021 3; ε M+=0.00047 6
(5.71×10 ³ 18)	3377.1	0.9	0.02	6.8	0.9	av E β =2164 87; ε K=0.0150 18; ε L=0.00174 21; ε M+=0.00038 5
(5.78×10 ³ 18)	3311.6	0.6	0.01	7.0	0.6	av E β =2196 87; ε K=0.0144 17; ε L=0.00168 20; ε M+=0.00037 5
(5.81×10 ³ 18)	3283.96	2.6	0.04	6.4	2.6	av E β =2209 87; ε K=0.0141 17; ε L=0.00165 20; ε M+=0.00036 5
(5.93×10 ³ 18)	3163.0	0.7	0.01	7.0	0.7	E(end-point)=4543 548 from $\beta(1451\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). av E β =2267 88; ε K=0.0131 16; ε L=0.00153 18; ε M+=0.00033 4
(6.00×10 ³ 18)	3094.6	0.5	0.01	7.2	0.5	av E β =2301 88; ε K=0.0126 15; ε L=0.00147 17; ε M+=0.00032 4
(6.03×10 ³ 18)	3058.07	1.8	0.02	6.6	1.8	av E β =2318 88; ε K=0.0123 14; ε L=0.00144 17; ε M+=0.00031 4
(6.13×10 ³ 18)	2958.26	1.4	0.02	6.8	1.4	av E β =2367 88; ε K=0.0117 13; ε L=0.00136 15; ε M+=0.00030 4
(6.25×10 ³ 18)	2836.5	1.5	0.02	6.8	1.5	E(end-point)=4543 532 from $\beta(1387\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). av E β =2426 88; ε K=0.0109 12; ε L=0.00127 14; ε M+=0.00028 3
(6.67×10 ³ 18)	2418.87	4.3	0.04	6.5	4.3	av E β =2628 88; ε K=0.0087 9; ε L=0.00101 10; ε M+=0.000221 22
(6.79×10 ³ 18)	2301.15	1.7	0.02	6.9	1.7	E(end-point)=4593 796 from $\beta(1438\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). av E β =2686 88; ε K=0.0082 8; ε L=0.00095 10; ε M+=0.000208 21
(6.79×10 ³ 18)	2296.27	3.1	0.03	6.7	3.1	av E β =2688 88; ε K=0.0082 8; ε L=0.00095 10; ε M+=0.000207 21
(7.26×10 ³ 18)	1832.55	6.8	0.05	6.5	6.9	av E β =2914 88; ε K=0.0065 6; ε L=0.00076 7; ε M+=0.000165 15
(7.33×10 ³ # 18)	1763.80	<5.4	<0.08	>8.6 ^{lu}	<5.5	E(end-point)=4560 323 from $\beta(852\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). E(end-point)=4593 879 from $\beta(1447\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). av E β =2939 87; ε K=0.0133 13; ε L=0.00156 15; ε M+=0.00034 4
(7.44×10 ³ 18)	1653.59	1.7	0.03	9.1 ^{lu}	1.7	E(end-point)=4664 459 from $\beta(783\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). No evidence of direct feeding from $\beta\gamma$ measurement of 2003Ba18.
(7.52×10 ³ 18)	1571.05	5.2	0.03	6.7	5.2	av E β =3042 88; ε K=0.0058 5; ε L=0.00067 6; ε M+=0.000147 13
(7.95×10 ³ 18)	1142.13	5.0	0.06	8.9 ^{lu}	5.1	E(end-point)=4643 120 from $\beta(1185\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). av E β =3239 87; ε K=0.0100 9; ε L=0.00117 10; ε M+=0.000254 22
(8.11×10 ³ # 18)	980.70	<31	<0.2	>6.1	<31	av E β =3331 89; ε K=0.0045 4; ε L=0.00052 4; ε M+=0.000114 9
(8.70×10 ³ # 18)	385.89	<22	<0.2	>8.5 ^{lu}	<22	E(decay): from (595 γ)(4945 β), deduced values: 5.97×10 ³ 18 (1981Li12) and 6.10×10 ³ 67 (1982De36); but both these values most likely correspond to a β transition from a level above 3 MeV as discussed by 2003Ba18. E(end-point)=4617 136 from $\beta(595\gamma)$ coin (2003Ba18). No evidence of direct feeding from $\beta\gamma$ coin measurement of 2003Ba18.
						av E β =3606 88; ε K=0.0073 6; ε L=0.00085 7;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{80}Y ε decay (30.1 s) 1999Do01, 1981Li12, 1982De36 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
		$\varepsilon M = 0.000185$ 14 E(decay): from $(386\gamma)(5505\beta)$, deduced values: 6.53×10^3 26 (1982De36) and 6.58×10^3 31 (1981Li12); but both these values most likely correspond to a β transition from a level above 3 MeV as discussed by 2003Ba18.

[†] All feedings should be treated as upper limits and associated log ft values as lower limits since there could be many higher levels, unobserved as yet, in the energy gap of about 5.5 MeV between Q value and the highest known level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{80}\text{Sr})$

I γ normalization: I(γ +ce)(γ 's to g.s.)=100.

E γ [†]	I γ ^{‡#}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	α [@]	Comments
325.7 2	0.8 2	3283.96	(3 ⁺ ,4,5)	2958.26	(3,4,5 ⁺)			
385.9 1	80 20	385.89	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.0084	I γ : total intensity from the decay of g.s. and isomer=100.0 16; some fraction (about 20 units) may belong to the decay of of 4.8-s isomer. From the present decay scheme at least 60 units must be from the decay of the 30.5-s activity.
413.6 ^{&} 2	0.3 2	3697.6	(3,4,5)	3283.96	(3 ⁺ ,4,5)			
428.9 1	1.8 2	1571.05	(3 ⁺)	1142.13	(2 ⁺)			
463.4 3	0.3 1	2296.27	(5 ⁺)	1832.55	(4 ⁺)			
534.3 ^{&} 2	0.2 1	3697.6	(3,4,5)	3163.0				
586.4 ^{&} 3	0.3 2	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	1832.55	(4 ⁺)			
590.2 5	0.4 2	1571.05	(3 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺			
594.8 1	41.6 8	980.70	4 ⁺	385.89	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.0022	I γ : \approx 1 unit of intensity may belong to the decay of 4.8-s isomer.
647.7 2	0.7 1	2301.15	(3,4 ⁺)	1653.59	(2 ⁺)			
673.1 2	0.9 2	1653.59	(2 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺			
690.3 2	3.1 2	1832.55	(4 ⁺)	1142.13	(2 ⁺)			
725.4 2	1.9 2	2296.27	(5 ⁺)	1571.05	(3 ⁺)			
756.2 1	8.2 3	1142.13	(2 ⁺)	385.89	2 ⁺			I γ : \approx 2 unit of intensity may belong to the decay of 4.8-s isomer.
765.3 ^{&} 2	0.8 1	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	1653.59	(2 ⁺)			
783.1 2	4.6 2	1763.80	6 ⁺	980.70	4 ⁺			
^x 801								E γ : from text in 1999Do01.
847.7 2	1.0 1	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	1571.05	(3 ⁺)			
851.8 1	3.9 3	1832.55	(4 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺			
861.2 3	0.6 2	3697.6	(3,4,5)	2836.5	(4)			
987.4 ^{&} 2	0.6 1	3283.96	(3 ⁺ ,4,5)	2296.27	(5 ⁺)			
1142.1 1	4.3 2	1142.13	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺			I γ : \approx 1 unit of intensity may belong to the decay of 4.8-s isomer.
1185.2 1	9.7 3	1571.05	(3 ⁺)	385.89	2 ⁺			
1225.5 2	0.5 2	3058.07	(3,4,5 ⁺)	1832.55	(4 ⁺)			
1267.6 2	1.7 2	1653.59	(2 ⁺)	385.89	2 ⁺			
1276.6 3	1.6 3	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	1142.13	(2 ⁺)			I γ : 1277.5 3 (I γ =3) (1981Li12) placed from a

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{80}Y ε decay (30.1 s) 1999Do01, 1981Li12, 1982De36 (continued)

$\gamma(^{80}\text{Sr})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
1278.7 3	1.6 3	3697.6	(3,4,5)	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	1663 level corresponds to 1276.6 γ +1278.7 γ doublet from 1999Do01.
1315.5 2	1.0 2	2296.27	(5 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺	
1320.4 1	1.1 1	2301.15	(3,4 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺	
1350.4 1		2492.54?	(0,1,2)	1142.13	(2 ⁺)	I_γ : 1.2 1 is most likely from the decay of 4.8-s isomer.
1387.2 2	2.0 1	2958.26	(3,4,5 ⁺)	1571.05	(3 ⁺)	
1396.3 ^{&} 3	0.4 1	3697.6	(3,4,5)	2301.15	(3,4 ⁺)	E_γ : this γ corresponds to 1394.6 3 ($I_\gamma=1$) (1981Li12) placed from a 1780 level.
1438.2 1	1.5 1	2418.87	(3,4 ⁺)	980.70	4 ⁺	
1446.7 1	1.4 2	1832.55	(4 ⁺)	385.89	2 ⁺	
1451.4 2	1.1 1	3283.96	(3 ⁺ ,4,5)	1832.55	(4 ⁺)	
1487.0 2	1.0 1	3058.07	(3,4,5 ⁺)	1571.05	(3 ⁺)	
1523.5 3	0.4 1	3094.6		1571.05	(3 ⁺)	
1544.7 ^{&} 3	0.4 2	3377.1		1832.55	(4 ⁺)	
1591.9 3	0.8 1	3163.0		1571.05	(3 ⁺)	
^x 1630.8 [‡]						
1653.6 2	0.7 2	1653.59	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	
1658.0 3	0.5 1	3311.6		1653.59	(2 ⁺)	
^x 1677.4 [‡]						
1806.0 3	0.4 2	3377.1		1571.05	(3 ⁺)	
^x 1846.7 [‡]						
1855.8 3	1.9 1	2836.5	(4)	980.70	4 ⁺	

[†] From 1999Do01. Values for 9 gamma rays from 1981Li12 and 7 gamma rays from 1982De36 are in general agreement.

[‡] From text and figure 2 of 1999Do01; intensity is estimated (by the evaluator) as <1 from figure 2 of 1999Do01.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.2.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁸⁰Y ε decay (30.1 s) 1999Do01, 1981Li12, 1982De36

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays