

^{80}Rb ε decay (34 s) 1973Br32

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 105, 223 (2005)	22-Jun-2005

Parent: ^{80}Rb : E=0; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=34$ s 4; $Q(\varepsilon)=5720$ 7; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

1973Br22: Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$. Source obtained from $^{71}\text{Ga}(^{12}\text{C},3n\gamma)$ reaction and from successive ε decay of ^{80}Y formed in $^{65}\text{Cu}(^{20}\text{Ne},5n\gamma)$ reaction.

1993Gi01: Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, ce. Deduced electric monopole strength ρ^2 . See also (p,p'g), where lifetime of the first excited 0^+ state was measured.

1993Al03: Measured Q value by total absorption γ (TAGS) spectrum.

Others:

β^+ , $\beta^+\gamma$ data (Q value): 1980DeZB, 1975We23, 1976ReZU, 1961Ho13.

γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $T_{1/2}$: 1961Ho13, 1972JaYZ.

Yield and production of ^{80}Rb : Ir(p,X) reaction (1986Be43), ($^{32}\text{S},\text{X}$) reaction (1985Hu06).

1993Gi01 made an attempt to identify third 0^+ state around 2 MeV from their ce data. From the absence of any conversion electron line in the range 1900-2100 keV, 1993Gi01 deduced Ice(K) (third 0^+ to g.s.)/ Ice(K) (second 0^+ to g.s.)<0.05 (95% confidence limit).

 ^{80}Kr Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$
0.0	0^+
616.8 5	2^+
1256.5 7	2^+
1321.1 7	0^+

\dagger From 'Adopted Levels'.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(4399 7)	1321.1	1.8 3	0.068 11	5.88 9	1.9 3	av $E\beta=1533.6$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.03121$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.003607$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.000740$ 5
(4464 7)	1256.5	2.0 3	0.071 10	5.87 8	2.1 3	av $E\beta=1564.3$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.02954$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.003415$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.000701$ 5
4.88×10^3 35	616.8	21.2 25	0.44 5	5.19 8	21.6 26	av $E\beta=1870.5$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.01801$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.002080$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.0004268$ 2
5.63×10^3 16	0.0	73 3	1.01 4	4.93 6	74.4 30	E(decay): from $\beta^+\gamma$, $E\beta+=3.86$ MeV 35 (1975We23). av $E\beta=2167.9$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.01194$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.001378$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002828$ 1
						E(decay): from 1980DeZB. Others: 5720 (1975We23), 5.12E3 50.

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{80}\text{Kr})$

Iy normalization: 1973Br32 deduced this from $I\gamma(\gamma^\pm)/I\gamma(617\gamma)=7.95$ 80 (1973Br32) and total expected ε branch of 1.5%.

1973Br32 assumed that all the intensity of annihilation radiation was from ^{80}Rb decay. Since the authors studied ^{80}Sr and ^{80}Rb in equilibrium, part of γ^\pm radiation may be from ^{80}Sr ε decay. It is estimated (evaluator) that $\approx 8\%$ may arise from ^{80}Sr ε decay but the normalization factor has not been corrected for this contribution.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{80}\text{Rb} \varepsilon$ decay (34 s) 1973Br32 (continued) $\gamma(^{80}\text{Kr})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	Comments
616.7 5	100	616.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺		$\alpha(K)\exp=0.00131$ 7 (1993Gi01)
639.6 5	6.0 6	1256.5	2 ⁺	616.8	2 ⁺		Mult.: I(E0)/I(E2)<0.07 (from ce data with 95% confidence limit, 1993Gi01).
704.3 5	7.5 8	1321.1	0 ⁺	616.8	2 ⁺		$\rho^2(E0:$ to 617,2 ^{+>})<0.05; X(E0/E2)<0.028 (1993Gi01, 95% confidence limit).
1257.1 15	2.3 3	1256.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0	ce(K) and ce(L) lines seen by 1993Gi01.
1320.5		1321.1	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	M1,E2	$E_\gamma:$ ce(K) and ce(L) from 1993Gi01. $\rho^2(E0:$ to g.s.)=0.021 9; X(B(E0):E0 to g.s.)/(BE2:E2 to 617,2 ^{+>})=0.022 2 (1993Gi01). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.000209$ 25 (1993Gi01) E _γ , Mult.: from ce line At 1328.76 20 and strong γ line (1993Gi01); γ not from the decay of other Kr isotopes.
^x 1343.09 6							

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.25 3.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme. $^{80}\text{Rb} \varepsilon$ decay (34 s) 1973Br32