

$^{77}\text{Se}(\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma),^{76}\text{Ge}(^7\text{Li},5\text{n}\gamma)$ **1982Be03,1980Ga11**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Ameenah R. Farhan, Balraj Singh	NDS 110, 1917 (2009)	30-Jun-2009

Additional information 1.

1982Be03, 1980Ga11: $^{77}\text{Se}(\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma)$ E=30-55 MeV; $^{76}\text{Ge}(^7\text{Li},5\text{n}\gamma)$ E=40-55 MeV. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, level lifetimes by $\gamma(t)$ using Ge(Li) detectors. The $(\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma)$ experiment was done at Buenos Aires and $(^7\text{Li},5\text{n}\gamma)$ at Grenoble. The 1980Ga11 work reported earlier results from $(\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma)$ experiment.

All data are from 1982Be03 unless otherwise stated.

See also “ $^{76}\text{Se}(\alpha,\text{n}\gamma)$, $^{78}\text{Se}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$, $^{78}\text{Se}(\text{d},2\text{n}\gamma)$ ” dataset.

 ^{78}Br Levels

E(level)	J^π ‡	$T_{1/2}$ †	Comments
0.0	1 ⁺		
32.3 2	(2 ⁻)		
180.8 3	(4 ⁺)	120 μs I	
196.1? 3	(1,2,3) ⁽⁺⁾	<25 ns	E(level): level not included In ‘Adopted Levels’, the 196.0 γ most likely deexcites a 423 level.
227.6 4	(5 ⁺)	84 ns 8	
242.6 4	(3,4) ⁻	17 ns 2	
264.8 5	(5 ⁻)		
337.8 4	(6 ⁺)	9.0 ns 10	
423.6? 5		<25 ns	level proposed by the evaluators based on $(^{11}\text{B},3\text{n}\gamma)$ results, reassigning 196.0 γ . $T_{1/2}$: from 196 $\gamma(t)$ (1982Be03).
437.6 5	(7 ⁺)	<3 ns	
457.3 6	(1,2,3 ⁺)		
467.6 6	(8 ⁺)		
646.8 7	(4)		
976.9 6	(9 ⁺)		
1371.6 6	(10 ⁺)		
1940.1 7	(11 ⁺)		

† From $\gamma(t)$ (1982Be03,1980Ga11).

‡ From ‘Adopted Levels’.

 $\gamma(^{78}\text{Br})$

E_γ †	I_γ †	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α ‡	Comments
30.0 3	15 5	467.6	(8 ⁺)	437.6	(7 ⁺)	(D)		$A_2=+0.03$ 6 Additional information 5.
32.3 2	62 8	32.3	(2 ⁻)	0.0	1 ⁺			$A_2=0.00$ 2
37.4 5	7 2	264.8	(5 ⁻)	227.6	(5 ⁺)			$A_2=+0.16$ 11
46.3 5	7 2	242.6	(3,4) ⁻	196.1? (1,2,3) ⁽⁺⁾				E_γ, I_γ : see comments for 46.8 γ from 227 level.
46.8 2	60 5	227.6	(5 ⁺)	180.8	(4 ⁺)	(M1+E2)	8 7	$A_2=+0.09$ 2 $\alpha(K)=6$ 5; $\alpha(L)=1.4$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.22$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.017$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.017$ 16 Additional information 2. A_2 for 46.8+46.3 γ rays.
								E_γ, I_γ : doublet from $\gamma\gamma$ data, with most of the intensity deexciting the 228 level.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{77}\text{Se}(\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma),^{76}\text{Ge}(^7\text{Li},5\text{n}\gamma)$ **1982Be03,1980Ga11 (continued)** $\gamma(^{78}\text{Br})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	Comments
$^{x}63.3$ 5	5 1						Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp}) > 1.8$ is deduced from an intensity balance at the 228 level (1980Ga11), requiring $\delta \geq 0.14$, if mult=D+Q. This is consistent with $\gamma(\theta)$. RUL then rules out E1+M2.
$^{x}68.8$ 5	3.0 15						
$^{x}71.9$ @ 5	3.0 6						
72.9 5	4.0 6	337.8	(6 ⁺)	264.8	(5 ⁻)	D	$A_2 = -0.4$ 2 Additional information 4 .
83.9 5	4.0 5	264.8	(5 ⁻)	180.8	(4 ⁺)	D	$A_2 = -0.5$ 3 Additional information 3 .
99.8 2	100 5	437.6	(7 ⁺)	337.8	(6 ⁺)	D	$A_2 = -0.18$ 2
110.2 2	110 10	337.8	(6 ⁺)	227.6	(5 ⁺)	D	$A_2 = -0.050$ 14
148.5 2	180 10	180.8	(4 ⁺)	32.3	(2 ⁻)		$A_2 = -0.01$ 2
196.0 #@ 3	25 2	196.1?	(1,2,3) ⁽⁺⁾	0.0	1 ⁺	(D)	$A_2 = -0.13$ 12
							E_γ, I_γ : placement of this γ is treated as questionable. This γ is most likely a doublet with a major fraction deexciting a level at 423 keV as in (¹¹ B,3n γ) (1996La13). A minor fraction may deexcite a 197 level known from (p,n γ).
196.0 #@ 3	25 2	423.6?		227.6	(5 ⁺)	(D)	E_γ : placement proposed by the evaluators based on (¹¹ B,3n γ) results.
242.9 5	3 1	242.6	(3,4) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁺		
261.2 5	9 2	457.3	(1,2,3 ⁺)	196.1?	(1,2,3) ⁽⁺⁾		
394.5 3	16 3	1371.6	(10 ⁺)	976.9	(9 ⁺)		
404.2 5	9 2	646.8	(4)	242.6	(3,4) ⁻		
419.6 @ 5	5 1	646.8	(4)	227.6	(5 ⁺)		I_γ : estimated by 1982Be03 from branching ratio given by 1979Ki05 .
457.2 @ 5	4 1	457.3	(1,2,3 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁺		
509.2 2	35 5	976.9	(9 ⁺)	467.6	(8 ⁺)		I_γ : from $\gamma\gamma$ data.
$^{x}564.2$ 5	6 3						
568.5 3	18 4	1940.1	(11 ⁺)	1371.6	(10 ⁺)	D	$A_2 = -0.30$ 18
904.1 2	38 4	1371.6	(10 ⁺)	467.6	(8 ⁺)	(Q)	$A_2 = +0.30$ 10

[†] Most data are from ($\alpha,2\text{n}\gamma$). The intensities are most likely at $E(\alpha)=45$ MeV. [1982Be03](#) state that intensities are about the same in the two reactions. The uncertainty in energy is stated by [1982Be03](#) as 0.2 keV for the strongest γ ray to 0.5 keV for the weakest one. The evaluators assign 0.2 keV for $I_\gamma > 30$, 0.3 keV for $I_\gamma = 10-30$ and 0.5 for $I_\gamma < 10$.

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

Multiply placed.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

