

^{80}Br ε decay (17.68 min) 1969Ka06,1967Ra12,1970Do02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 105, 223 (2005)	22-Jun-2005

Parent: ^{80}Br : $E=0$; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=17.68$ min 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=1870.5$ 3; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=8.3 2

1969Ka06: measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, β^+ , β^- , $\beta\gamma$, $T_{1/2}$.

1967Ra12: measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$.

1970Do02: measured γ .

Others:

γ , $\gamma\gamma$: 1962Tr03, 1968Da24, 1956La24, 1953Sc71.

β^+ data: 1956La24, 1954Li19, 1954La34, 1954La39, 1953La36, 1952La20, 1951La08, 1949Dz19.

β^+/β^- ratio: 1950Re51, 1947Ba08.

$T_{1/2}$ (^{80}Br isotope): 1972Co26, 1968Re04, 1957Ki21, 1948Wa13, 1939Se03, 1937Sn02, 1935Am01. 1984Ke14 deals with methodology of $T_{1/2}$ measurement.

Production of ^{80}Br (in addition to above references): 1947Se33, 1936Al01.

 ^{80}Se Levels

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	Comments
0.0	0^+	
665.8 2	2^+	
1450?	2^+	
1478.0 16	0^+	J^π : from (812 γ)(665 γ)(θ) (1967Ra12).

† From 'Adopted Levels'.

 ε, β^+ radiations

Feedings to ^{80}Kr g.s. and ^{80}Se g.s. determined from decay scheme and from $I\beta^+/I\beta^-=0.028$ 2 (1951La08), $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)/I\beta^-=0.090$ 2 (1950Re51), and $I\gamma(616\gamma)/I\beta^+=2.58$ 10 (1962Tr03). 1969Ka06 also deduce these feedings from $I\beta^-/I\beta^+$ and $I\gamma(616\gamma)/I\beta^+$ ratios but give no uncertainties.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(392.5 16)	1478.0		0.05 2	5.3 3	0.05 2	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8747$; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10468$; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.020607$
(420.5 3)	1450?		≤ 0.02	>5.7	≤ 0.02	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8751$; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10432$; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.020527$
(1204.7 4)	665.8		1.1 1	4.94 5	1.1 1	av $E\beta^+=84.3$ 9; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8778$; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.10106$; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.019798$
1882 6	0.0	2.2 2	4.9 2	4.67 5	7.1 2	av $E\beta^+=368.2$ 10; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.6106$ 16; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.06983$ 18; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.01367$ 4 E(decay): from weighted average of $E\beta^+=868$ 7 (1951La08), 862 10 (1954Li19), 850 7 (1969Ka06).

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{80}\text{Se})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: ^{80}Br decays by β^- and by ε, β^+ . Normalization factors have been deduced from the following observations:

$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)/I\beta^-=0.090$ 2 (1950Re51, mag spectrometer measurement); $I\beta^+/I\beta^-=0.028$ 2 (1951La08); $I\gamma(616\gamma)/I\beta^+=2.58$ 10 (1962Tr03). In ε decay β^+ feeding to excited levels in ^{80}Se is negligible.

^{80}Br decays by β^- and by ε, β^+ . The following experimental ratios aid in normalization of decay schemes: $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)/I\beta^-=0.090$ 2 (1950Re51, mass spectrometer data); $I\beta^+/I\beta^-=0.028$ 2 (1951La08, scin). Others: 0.037 (1951Mi16), 0.040 5 (1954Li19), 0.031

^{80}Br ε decay (17.68 min) 1969Ka06,1967Ra12,1970Do02 (continued) $\gamma(^{80}\text{Se})$ (continued)

(1969Ka06); $I_\gamma(616\gamma)/I\beta^+=2.58$ 10 (1962Tr03 scin). Other: 3.0 7 (1953Sc71).
 $\gamma\gamma$ data obtained by 1969Ka06 and 1967Ra12 (scin).

E_γ #	I_γ @&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
665.8 2	16.1 13	665.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	
^x 677.0 ^{†a} 10	0.12 4					
^x 687.4 ^{†a} 10	0.18 5					
788 ^a 3	≤0.2	1450?	2 ⁺	665.8	2 ⁺	
812.2 15	0.6 2	1478.0	0 ⁺	665.8	2 ⁺	(812 γ)(665 γ)(θ): $A_2=0.29$ 13, $A_4=1.32$ 37 (1967Ra12).
^x 1338.5 ^{‡a} 8						

[†] Reported by 1967Ra12 only. Assignment to ^{80}Se uncertain.

[‡] Reported by 1970Do02 only. Assignment to ^{80}Se uncertain.

Weighted average of 1969Ka06, 1967Ra12, 1970Do02.

@ Average of 1969Ka06 and 1967Ra12. Relative to $I_\gamma(616\gamma)$ in ^{80}Kr =100.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.067 6.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

 ^{80}Br ε decay (17.68 min) 1969Ka06,1967Ra12,1970Do02