

$^{76}\text{As } \beta^- \text{ decay (26.254 h)}$ **1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jun Chen and Ameenah R. Farhan		NDS 194,3 (2024)	8-Jan-2024

Parent: ^{76}As : E=0.0; $J^\pi=2^-$; $T_{1/2}=26.254$ h II ; $Q(\beta^-)=2960.6$ 9; % β^- decay=100

$^{76}\text{As}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{76}As Adopted Levels.

$^{76}\text{As}-Q(\beta^-)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

[2000Ma57](#): measured precise emission probabilities of six γ rays, using $4\pi\beta\gamma$ coin method, and relative intensities of a total of 22 γ rays.

[1998De26](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin with Ge-Ge detector system. A total of 45 γ rays reported, all incorporated in a decay scheme with 14 excited states.

[1982We14](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin with Ge-Ge detector system. A total of 42 γ rays reported.

[1980Ka36](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ with Ge(Li)-NaI(Tl) system. A total of 56 γ rays reported, 53 of which assigned in a level scheme with 19 levels.

[1973Na04](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ with Ge(Li)-Ge(Li), and Ge(Li)-NaI(Tl) systems. A total of 44 γ rays reported, 43 of which incorporated amongst 16 levels.

[1972Ar03](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. A total of 42 γ rays reported, all but one assigned in a level scheme of 16 levels.

[1972Fu03](#), [1971Fu03](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

Other studies:

$\gamma(\theta, \text{TEMP})$: [1987Su05](#), [1978Mo11](#), [1976Ba15](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (Ge-Ge system): [1989Za03](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (Ge(Li)-NaI system): [1977CoZK](#) and [1977CoZO](#), [1973Na04](#). Others (NaI-NaI system): [1960Gr07](#), [1958Fu62](#), [1958Co65](#), [1957Li35](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta, H)$ (g factor): [1967Mu10](#).

$\beta\gamma(\theta)$: [1982JoZZ](#) ([1976JoZZ](#)), [1972Kh02](#), [1965Ra03](#), [1964Ra06](#), [1963Gr37](#), [1963Fi01](#), [1961Pi05](#), [1960Sp02](#), [1960Sp01](#). Theory: [1970Ba45](#), [1970Ko20](#).

$\beta\gamma(\text{circ pol}, \theta)$: [1978Pr06](#), [1971Sm03](#), [1962De06](#).

$\beta\gamma(\text{lin pol}, \theta)$: [1953Ha40](#).

β^- data: [1971Mo25](#), [1971Mc18](#), [1969Na11](#), [1962Ku06](#), [1957Gr76](#), [1956Po07](#), [1955Co55](#), [1955Ku10](#), [1953Hu47](#), [1952To18](#) (spectral shape), [1949Ma03](#), [1943Ma11](#), [1942We01](#).

ce data: [1957Gr76](#), [1952To18](#).

Internal conversion (pair): [1963Ba30](#). Coefficients given for 1220, 1420, 1788, 1970, and 2060 γ rays. All these correspond to unresolved multiplets; therefore, no conclusion can be drawn about multipolarities.

$T_{1/2}$ and production of ^{76}As : [1972Em01](#), [1970Mc01](#), [1969Na11](#), [1968Da24](#), [1967Or04](#), [1966La22](#), [1957Wr37](#), [1955Dz48](#), [1953Hu47](#), [1948Ph08](#), [1943Ma11](#), [1942We01](#), [1940Mi04](#), [1935Am01](#).

Others (mainly γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin): [1979Ch35](#), [1977CoZK](#), [1972MoZD](#), [1971Mo25](#), [1971Mc18](#), [1971Ii01](#), [1971Dz08](#), [1971McYH](#), [1971FuZP](#), [1969GuZV](#), [1967Mu10](#), [1967At05](#), [1966La22](#), [1964Vi03](#), [1962Ku06](#), [1960Ba13](#), [1960De09](#), [1960Cr06](#), [1959Gi45](#), [1958Bo72](#), [1958Gu14](#), [1957Ma16](#), [1957Ba04](#), [1957Gr76](#), [1957Wr37](#), [1955Dz48](#), [1955Ku10](#), [1955Dz48](#), [1953Ro10](#), [1953Hu47](#), [1952Hu02](#), [1949Ma03](#), [1948Ph08](#), [1943Ma11](#), [1940Mi04](#), [1935Am01](#).

List of references prior to 1960 may not be complete; however, no essential experimental information has been omitted.

 ^{76}Se Levels

Level scheme here is taken from [1998De26](#) which is based on numerous studies in the past. Differences between their level scheme and in others are discussed in γ -ray and level tables.

The following levels proposed by different groups of experimenters have been discarded by the evaluators for lack of confirmatory evidence: 1881, 2080, 2124, 2183, 2347, 2363 (from [1980Ka36](#)); 2347, 2363 from [1973Na04](#) and [1980Ka36](#); 2448, 2527, 2542 (from [1972Fu03](#), [1971Fu03](#)); 2088, 2542 (from [1971Ii01](#)); 2059, 2866 (from [1971Mc18](#)); 1113.9, 1779.7, 2443.7, 2454.5 (from [1971Mo25](#)). Gamma rays from these levels have either not been seen in other studies including [1998De26](#) or assigned elsewhere. An 1881 level from [1980Ka36](#) and [1973Na04](#) is omitted since 665γ was not confirmed as a doublet, and 1881γ was not seen in [1998De26](#) ($I\gamma < 0.0004$). A 2346 level proposed by [1973Na04](#) and [1980Ka36](#) is omitted since the 466γ was not seen ($I\gamma < 0.0052$), and 1130γ doublet was not confirmed by [1998De26](#). Also omitted is a 2363 level from [1973Na04](#) and [1980Ka36](#) since 575γ was reassigned (based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data) from 1791 level, and 1030γ and 1805γ were not seen by [1998De26](#) ($I\gamma < 0.0008$ for 1030γ ,

$^{76}\text{As} \beta^-$ decay (26.254 h) **1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued)** ^{76}Se Levels (continued)I γ <0.0004 for 1805 γ).

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	T $_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0 559.100 9	0 ⁺ 2 ⁺	11.98 ps +16-40	g=+0.40 I2 g-factor: ($\gamma\gamma(\theta, H)$, 1967Mu10). T $_{1/2}$: other: 23 ps I5 from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1955Co55).
1122.257 12	0 ⁺		
1216.153 10	2 ⁺		
1330.855 18	4 ⁺		
1689.003 14	3 ⁺		
1787.648 11	2 ⁺		
1791.457 25			E(level): level from 1998De26 .
2026.03 3	4 ⁺		
2127.23 3	(2) ⁺		
2170.67 5	(0 ⁺)		
2429.146 12	3 ⁻		
2514.63 4	2 ⁺		
2655.347 14	1		
2669.875 17	2 ⁻		

[†] From least-squares fit to E γ data. With evaluators' adjusted uncertainties, as listed in E γ comment in γ table, reduced $\chi^2=1.8$ is about the same as critical $\chi^2=1.7$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. Values from this dataset are given under comments.

 β^- radiationsav E β : [Additional information 3](#).

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^- ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(290.7 14)	2669.875	0.477 8	6.595 12	av E β =84.41 30
(305.3 14)	2655.347	0.955 15	6.363 11	av E β =89.25 30
				E(β)=320 30 from 1969Na11 ($\beta\gamma$ data). This β^- component most likely feeds both levels at 2655 and 2670.
(446.0 14)	2514.63	0.0268 5	8.468 11	av E β =138.19 32
(531.5 14)	2429.146	1.52 3	6.978 11	av E β =169.63 34
				E(β)=540 25 from 1969Na11 ($\beta\gamma$ data). I β =3 (1969Na11).
(789.9 14)	2170.67	0.0013 4	11.06 ^{1u} +16-12	av E β =293.6 4
(934.6 [#] 14)	2026.03	0.0010 10	\geq 11.3 ^{1u}	av E β =352.3 4
(1169.1 14)	1791.457	0.0593 14	9.641 12	av E β =429.8 4
(1173.0 14)	1787.648	1.69 3	8.192 9	av E β =431.5 4
				E(β)=1184 20 from 1969Na11 ($\beta\gamma$ data). I β =3 (1969Na11).
(1271.6 [#] 14)	1689.003	0.011 9	10.5 +7-3	av E β =474.4 4
(1629.8 14)	1330.855	0.0596 19	11.132 ^{1u} +16-15	av E β =649.8 4
(1744.5 14)	1216.153	6.91 14	8.262 10	av E β =686.1 4
				E(β)=1785 7 from 1969Na11 ($\beta\gamma$ -coin data). I β =7 (1969Na11).
(1838.3 14)	1122.257	0.69 3	10.371 ^{1u} +21-20	av E β =742.7 4
(2401.5 14)	559.100	31.7 3	8.174 5	av E β =988.3 4
				Additional information 1 .
(2960.6 17)	0.0	55.8 3	9.7003 ^{1u} 33	E(B)=2410 4 from 1969Na11 ($\beta\gamma$ -coin data). I β =31 (1969Na11). av E β =1236.1 4

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{76}As β^- decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued)

β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
Additional information 2.		
EB=2970 2 from 1969Na11.		
I β^- : from 100–Σ%I(β^- to excited states). Other: 51 2 from average of 1969Na11, 1955Ku10, 1953Hu47, 1952To18; β spectra using magnetic spectrometers.		

† From $\gamma+ce$ intensity balance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

⁷⁶As β⁻ decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued)γ(⁷⁶Se)

I_γ normalization: From absolute intensity of $559\gamma=40.67$ 29 (2000Ma57, using $\beta\gamma$ coin method). Other: 0.45 2 deduced from I $\beta=51$ 2 to g.s. (from β measurements in 1969Na11, 1955Ku10, 1953Hu47 and 1952To18), and ce(K)/I(β^-)<0.0002 (1957Sc23). Others: 1963Ba30, 1954Mu22, 1951Mi16, 1949Ma03, 1948Wu02, 1947Ba08. For %ε<0.02 to 2⁺ level in ⁷⁶Ge, log $f\tau \geq 7.5$.

The following γ rays reported in different studies have been omitted here for lack of sufficient evidence. 1998De26 give upper limits for some of these γ rays:

I_γ<0.0052 for 466 γ , <0.0007 for 640 γ , <0.001 for 797 γ , <0.0008 for 1031 γ , <0.00006 for 1393 γ , <0.0004 for 1805 γ and 1881 γ .

1982We14: E_γ(I_γ): 463.7(0.002), 602.5(0.002), 921.6(0.002),

1980Ka36: E_γ(I_γ): 467 (0.003), 640(0.008) from 2429 level, 754.9(0.001), 776.5(0.002), 797.0(0.004) from 2127 level, 852.8(0.005), 857.0(0.002), 907.5(0.004), 957.6(0.004), 1031(0.002), 1060.6(0.004), 1564.3(0.003), 1881.3(0.002) from 1881 level.

1973Na04: E_γ(I_γ): 466(0.018) from 2347 level, 1029(0.003) from 2363 level, 1130(0.04) from 2347 level, 1805(0.003) from 2363 level.

1972Ar03: E_γ: 1393 from 2514 level.

1971Mo25: E_γ(I_γ): 448.2(0.05), 530.1(0.05), 543.2(0.05), 554.5(3.6), 641.4(0.07), 654.5(0.52), 675.8(0.04), 733.6(0.06), 765.6(0.08), 780.4(0.04), 847.9(0.09), 850.8(0.10), 908.9(0.10), 1094.4(0.15), 1097.1(0.13), 1112.4(0.06), 1122.0(0.07), 1204.2 (0.10), 1219.8(0.21), 1238.3(0.15), 1325.1(0.07), 1330.8(0.06), 1542.8(0.08), 1689.4(0.08), 1778.2(0.05), 1792.8(0.60), 1884.2(0.04), 2443.7(0.07), 2517.8(0.09).

1971Mc18: E_γ: 1177, 1550, 1585, 1743, 2306.

1971Li01: E_γ: 546.0, 1051.0, 1907.5, 2173.2, 2370.1.

E_γ from others: 1117.2 (1971Fu03); 1583.2 (1967At05); 1074.4, 1089.9 (1965Wh01); 624, 708, 820, 972 (1964Vi03).

From ENSDF										
E _γ [‡]	I _γ #b	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	&	δ [§]	α [†]	Comments
301.96 5	0.0214 9	2429.146	3 ⁻	2127.23	(2) ⁺					%I _γ =0.0087 4 Additional information 16.
358.21 6	0.0112 4	1689.003	3 ⁺	1330.855	4 ⁺					%I _γ =0.00456 17
403.094 50	0.059 2	2429.146	3 ⁻	2026.03	4 ⁺					%I _γ =0.0240 8 Additional information 17.
438.290 50	0.0081 3	2127.23	(2) ⁺	1689.003	3 ⁺					I _γ : 0.59 in 1998De26 seems a misprint. %I _γ =0.00329 13 Additional information 15.
456.777 50	0.081 2	1787.648	2 ⁺	1330.855	4 ⁺					%I _γ =0.0329 9 Additional information 7.
472.838 20	0.111 4	1689.003	3 ⁺	1216.153	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+3.20 +27-24	0.0026 7		α(K)=0.0023 6; α(L)=2.5×10 ⁻⁴ 6; α(M)=3.9×10 ⁻⁵ 10 α(N)=3.3×10 ⁻⁶ 8 %I _γ =0.0451 17 Additional information 5. 473γ(θ): A ₂ =-0.28 44 (1987Su05). δ: values from this dataset: +0.01 to +0.73,>+2.5 or <-6.7 (1987Su05).
484.69 5	0.0148 5	2655.347	1	2170.67	(0 ⁺)					%I _γ =0.00602 21 Additional information 24.

⁷⁶As β^- decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	$\delta^{\&}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
528.15 ^a 6	0.0077 3	2655.347	1	2127.23	(2) ⁺				% $I_\gamma=0.00313$ 13
559.086 10	100 [@]	559.100	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		1.97×10^{-3} 3	% $I_\gamma=40.67$ 29 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0018$ 3 (1957Gr76); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0020$ 2 (1952To18) $\alpha(K)=0.001748$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.0001872$ 26; $\alpha(M)=2.91 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.452 \times 10^{-6}$ 34 E_γ : uncertainty=0.002 keV (1998De26). I_γ : measured $I_\gamma/100$ decays=40.67 29 (2000Ma57), $\beta\gamma$ coin method. $559\gamma(\theta)$: $A_2=-0.472$ 8, $A_4=-0.75$ 6 (1976Ba15).
563.147 10	2.69 [@] 6	1122.257	0 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺	E2		1.92×10^{-3} 3	$\alpha(K)=0.001711$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.0001832$ 26; $\alpha(M)=2.85 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.400 \times 10^{-6}$ 34 % $I_\gamma=1.094$ 26 E_γ : uncertainty=0.002 keV (1998De26). I_γ : measured $I_\gamma/100$ decays=1.108 43 (2000Ma57), $\beta\gamma$ coin method. (563G)(559G)(θ): $A_2=+0.23$ 6, $A_4=1.11$ 10 (1989Za03). (563G)(559G)(θ): $A_2=+0.26$ 9, $A_4=1.15$ 15 (1980Ka36); $A_2=+0.34$ 3, $A_4=+0.96$ 4 (1977CoZK); $A_2=+0.25$ 5, $A_4=1.09$ 9 (1973Na04). $563\gamma(\theta)$: $A_2=-0.046$ 54 (1976Ba15). % $I_\gamma=0.1245$ 22
571.478 20	0.306 [@] 5	1787.648	2 ⁺	1216.153	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	+0.13 12		Additional information 8. (572 γ)(1216 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.145$ 22, $A_4=+0.05$ 3 (1989Za03). (572 γ)(657 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.04$ 7, $A_4=+0.1$ 1 (1977CoZK). 572 γ (θ): $A_2=-0.25$ 40 (1987Su05). δ : >+1.37 or -0.13 34 (1987Su05), +0.13 12 (1989Za03). % $I_\gamma=0.0602$ 13
575.28 3	0.148 [@] 3	1791.457		1216.153	2 ⁺				E_γ : placement from 1998De26; earlier placement from 2363 level was rejected based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data. 575 γ (θ): $A_2=+0.16$ 15 (for 2 ⁺ to 2 ⁺); 0.20 18 (for 3 ⁺ to 2 ⁺) (1987Su05). (575 γ)(1228 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.35$ 3, $A_4=+0.01$ 5 (1989Za03). δ : for 2 ⁺ to 2 ⁺ ; $\delta=-0.48$ 15, >+10 or <-6. For 3 ⁺ to 2 ⁺ ; $\delta=+0.07$ 10 or -3.7 to -13.8 (1987Su05). $\delta=-1.18$ 35 (1989Za03).

⁷⁶As β^- decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	&	$\delta^{\&}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments								
657.042 10	14.0 [@] 3	1216.153	2 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺	E2+M1(+E0)	+5.2 2	1.23×10^{-3} 2	$\alpha(K)=0.001090$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0001159$ 16; $\alpha(M)=1.802 \times 10^{-5}$ 25 $\alpha(N)=1.524 \times 10^{-6}$ 21 %I $\gamma=5.69$ 13 E γ : uncertainty=0.003 keV (1998De26). I γ : measured I γ /100 decays=5.550 31 (2000Ma57, $\beta\gamma$ coin method). (657 γ)(559 γ) (θ) : A ₂ =−0.225 15, A ₄ =+0.31 3 (1989Za03). (657 γ)(559 γ) (θ) : A ₂ =−0.22 2, A ₄ =+0.28 1 (1980Ka36); A ₂ =−0.20 2, A ₄ =+0.29 3 (1977CoZK); A ₂ =−0.18 2, A ₄ =+0.30 2 (1973Na04). 657 γ (θ) : A ₂ =−0.182 16 (1987Su05); A ₂ =−0.111 9, A ₄ =−0.40 26 (1976Ba15). δ: +5.2 2 (1976Ba15), +4.15 20 (1987Su05), +5.3 5 (1989Za03). %I $\gamma=0.382$ 5 Additional information 9. 665 γ (θ) : A ₂ =−0.65 10 (1987Su05). (665 γ)[563 γ](559 γ) (θ) : A ₂ =−0.013 6, A ₄ =+0.046 10 (1989Za03). %I $\gamma=0.00785$ 33 Additional information 13. %I $\gamma=0.0175$ 4 Additional information 22. 727 γ (θ) : A ₂ =−1.4 6 (1987Su05). δ: >+3.0 or <−0.10 (1987Su05). %I $\gamma=0.1074$ 18 Additional information 18. 740 γ (θ) : A ₂ =−0.49 13 (1987Su05), −0.20 12 (1976Ba15). (740 γ)[1130 γ](559 γ) (θ) : A ₂ =+0.143 20, A ₄ =−0.03 3 (1989Za03). δ: from 1989Za03. Other: +0.08 16 (1987Su05). 0.265 [@] 4	2429.146	3 [−]	1689.003	3 ⁺	(E1+M2)	−0.21 12	0.000800 11	$\alpha(K)=0.000712$ 10; $\alpha(L)=7.52 \times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.170 \times 10^{-5}$ 16	
665.358 20	0.94 [@] 1	1787.648	2 ⁺	1122.257	0 ⁺													
695.17 5	0.0193 8	2026.03	4 ⁺	1330.855	4 ⁺													
727.003 50	0.043 1	2514.63	2 ⁺	1787.648	2 ⁺													
740.132 20	0.264 [@] 4	2429.146	3 [−]	1689.003	3 ⁺	(E1+M2)	−0.21 12											
771.762 20	0.265 [@] 4	1330.855	4 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺	E2												

⁷⁶As β⁻ decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	$\delta^{\&}$	α^\dagger	Comments
809.85 5	0.0410 9	2026.03	4 ⁺	1216.153	2 ⁺				$\alpha(N)=9.93 \times 10^{-7} 14$ $\%I\gamma=0.1078 18$ Additional information 4. (772 γ)(559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.105 19, A ₄ =-0.01 3 (1989Za03). $\delta(M3/E2)<+0.02$ (1989Za03). $\%I\gamma=0.0167 4$ Additional information 14. $\%I\gamma=0.00911 33$ Additional information 25. Placement from 1998De26 .
863.88 5	0.0224 8	2655.347	1	1791.457					
867.701 20	0.298 [@] 5	2655.347	1	1787.648	2 ⁺	D(+Q)	+0.013 20		$\%I\gamma=0.1212 22$ Additional information 26. 868 γ (θ): A ₂ =+0.42 26 (1987Su05). (868 γ)(1228 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.133 23, A ₄ =0.00 4 (1989Za03). (868 γ)[1228 γ](559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =-0.095 18, A ₄ =-0.016 27 (1989Za03). δ : values from this dataset: +0.4 +6-3 (1987Su05), +0.08 7 (1989Za03). $\%I\gamma=0.1212 22$ Additional information 26. 868 γ (θ): A ₂ =+0.42 26 (1987Su05). (868 γ)(1228 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.133 23, A ₄ =0.00 4 (1989Za03). (868 γ)[1228 γ](559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =-0.095 18, A ₄ =-0.016 27 (1989Za03). δ : values from this dataset: +0.4 +6-3 (1987Su05), +0.08 7 (1989Za03). $\%I\gamma=0.050 4$ Additional information 30. 882.212 20
882.212 20	0.122 [@] 9	2669.875	2 ⁻	1787.648	2 ⁺	(E1)			
954.7 2	0.0021 3	2170.67	(0 ⁺)	1216.153	2 ⁺				$\%I\gamma=8.5 \times 10^{-4} 12$
980.921 50	0.095 [@] 6	2669.875	2 ⁻	1689.003	3 ⁺	(E1)			$\%I\gamma=0.0386 25$ Additional information 31. 981 γ (θ): A ₂ =-0.3 7 (1987Su05). δ : >+16.4 or <+0.24 (1987Su05). $\%I\gamma=0.00289 21$ Additional information 19.
1098.323 50	0.0071 5	2429.146	3 ⁻	1330.855	4 ⁺				
1129.909 20	0.271 [@] 20	1689.003	3 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+1.08 10	0.000309 4	$\alpha(K)=0.000275 4$; $\alpha(L)=2.85 \times 10^{-5} 4$; $\alpha(M)=4.44 \times 10^{-6} 6$ $\alpha(N)=3.80 \times 10^{-7} 5$; $\alpha(IPF)=1.575 \times 10^{-6} 33$ $\%I\gamma=0.110 8$ Additional information 6. 1130 γ (θ): A ₂ =-0.73 15 (1987Su05). δ : from (1130 γ)(559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.240 19, A ₄ =-0.06 3 (1989Za03). δ : +0.57 to +3.55 (1987Su05), +1.08 10 (1989Za03). $\%I\gamma=1.293 26$
1212.986 10	3.18 [@] 6	2429.146	3 ⁻	1216.153	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)	+0.025 20		

⁷⁶As β^- decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)</u>										
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	&	$\delta^{\&}$	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
1216.200 20	7.60 [@] 12	1216.153	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000281 4		Additional information 20. I_γ : measured $I_\gamma/100$ decays=1.296 65 (2000Ma57, $\beta\gamma$ coin method). (1213 γ): $A_2=+0.12$ 17 (1987Su05). (1213 γ)(657 γ): $A_2=-0.011$ 8, $A_4=+0.020$ 13 (1989Za03). (1213 γ)(1216 γ): $A_2=-0.058$ 8, $A_4=+0.13$ 13 (1989Za03). (1213 γ)[657 γ](559 γ): $A_2=-0.051$ 8, $A_4=+0.081$ 12 (1989Za03). δ : +0.11 10 (1987Su05), +0.025 20 (1989Za03). α (K)=0.0002408 34; α (L)= 2.508×10^{-5} 35; α (M)= 3.90×10^{-6} 5 α (N)= 3.33×10^{-7} 5; α (IPF)= 1.091×10^{-5} 15 % I_γ =3.09 6 E_γ : uncertainty=0.004 keV (1998De26).
1228.589 20	2.65 [@] 5	1787.648	2 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.51 5	0.000264 4		I_γ : measured $I_\gamma/100$ decays=3.012 88 (2000Ma57, $\beta\gamma$ coin method). (1213 γ +1216 γ): $A_2=-0.311$ 14, $A_4=-1.06$ 33 (1976Ba15). α (K)=0.0002259 32; α (L)= 2.340×10^{-5} 33; α (M)= 3.64×10^{-6} 5 α (N)= 3.12×10^{-7} 4; α (IPF)= 1.041×10^{-5} 18 % I_γ =1.078 22 Additional information 10. I_γ : measured $I_\gamma/100$ decays=1.037 31 (2000Ma57, $\beta\gamma$ coin method). δ : weighted average of results from $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. (1229 γ)(559 γ): $A_2=+0.471$ 7, $A_4=+0.097$ 11 (1989Za03). 1229 γ : $A_2=+0.21$ 5 (1987Su05), 0.17 4 (1976Ba15). % I_γ =0.00818 21 Additional information 12. % I_γ =0.245 5 Additional information 27. 1439 γ : $A_2=+0.06$ 10 (1987Su05). (1439 γ)(1216 γ): $A_2=-0.27$ 4, $A_4=+0.01$ 6 (1989Za03). (1439 γ)[657 γ](559 γ): $A_2=-0.028$ 19, $A_4=+0.01$ 3 (1989Za03). δ : values from this dataset: -0.02 10 (1987Su05), +0.01 3 (1989Za03), +0.13 9 (1980Ka36). % I_γ =0.0952 25 Additional information 32. 1454 γ : $A_2=-0.28$ 15 (1987Su05). (1454 γ)(1216 γ): $A_2=+0.22$ 4, $A_4=-0.04$ 7 (1989Za03).
1453.713 20	0.234 [@] 6	2669.875	2 ⁻	1216.153	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)	+0.045 19			

8

⁷⁶As β^- decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	$\delta^{\&}$	α^{\dagger}	Comments
1466.6 3	0.0012 3	2026.03	4 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺				(1454 γ)[657 γ](559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =-0.05 3, A ₄ =-0.05 5 (1989Za03).
1533.07 5	0.0518 7	2655.347	1	1122.257	0 ⁺	D			δ : values from this dataset: -0.11 12 (1987Su05), +0.05 2 (1989Za03). %I γ =4.9×10 ⁻⁴ I2 %I γ =0.02107 32
1568.22 7	0.0150 2	2127.23	(2) ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺				Additional information 28.
1611.5 3	0.0158 6	2170.67	(0 ⁺)	559.100	2 ⁺				1533 γ (θ): A ₂ =+0.2 7 (1987Su05).
1787.62 2	0.639 @ 18	1787.648	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				δ : 0.0 (1987Su05). %I γ =0.00610 9 %I γ =0.00643 25 %I γ =0.260 8
1870.01 2	0.119 @ 4	2429.146	3 ⁻	559.100	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)	+0.17 3		Additional information 11.
1955.48 5	0.0228 6	2514.63	2 ⁺	559.100	2 ⁺				1788 γ (θ): A ₂ =-0.61 14 (1976Ba15). %I γ =0.0484 17
2096.16 2	1.25 @ 3	2655.347	1	559.100	2 ⁺	D(+Q)	-0.043 +43-42		1870 γ (θ): A ₂ =+0.34 15 (1987Su05). (1870 γ)(559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.05 4, A ₄ =+0.01 6 (1989Za03). δ : values from this dataset: +0.00 8 (1987Su05), +0.17 3 (1989Za03). %I γ =0.00927 25
2110.6 1	0.721 14	2669.875	2 ⁻	559.100	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)	+0.047 12		Additional information 23.
2127.0 1	0.0027 2	2127.23	(2) ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				%I γ =0.508 13
2429.07 5	0.0768 11	2429.146	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	[E3]		0.000437 6	Additional information 29.
2655.43 8	0.100 1	2655.347	1	0.0	0 ⁺				2096 γ (θ): A ₂ =+0.07 8 (1987Su05), 0.09 8 (1976Ba15). (2096 γ)(559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =-0.258 12, A ₄ =-0.034 19 (1989Za03). δ : 0.00 8 (1987Su05), +0.02 6 (1989Za03). %I γ =0.293 6
									2111 γ (θ): A ₂ =-0.39 20 (1987Su05), -0.29 9 (1976Ba15). (2111 γ)(559 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.320 16, A ₄ =-0.047 26 (1989Za03). Additional information 33.
									δ : values from this dataset: -0.02 16 (1987Su05), -0.09 2 (1989Za03). %I γ =0.00110 8
									$\alpha(K)=9.91\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(L)=1.026\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(M)=1.596\times10^{-6}$ 22 $\alpha(N)=1.367\times10^{-7}$ 19; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000326$ 5
									%I γ =0.0312 5
									Additional information 21.
									%I γ =0.0407 5

⁷⁶As β⁻ decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Se})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^{\dagger}	Comments
2669.67 5	0.0007 <i>I</i>	2669.875	2 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	[M2]	0.000460 6	% $I\gamma=2.9\times10^{-4}$ 4 $\alpha(K)=8.80\times10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(L)=9.08\times10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(M)=1.413\times10^{-6}$ 20 $\alpha(N)=1.213\times10^{-7}$ 17; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000362$ 5 Additional information 34. E_γ : level-energy difference=2669.88. I_γ : 0.0007 <i>I</i> in 1998De26, 0.003 <i>I</i> (1982We14), 0.0006 <i>I</i> (1980Ka36), 0.006 <i>I</i> (1972Ar03). Large discrepancy in $I\gamma$ may be due to contribution from coincidental summing of 2110 γ and 559 γ .

[†] Additional information 35.

[‡] From 1998De26, unless otherwise stated. Quoted uncertainties are too low to be realistic for data from Ge detectors, and are probably statistical only. These result in a poor least-squares fit of the level scheme. Evaluators have adopted a minimum uncertainty of 0.01 keV for strong γ rays ($I\gamma>1$), and 0.02 keV for $I\gamma=0.1-1$, and 0.05 keV for $I\gamma<0.1$ to account for systematic effects, and to get an acceptable least-squares fit (with reduced $\chi^2=1.8$ as compared to critical $\chi^2=1.7$). In addition, uncertainties of 1216, 1228 and 2096 keV gamma rays were increased to 0.02 keV due to their poor fits using 0.01 keV uncertainty. Using uncertainties as quoted by 1998De26 gives a reduced $\chi^2=28$. Values from several other studies (e.g. 1982We14, 1980Ka36, 1973Na04) are in good agreement with those from 1998De26, but are less precise, and with differences in isotopic assignments and placements in level scheme for very weak ($I\gamma<0.01$ or so) γ rays.

[#] Unweighted average of values from 1998De26 and 2000Ma57, when a value is available from the latter. Values from many other studies e.g. (1980Ka36, 1973Na04) are in good agreement with those from 1998De26 and 2000Ma57, but are less precise, and with differences in isotopic assignments and placements in level scheme for very weak γ rays. Some of the intensities in 2000Ma57 are quoted too precisely to be realistic, for example 0.2-0.3% accuracy for 657, 1212, 1216, 1228 keV γ rays. Uncertainties in 1998De26 are generally not lower than 1%, but some of the weak lines seem to be quoted with too high a precision. That is one reason evaluators decided to take an unweighted of the two results.

[@] Gamma intensity is measured in 2000Ma57.

[&] From Adopted Gammas. Some adopted δ values are taken from this dataset, as indicated under comments.

^a The γ ray from 1998De26 only.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.4067 29.

$^{76}\text{As} \beta^-$ decay (26.254 h) 1998De26,1982We14,1980Ka36

