⁷⁷Ni β^- decay (158.9 ms) 2017Sa32

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	30-Sep-2020				

Parent: ⁷⁷Ni: E=0; $J^{\pi}=(9/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=158.9$ ms 42; $Q(\beta^-)=12063$ SY; $\%\beta^-$ decay=100.0

⁷⁷Ni-J^{π}: From systematics, dominated by an odd neutron hole in the 1g_{9/2} orbital in the shell-model calculations (2017Sa32).

- 77 Ni-T_{1/2}: From 77 Ni Adopted Levels, where the value is from 2014Xu07.
- ⁷⁷Ni-Q(β^{-}): 12063 500 (from mass excess for ⁷⁷Ni from 2017Wa10, and measured mass excess for ⁷⁷Cu from 2017We16. Other: 11820 520 (syst, 2017Wa10).

⁷⁷Ni- $\%\beta^-$ decay: $\%\beta^-$ n=24 *16* (2014XuZZ), 30 *24* (2010Ho12) for the decay of ⁷⁷Ni. Note also that 22.4 *16* units of absolute γ intensity are unplaced in the level scheme.

2017Sa32: ⁷⁷Ni isotope produced in ⁹Be(²³⁸U,F) reaction at E=345 MeV/nucleon. Nuclei of ⁷⁷Ni were identified by atomic number (Z) and mass/charge (A/Q) ratio by time-of-flight (tof), magnetic rigidity (B ρ) and energy loss Δ E using the BigRIPS fragment separator and ZeroDegree spectrometer. Particles (the implanted ions, β rays from the decay of ⁷⁷Ni and conversion electrons) were detected by WAS3ABi silicon detector array, and γ radiation by EURICA array of 84 HPGe detectors in 12 clusters. Measured (⁷⁷Ni ions) γ -correlated events, E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, J^{π} , β feedings, log *ft* values. Comparison with shell-model calculations. Conference report 2016Sa07 is from the same group.

Includes revised data Table of γ -ray intensities and comments received from F.L. Bello Garrote and E. Sahin, authors of 2017Sa32 on June 5, 2020.

⁷⁷Cu Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0	5/2-	469.8 ms 20	J^{π} , $T_{1/2}$: from ⁷⁷ Cu Adopted Levels.
293 1	$(3/2^{-})$		· · · · · ·
946 <i>1</i>	$(9/2^{-})$		
1154 <i>1</i>	$(7/2^{-})$		
1776 <i>1</i>	$(13/2^{-})$		
1955 <i>1</i>	$(11/2^{-})$		
2068 1	(7/2 ⁻)		E(level): the level is tentative in 2017Sa32, but based on ${}^{9}Be({}^{78}Zn,p\gamma)$ data in 2018Va08, the level is certain from the observation of a strong 2068 γ .
2605 2	$(11/2, 13/2^{-})$		
2695? 2	(7/2 ⁻)		E(level), J^{π} : tentative level proposed in revised data Table and comments received, June 5, 2020 from the authors of 2017Sa32.
2869 2	$(11/2, 13/2^{-})$		
2909 2	$(9/2, 11/2^{-})$		
3412 2	$(9/2, 11/2^{-})$		
3954 2	$(7/2, 9/2, 11/2^{-})$		
5958+x			E(level): level introduced by compiler to account for population of neutron-unbound levels, as suggested by $\%\beta^-n$ values measured by 2010Ho10 and 2014XuZZ x<6105 500 from Q(β^-)-S(n)(⁷⁷ Cu), where Q(β^-)=12063 500 and S(n)=5958.1 71 (2017Wa10 and mass measurement by 2017We16).

[†] Deduced from least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

[‡] As assigned by 2017Sa32 in their level-scheme Fig. 3, based partly on shell-model calculations. The ground-state spin of 5/2 is measured by 2011Ko36.

⁷⁷₂₉Cu₄₈-2

⁷⁷Ni $β^-$ decay (158.9 ms) 2017Sa32 (continued)

β^{-} radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger \#}$	$\log ft^{\ddagger}$	Comments
(3052 ^{&} SY)	5958+x	26 16		$I\beta^{-}$: from $\%\beta^{-}n=26$ 16 of ⁷⁷ Ni decay (see ⁷⁷ Ni Adopted Levels).
(8109 <i>SY</i>)	3954	<2.6	>5.8	av $E\beta = 3.76 \times 10^3 \ 25$
				I β^- : from intensity balance, I β =2.1 5. 2017Sa32 give <2.5.
(8651 <i>SY</i>)	3412	<4.0	>5.7	av $E\beta = 4.03 \times 10^3 \ 25$
				I β^- : from intensity balance, I β =3.4 6. 2017Sa32 give <3.9.
(9154 <i>SY</i>)	2909	<3.5	>5.9	av $E\beta = 4.27 \times 10^3 \ 25$
				I β^- : from intensity balance, I β =3.0 5. 2017Sa32 give <3.4.
(9194 <i>SY</i>)	2869	<2.4	>6.0	av $E\beta = 4.29 \times 10^3 25$
()				$I\beta^-$: from intensity balance, $I\beta=1.7/7$. 2017Sa32 give <2.2.
(9368 SY)	2695?	<2.2	>6.1	av $E\beta = 4.37 \times 10^3 25$
(0.450 GID	2605	2.0	6.0	$I\beta^-$: from intensity balance, $I\beta=1.8$ 4.
(9458 <i>SY</i>)	2605	<2.0	>6.2	av $E\beta = 4.42 \times 10^{-5} 25$
(0005 612)	2069	.17	. 5.0	$\mu = 10^{-10}$ from intensity balance, $\mu = 1.0^{-10}$. 2017Sa52 give <1.0.
(9995 51)	2008	<4./	>5.9	av $E\beta$ =4.08×10° 25 I β : from intensity balance I β =4.1.6, 2017Se32 give <4.2
(10108 SV)	1055	-83	5 7	$E^{2} = 4.73 \times 10^{3} 25$
(10108-51)	1955	<0.5	23.1	$I\beta^{-1}$ from intensity balance $I\beta=7.5.8$ 2017Sa32 give <8.3
(10287 [@] SV)	1776	<17	$\sim 0 0 1 u$	$E_{E} = 4.83 \times 10^{3} 25$
(10287 51)	1770	<1.7	20.0	$I\beta^{-1.05\times10-25}$
(10909, SY)	1154	< 8 3	>59	$FB=5.12 \times 10^3 25$
(10)0) 51)	1154	<0.5	20.7	$I\beta^{-1}$: from intensity balance, $I\beta=7.4$ 9, 2017Sa32 give <6.4.
(11117 SY)	946	<23.3	>5.4	av $E\beta = 5.22 \times 10^3 25$
()				$I\beta^-$: from intensity balance, $I\beta=21.5$ 18. 2017Sa32 give <22.0.
$(11770^{@} SY)$	293			av $F\beta = 5.42 \times 10^3 26$
(11/10 51)	270			$I\beta^{-1}$: from intensity balance, $I\beta^{-1}$. 39, consistent with no β feeding as expected to
				this level for $\Delta J=3$, $\Delta \pi=yes \beta$ transition. 2017Sa32 give <1.2.
(12063 [@] SY)	0	<10	$>8.5^{1u}$	av $E\beta = 5.70 \times 10^3 \ 25$
. ,				Iβ ⁻ : assigned by evaluator from log $f^{lu}t > 8.5$ for first-forbidden unique β transition expected from (9/2 ⁺) parent to 5/2 ⁻ daughter state.

[†] Deduced by the evaluator from intensity balances using the data Table for γ -ray intensity supplied by the authors of 2017Sa32 on June 5, 2020. The values listed in 2017Sa32, in some cases, are slightly different, and are given under comments. Note that in the decay scheme proposed by 2017Sa32, 20% *16* β feeding remains unaccounted, and also that 22.4 *16* units of absolute γ intensity remains unplaced in the decay scheme.

- [‡] Deduced by evaluator using the LOGFT code and $Q(\beta^{-})=12063\ 500$.
- [#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
- [@] Existence of this branch is questionable.

& Estimated for a range of levels.

$\gamma(^{77}Cu)$

I γ normalization: Absolute γ -ray intensities are given by 2017Sa32.

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger\ddagger}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	J_f^π	Comments
179 <i>1</i>	1.8 4	1955	$(11/2^{-})$	1776	$(13/2^{-})$	
^x 256 1	2.7 4					
^x 278 1	1.3 4					In coin with 946γ .
293 <i>1</i>	4.7 5	293	$(3/2^{-})$	0	5/2-	

			77 Ni β	- decay	(158.9 ms)	2017Sa32 (continued)		
$\gamma(^{77}\text{Cu})$ (continued)								
Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \ddagger}$	E _i (level)	${ m J}^{\pi}_i$	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Comments		
^x 335 1	1.4 4							
543 1	1.4 4	3412	$(9/2, 11/2^{-})$	2869	$(11/2, 13/2^{-})$			
801 <i>I</i>	2.2 4	1955	$(11/2^{-})$	1154	$(7/2^{-})$			
829 1	1.0 10	2605	$(11/2, 13/2^{-})$	1776	$(13/2^{-})$			
830 1	10.8 12	1776	(13/2 ⁻)	946	(9/2-)	E_{γ} , I_{γ} : from revised data Table received from the authors, June 5, 2020.		
860 1	4.2 5	1154	$(7/2^{-})$	293	$(3/2^{-})$			
946 <i>1</i>	37.9 11	946	$(9/2^{-})$	0	5/2-			
1009 1	3.5 5	1955	$(11/2^{-})$	946	$(9/2^{-})$			
^x 1045 1	0.9 3							
1093 <i>1</i>	3.1 5	2869	$(11/2, 13/2^{-})$	1776	$(13/2^{-})$			
1133 <i>I</i>	3.0 5	2909	$(9/2, 11/2^{-})$	1776	$(13/2^{-})$			
1154 <i>1</i>	5.4 5	1154	$(7/2^{-})$	0	5/2-			
^x 1451 <i>1</i>	2.6 4					In coin with 946γ .		
^x 1542 1	0.9 4							
^x 1552 1	1.6 5							
1636 <i>1</i>	2.0 4	3412	$(9/2, 11/2^{-})$	1776	$(13/2^{-})$			
^x 1708 1	0.8 4							
^x 1741 <i>1</i>	2.4 5							
2068 1	4.1 6	2068	$(7/2^{-})$	0	$5/2^{-}$			
^x 2163 1	0.6 3							
^x 2238 1	1.5 4							
^x 2313 1	0.7 3							
^x 2348 1	0.9 3							
2402 [#] 1	1.8 4	2695?	(7/2 ⁻)	293	(3/2 ⁻)	Tentative placement suggested from observation of 2402γ in coincidence with 293γ (Fig. 2 in 2017Sa32), and stated in revised data Table and comments received June		
X0440 1	0.0.2					5, 2020 from the authors.		
~2440 I X2521 I	0.9 3							
~2521 I	1.6 4							
~2011 I	1.0 5							
	0.0 5	2054	(7/2, 0/2, 11/2-)	046	(0/2-)			
3008 1	2.1 3	3934	(1/2,9/2,11/2)	940	(9/2)			

[†] From revised data Table received from the authors of 2017Sa32, June 5, 2020. Corresponding data in 2017Sa32 are consistent, but rounded to integer values. [‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $^{x} \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

Legend



