### $^{76}$ Cu β<sup>-</sup> decay (0.641 s+1.27 s)

History								
Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 74,63 (1995)	22-Dec-1994					

Parent:  $^{76}\text{Cu}$ : E=0+x; T<sub>1/2</sub>=0.641 s *14*; Q( $\beta^-$ )=11300 *SY*; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0 Parent:  $^{76}\text{Cu}$ : E=0+y; T<sub>1/2</sub>=1.27 s *30*; Q( $\beta^-$ )=11300 *SY*; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

Measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , (integral  $\beta$ )( $\gamma$ ) coin for  $T_{1/2}(^{76}Cu)$ .

Others (mainly  $T_{1/2}$  and production of  $^{76}$ Cu):

1991Kr15: T<sub>1/2</sub>.

1987LuZX:  $T_{1/2}$ ,  $\gamma$  (598,697,947  $\gamma'$ s reported).

1987Ar21: production of <sup>76</sup>Cu.

1993Ru01: quote  $T_{1/2}$  and  $\%\beta^-$ n measurement by Reeder et al. in Proceedings of the Specialists Meeting on Delayed Neutron Properties, p37 (1986).

The level scheme cannot be normalized for absolute  $\gamma$ -ray intensities from available data.

### <sup>76</sup>Zn Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi}$
0.0	0+
598.68 10	$(2^+)^{\dagger}$
1030.51 10	$(0^+)^{\dagger}$
1296.46 10	$(4^+)^{\dagger}$
1715.96 <i>10</i>	
1760.88? <sup>‡</sup> <i>10</i>	
2633.54 10	
2813.94 <i>10</i>	
2974.43 10	

<sup>†</sup> Syst of even-even nuclides.

# $\gamma(^{76}Zn)$

A 947 $\gamma$  reported by 1987LuZX is assigned as a sum line (199+748) ( $\gamma$  rays from  $^{76}$ Zn  $\beta^-$ ) by 1990Wi12.

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbb{E}_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
180.2 3	3.2 11	2813.94		2633.54		
340.89 7	16.4 <i>12</i>	2974.43		2633.54		
419.50 7	9.7 <i>7</i>	1715.96		1296.46	$(4^{+})$	
431.83 8	9.5 9	1030.51	(0+)	598.68	(2+)	$I_{\gamma}$ : from $\gamma\gamma$ . The singles $\gamma$ -spectrum included 431 $\gamma$ from <sup>76</sup> Ga decay.
464.42 <sup>†</sup> 2 <i>1</i>	2.9 7	1760.88?		1296.46	$(4^{+})$	
598.68 <i>5</i>	100 3	598.68	(2+)	0.0	0+	From $599\gamma(t)$ , apparent $T_{1/2}(^{76}\text{Cu isomer})=0.84 \text{ s}$ 6; but after subtraction of $698\gamma$ contribution, $T_{1/2}(^{76}\text{Cu isomer})=1.27 \text{ s}$ 30 (1990Wi12) which is assigned to the second, longer-lived isomer (1990Wi12).
697.78 5	52.9 20	1296.46	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	598.68	(2+)	From $698\gamma(t)$ , $T_{1/2}=0.57$ s 6 which suggests all the intensity of $698\gamma$ is from shorter-lived isomer (1990Wi12).
1053.4 5	2.4 10	2813.94		1760.88?		$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : from $\gamma\gamma$ . The ordering of the 1053-464 is not established.
1097.6 5	3.0 13	2813.94		1715.96		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>Cu production: mass separation of fission products from <sup>235</sup>U(n,F).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> The level is at 1761 or 2349 since the ordering of the 1053-464 cascade is not established.

#### $^{76}\mathrm{Cu}\,\beta^-$ decay (0.641 s+1.27 s) 1990Wi12 (continued)

## $\gamma$ (<sup>76</sup>Zn) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_i(level)$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 1151.3 5	6 3				Coin with 599 $\gamma$ .
1337.08 8	30.2 20	2633.54	1296.46	$(4^{+})$	
1783.46 <i>21</i>	7.0 11	2813.94	1030.51	$(0^+)$	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$  Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.  $^x$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

## <sup>76</sup>Cu β<sup>-</sup> decay (0.641 s+1.27 s) 1990Wi12



