

^{79}Kr ε decay (35.04 h) [1974Co05](#),[1973Lu07](#),[1970We01](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 135, 193 (2016)	31-May-2016

Parent: ^{79}Kr : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=35.04$ h 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=1626$ 3; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{79}Kr - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From ^{79}Kr Adopted Levels.

^{79}Kr - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From [2012Wa38](#).

Other main reference: [1967Ro03](#).

Others: [1972OhZX](#), [1970Be79](#), [1969Be82](#), [1966La06](#), [1965Wi13](#), [1964Bo25](#), [1963Po13](#), [1963Ra26](#).

ce measurements: [1954Th39](#), [1964Bo25](#).

 ^{79}Br Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$		
217.07 6	$5/2^-$	≤ 0.15 ns	$T_{1/2}$: from 1970Be79 , 1969Be82 .
261.32 6	$3/2^-$	0.13 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(\text{ce})\gamma(t)$ (1972OhZX). Others: 0.91 ns 8 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$, 1970Be79). Value from 1970Be79 is inconsistent with B(E2) (from Coul. ex.) and $\delta(261\gamma)$.
306.52 7	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		
397.47 6	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		
523.22 10	$5/2^-$		
606.02 6	$3/2^-$		
831.94 6	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		
1112.48 11	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$		
1131.67 14	$1/2, 3/2$		
1332.31 6	$3/2^-$		
1501.6 3	$1/2, 3/2$		
1573.7? 5	$(5/2)^+$		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ values.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$E(\beta^+)$ measured by [1966La06](#), [1964Bo25](#), [1955Th01](#), [1954Th39](#), [1952Be55](#).

$\varepsilon(K)/\beta^+$ measured by [1955Ra64](#), [1954Th39](#), [1952Be55](#).

$\varepsilon(L)/\varepsilon(K)$ measured by [1965Wi13](#), [1963Dr04](#), [1955Ra32](#).

$I\beta^+$ deduced by [1966La06](#) from triple coincidences with γ rays and two annihilation quanta.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log f_t	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(52 [‡] 3)	1573.7?		<0.0005	>7.5	<0.0005	$\varepsilon K=0.814$; $\varepsilon L=0.153$; $\varepsilon M+=0.032$
(124 3)	1501.6		0.023 8	6.7 2	0.023 8	$\varepsilon K=0.857$; $\varepsilon L=0.119$; $\varepsilon M+=0.024$
(294 3)	1332.31		1.27 7	5.76 4	1.27 7	$\varepsilon K=0.871$; $\varepsilon L=0.107$; $\varepsilon M+=0.022$
(494 3)	1131.67		0.069 8	7.48 6	0.069 8	$\varepsilon K=0.875$; $\varepsilon L=0.104$; $\varepsilon M+=0.021$
(514 3)	1112.48		0.22 4	7.0 1	0.22 4	$\varepsilon K=0.875$; $\varepsilon L=0.104$; $\varepsilon M+=0.021$
(794 3)	831.94		1.80 12	6.48 3	1.80 12	$\varepsilon K=0.877$; $\varepsilon L=0.104$; $\varepsilon M+=0.021$
(1020 3)	606.02		12.1 5	5.88 2	12.1 5	$\varepsilon K=0.877$; $\varepsilon L=0.102$; $\varepsilon M+=0.020$
(1103 [‡] 3)	523.22		<0.18	>7.8	<0.18	$\varepsilon K=0.877$; $\varepsilon L=0.102$; $\varepsilon M+=0.020$
(1229 3)	397.47	0.021 4	9.6 5	6.14 3	9.6 5	av $E\beta=95$ 3; $\varepsilon K=0.876$; $\varepsilon L=0.102$; $\varepsilon M+=0.020$ $I\beta^+=0.015$ per 100 decays (1966La06).
(1319 3)	306.52	0.005 2	0.52 15	7.5 2	0.52 15	av $E\beta=134$ 3; $\varepsilon K=0.870$; $\varepsilon L=0.101$; $\varepsilon M+=0.020$
(1365 3)	261.32	0.18 2	11.6 5	6.15 2	11.8 5	av $E\beta=153$ 3; $\varepsilon K=0.865$; $\varepsilon L=0.100$; $\varepsilon M+=0.020$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁷⁹Kr ϵ decay (35.04 h) [1974Co05](#),[1973Lu07](#),[1970We01](#) (continued)

ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^+$</u> [†]	<u>$I\epsilon$</u> [†]	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$</u> [†]	<u>Comments</u>
(1626 3)	0.0	6.8 3	55.9 12	5.62 12	62.7 13	E(β^+)=325 20 (1954Th39). $I\beta^+$ =0.13 per 100 decays (1966La06); relative $I\beta^+$ =9 (1955Th01), 7 (1954Th39). av E β =264 3; ϵK =0.783 3; ϵL =0.0905 4; ϵM +0.0181 1 E(β^+)=613 5 (1964Bo25), 598 5 (1954Th39), 590 10 (1952Be55). $I\beta^+$ =7.6 per 100 decays (1966La06). Relative $I\beta^+$ =91 (1955Th01), 93 (1954Th39).

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(⁷⁹Br)

I_γ normalization: from absolute γ-ray intensity measurement (1974Co05).

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[@]</u>	<u>α^a</u>	<u>Comments</u>
44.2 4	1.7 2	261.32	3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻	M1		1.15 4	α(K)exp=0.85 17 (1954Th39) α(K)=1.01 3; α(L)=0.114 4; α(M)=0.0182 6 α(N)=0.00168 5
136.09 10	6.7 9	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	261.32	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.25 2	0.0633 23	α(K)exp=0.26 7 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.0558 20; α(L)=0.0064 3; α(M)=0.00102 5 α(N)=9.3×10 ⁻⁵ 4 δ: from A ₂ =+0.006 6, A ₄ =+0.003 8 for γγ(θ) of 136γ-261γ, assuming δ=-0.04 5 for 261γ. K/(L+M)=8.6 (1954Th39). Mult.: α(K)exp and K/(L+M) imply almost pure E2 for 136γ, in disagreement with δ=0.25 from γγ(θ). α(K)exp=0.02 2 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.054 33; α(L)=0.0064 42; α(M)=1.02×10 ⁻³ 66 α(N)=9.1×10 ⁻⁵ 57
180.25 15	0.8 4	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻	M1,E2		0.061 38	α(K)exp=0.014 3 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.0152 8; α(L)=0.00166 9; α(M)=0.000263 15 α(N)=2.45×10 ⁻⁵ 13 δ: from A ₂ =-0.064 6, A ₄ =0.000 9 for 208γ-398γ (1973Lu07). K/(L+M)=6.9 (1954Th39). α(K)=0.0132 3; α(L)=0.00144 3; α(M)=0.000229 5 α(N)=2.13×10 ⁻⁵ 5 δ: from γ(θ) in Coul. ex. Ce(K)/Ce(L+M)=10.6 (1954Th39). α(K)=0.013 for this transition used as normalization for other γ-rays.
208.48 10	6.1 4	606.02	3/2 ⁻	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	<0.2	0.0171 9	α(K)exp=0.0074 15 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.00841 15; α(L)=0.000910 16; α(M)=0.000145 3 α(N)=1.347×10 ⁻⁵ 23 δ: from Adopted Gammas. Other: δ=-0.04 5 from γγ(θ) of 345γ-261γ (1973Lu07), assuming M1 for 345γ. δ must be nonzero since level is populated in Coul. ex. K/(L+M)=8.0 (1954Th39).
217.07 10	18.7 8	217.07	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.08 3	0.0149 3	α(K)exp=0.0072 15 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.0072 11; α(L)=0.00079 13; α(M)=0.000125 20 α(N)=1.16×10 ⁻⁵ 18 A ₂ =+0.008 11, A ₄ =-0.009 14 for 300γ-307γ (1973Lu07). δ: from α(K)exp (1954Th39). γγ(θ) (1973Lu07) does not give a unique value. K/(L+M)=10.8 (1954Th39).
261.29 10	100	261.32	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.13 2	0.00948 16	
280.46 25	0.081 11	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	831.94	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.45 20	0.0081 13	
299.53 10	12.1 6	606.02	3/2 ⁻	306.52	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	M1+E2			

⁷⁹Kr ε decay (35.04 h) [1974Co05,1973Lu07,1970We01](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{79}\text{Br})$ (continued)									
E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡b}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ [@]	α ^a	Comments
306.47 10	20.5 8	306.52	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2 ^{&}	0.11 ^{&} 2	0.00630 10	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0064$ 12 (1954Th39) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00559$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000601$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.56\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.92\times 10^{-6}$ 14 K/(L+M)=9.4 (1954Th39). Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and Ce(K)/Ce(L+M).
344.71 10	1.86 15	606.02	3/2 ⁻	261.32	3/2 ⁻	(M1)		0.00465	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.16$ 16 (1954Th39) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00413$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000442$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.03\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.57\times 10^{-6}$ 10 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ agrees with M1 or E1. But J^π change favors M1. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ agrees with pure dipole mult. $A_2=+0.135$ 28, $A_4=-0.036$ 38 for 345γ-261γ (1973Lu07).
388.97 10	11.9 6	606.02	3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.19 2	0.00359 6	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0037$ 7 (1954Th39) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00319$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000341$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.42\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.06\times 10^{-6}$ 8 δ : from $A_2=-0.001$ 21, $A_4=+0.035$ 29 for 389γ-217γ(1973Lu07).
397.54 10	73.5 25	397.47	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.35 4	0.00361 9	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0029$ 6 (1954Th39) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00321$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000345$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.48\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.10\times 10^{-6}$ 12 δ : from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ of 209γ-397γ (1973Lu07). K/(L+M)=10.1 (1954Th39).
434.50 10	0.31 4	831.94	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	397.47	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	M1+E2 ^{&}	+0.26 ^{&} 3	0.00179	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.001593$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000169$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.69\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.52\times 10^{-6}$ 4
500.7 7	0.13 4	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	831.94	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻				
506.6 10	0.70	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	606.02	3/2 ⁻				
523.2 2	1.8 5	523.22	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
525.35 15	3.1 6	831.94	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	306.52	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	M1+E2 ^{&}	0.30 ^{&} 3	1.28×10^{-3} 2	Reported by 1973Lu07 only. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0020$ 4 (1954Th39) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001139$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0001206$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.92\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.79\times 10^{-6}$ 3 δ : from Coul. ex. and (γ,γ'). K/(L+M)=7.8 (1954Th39).
^x 538.5 5	0.052 15								
571.1 6	0.043 6	831.94	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	261.32	3/2 ⁻				
606.09 10	63.9 16	606.02	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻				

γ(⁷⁹Br) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[@]</u>	<u>α^a</u>	<u>Comments</u>
614.89 10	0.75 25	831.94	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻				
^x 650.89 15	0.09 2								
715.04 20	0.048 9	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻				
726.56 20	0.15 3	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	606.02	3/2 ⁻				
734.12 20	0.10 3	1131.67	1/2,3/2	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻				
809.17 10	0.76 5	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	523.22	5/2 ⁻				
831.97 10	9.9 5	831.94	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)&	<0.12&	6.21×10 ⁻⁴	α(K)exp=0.00059 12 (1954Th39) α(K)=0.000553 8; α(L)=5.81×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(M)=9.22×10 ⁻⁶ 13 α(N)=8.66×10 ⁻⁷ 13
851.13 15	0.30 3	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	261.32	3/2 ⁻				
871.2 5	0.06 2	1131.67	1/2,3/2	261.32	3/2 ⁻				
895.5 4	0.088 16	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻				
914.8 5	0.057 24	1131.67	1/2,3/2	217.07	5/2 ⁻				
934.84 15	0.95 6	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	M1,E2		0.00051 3	α(K)exp=0.00038 13 (1964Bo25) α(K)=0.000454 24; α(L)=4.8×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(M)=7.6×10 ⁻⁶ 5 α(N)=7.1×10 ⁻⁷ 4
1025.73 10	1.19 8	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	306.52	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	M1,E2		4.15×10 ⁻⁴ 17	α(K)exp=0.00036 12 (1964Bo25) α(K)=0.000369 15; α(L)=3.88×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α(M)=6.2×10 ⁻⁶ 3 α(N)=5.77×10 ⁻⁷ 25
1070.97 15	0.48 8	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	261.32	3/2 ⁻	M1,E2		3.77×10 ⁻⁴ 14	α(K)exp=0.00061 20 α(K)=0.000336 12; α(L)=3.53×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(M)=5.60×10 ⁻⁶ 23 α(N)=5.25×10 ⁻⁷ 20
^x 1076.1 4	0.052 20								
1104.0 5	0.10 5	1501.6	1/2,3/2	397.47	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻				
1112.8 5	0.52 25	1112.48	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
1115.1 3	2.93 30	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	217.07	5/2 ⁻	M1(+E2)	+0.8 +13-6	3.45×10 ⁻⁴ 10	α(K)exp=0.00035 12 (1964Bo25) α(K)=0.000306 9; α(L)=3.21×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(M)=5.10×10 ⁻⁶ 16 α(N)=4.78×10 ⁻⁷ 14; α(IPF)=9.7×10 ⁻⁷ 14 δ: from A ₂ =+0.332 25, A ₄ =+0.06 2 for 1115γ-217γ (1970Be79,1969Be82). In deducing δ evaluators consider A ₂ only. A ₄ =+0.06 does not agree with the required spin sequence.
1131.56 20	0.33 4	1131.67	1/2,3/2	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
1195.3 4	0.02 1	1501.6	1/2,3/2	306.52	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻				
1239.2 10	0.06 3	1501.6	1/2,3/2	261.32	3/2 ⁻				
1332.21 10	3.39 24	1332.31	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1,E2		2.70×10 ⁻⁴ 9	α(K)exp=0.00026 10 (1964Bo25)

79Kr ε decay (35.04 h) 1974Co05,1973Lu07,1970We01 (continued)

γ(79Br) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Comments
						$\alpha(K)=0.000212$ 5; $\alpha(L)=2.22\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(M)=3.52\times10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=3.31\times10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(IPF)=3.1\times10^{-5}$ 4
1501.6 5	<0.008	1501.6	1/2,3/2	0.0	3/2 ⁻	
1573.7 5	<0.004	1573.7?	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	

[†] Weighted average of 1974Co05, 1973Lu07, 1970We01, 1967Ro03.

[‡] Weighted average of 1974Co05, 1973Lu07, 1967Ro03.

[#] From ce data (1954Th39,1964Bo25).

[@] Unless otherwise stated, from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1973Lu07). Data reanalyzed by evaluators. In most cases a double value solution is obtained. The second δ value, however, gives almost pure E2 multipolarity which in the present case is in disagreement with $T_{1/2}$ value obtained from (γ,γ') (1970We01) and Coul. ex. (1967Ro03). Thus, only the lower δ value is adopted.

[&] From (γ,γ') and Coul. ex.

^a From BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) 2008Ki07, “Frozen Orbitals” appr. If No $\delta(E2/M1)$ value given, α overlaps values for E2 and M1.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.127 4.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁷⁹Kr e decay (35.04 h) 1974C005,1973L007,1970W01

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma_{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma_{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma_{max}}$
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+e)}$ per 100 parent decays

