

$^{78}\text{Se}(\text{t},\text{p})$  **1986Bu16**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 105, 223 (2005)	22-Jun-2005

E=17 MeV. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , FWHM=20 keV, DWBA calculations. See also [1986Fo01](#) from the same group.

 $^{80}\text{Se}$  Levels

Differential cross sections at angles where values are maximum are given under comments.

E(level)	L <sup>‡</sup>	$\varepsilon$ (enhancement factor) <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	0	2.88	$d\sigma/d\Omega=2297$ mb/sr <a href="#">115</a> . Other: 2200 mb/sr <a href="#">110</a> ( <a href="#">1986Fo01</a> ).
676 <i>11</i>	2 <sup>@</sup>	1.22	$d\sigma/d\Omega=27$ mb/sr <a href="#">3</a> .
1461 <i>9</i>	2 <sup>@</sup>	0.53	$d\sigma/d\Omega=15$ mb/sr <a href="#">2</a> .
1479 <sup>#</sup>			$d\sigma/d\Omega<17$ mb/sr.
1881 <i>11</i>	0	0.74	$d\sigma/d\Omega=50$ mb/sr <a href="#">5</a> .
1965 <i>14</i>	2 <sup>@</sup>	0.17	$d\sigma/d\Omega=4.7$ mb/sr <a href="#">13</a> .
2150? <sup>#</sup> <i>19</i>			
2350 <i>5</i>	(2) <sup>@</sup>	0.23	$d\sigma/d\Omega=8.6$ mb/sr <a href="#">18</a> .
2510 <i>11</i>	1	2.37	$d\sigma/d\Omega=75$ mb/sr <a href="#">6</a> .
2719 <i>6</i>	3	0.62	$d\sigma/d\Omega=54$ mb/sr <a href="#">4</a> .
3036 <i>10</i>	(2+6)	0.48	$d\sigma/d\Omega=6.0$ mb/sr <a href="#">15</a> for a possible doublet. $\varepsilon$ : 0.14 for L=2 component and 0.34 for L=6.
3160 <i>9</i>	0	0.51	$d\sigma/d\Omega=28$ mb/sr <a href="#">3</a> .
3280? <sup>#</sup> <i>30</i>			
3350 <i>12</i>	(3)	0.10	$d\sigma/d\Omega=15$ mb/sr <a href="#">2</a> . L: L=3 fits better than L=2.
3391 <i>9</i>	(2)	0.52	$d\sigma/d\Omega=18$ mb/sr <a href="#">2</a> .
3484? <sup>#</sup> <i>30</i>			
3635 <i>5</i>	0	5.85	$d\sigma/d\Omega=308$ mb/sr <a href="#">15</a> .
3760 <i>10</i>	(3)	0.01	$d\sigma/d\Omega=22$ mb/sr <a href="#">3</a> .
3874 <i>5</i>	(1)	4.39	$d\sigma/d\Omega=111$ mb/sr <a href="#">7</a> .
3976 <i>8</i>	(1)	2.72	$d\sigma/d\Omega=73$ mb/sr <a href="#">5</a> .
4063 <i>16</i>	(2)	0.71	$d\sigma/d\Omega=10$ mb/sr <a href="#">2</a> .
4129 <i>8</i>	0	3.08	$d\sigma/d\Omega=66$ mb/sr <a href="#">5</a> . Obscured by an impurity at forward angles.
4176 <i>5</i>	2	2.79	$d\sigma/d\Omega=73$ mb/sr <a href="#">5</a> .
4247 <i>7</i>	2	1.65	$d\sigma/d\Omega=34$ mb/sr <a href="#">3</a> .
4315 <i>14</i>	(2)	1.94	$d\sigma/d\Omega=44$ mb/sr <a href="#">4</a> .
4343 <i>13</i>	2	1.82	$d\sigma/d\Omega=47$ mb/sr <a href="#">4</a> .
4464 <i>5</i>	(1)	3.38	$d\sigma/d\Omega=80$ mb/sr <a href="#">6</a> .
4712 <i>30</i>			
5180 <i>30</i>			

<sup>†</sup>  $\sigma(\theta)(\exp)=230(\varepsilon)(\sigma(\theta))(\text{DWBA})$ , where  $\varepsilon$ =enhancement factor, a measure of relative transition strength.

<sup>‡</sup> From comparison with DWBA calculations. The following configurations were considered by [1986Bu16](#) for the two-neutron transfer: (1g<sub>9/2</sub>,1g<sub>9/2</sub>) for L=0,2,4,6; (2p<sub>1/2</sub>,3s<sub>1/2</sub>) for L=1 and (2p<sub>1/2</sub>,2d<sub>5/2</sub>) for L=3.

<sup>#</sup> Very weakly populated state.

<sup>@</sup> Fit with L=2 not in good agreement with DWBA calculations.