

$^{76}\text{Sr } \varepsilon+\beta^+ \text{ decay (7.89 s) }$ [2004De24](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jun Chen and Ameenah R. Farhan		NDS 194,3 (2024)	8-Jan-2024

Parent: ^{76}Sr : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=7.89$ s 7; $Q(\varepsilon+\beta^+)=6230$ 30; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=100

$^{76}\text{Sr-T}_{1/2}$: From ^{76}Sr Adopted Levels.

$^{76}\text{Sr-Q}(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

$^{76}\text{Sr-}\%_{\varepsilon+\beta^+}$ decay: Measured $\%_{\varepsilon p}=0.0034$ 8 ([2004De24](#)).

[2004De24](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, β , $\beta\gamma$ -coin, delayed protons, half-life. Source of ^{76}Sr produced as mass-separated beam using fragmentation reaction $\text{Nb}(p,\text{X})$, $E=1$ GeV at ISOLDE-CERN.

[2004Na16](#), [2013Pe13](#) (also [2003Ma69](#), [2004Na17](#), [2005Ru07](#)): measurement of total absorption gamma-ray spectrum, deduced B(GT) functions. The spectrometer was a NaI(Tl) detector system "Lucrecia".

[1993Ad12](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

[1992Gr09](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$.

Due to a large gap of about 3.3 MeV between the highest observed level at $E=2881$ keV and Q-value=6230 keV 30 ([2021Wa16](#)), the decay scheme is considered incomplete.

 ^{76}Rb Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi\ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi\ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi\ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi\ddagger$
0.0	1^-	708.4 3		1533.0 6	(1^+)	2140.1 3	(1^+)
101.35 13	$2^{(-)}$	968.9 4		1640.1 4	(1^+)	2172.7 3	(1^+)
246.56 17	$3^{(-)}$	982.88 15	(1^+)	1948.1 4	(1^+)	2281.9 6	(1^+)
476.83 14	(1^+)	1289.1 4	(1^+)	2061.8 4	(1^+)	2881.8 6	(1^+)
515.92 15	(1^+)	1412.3 4		2090.7 4	(1^+)		

† From a least squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

av $E\beta$: [Additional information 1](#).

Intensity balance gives $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+=-0.5$ 5 for 708 level, -0.17 18 for 969 level, -0.11 14 for 1412 level.

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+ \#$	$I\varepsilon \#$	$\text{Log } ft^\ddagger$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \ddagger \#$	Comments
(3348 30)	2881.8	≈ 0.32	≈ 0.04	≈ 5.3	≈ 0.36	av $E\beta=1035$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.094$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.0114$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00251$ 25
(3948 30)	2281.9	≈ 1.20	≈ 0.07	≈ 5.2	≈ 1.27	av $E\beta=1314$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.050$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0060$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.00133$ 13
(4057 30)	2172.7	≈ 0.91	≈ 0.05	≈ 5.3	≈ 0.96	av $E\beta=1365$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.045$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0055$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00119$ 11
(4090 30)	2140.1	≈ 3.28	≈ 0.17	≈ 4.8	≈ 3.45	av $E\beta=1381$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.044$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0053$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00116$ 11
(4139 30)	2090.7	≈ 0.84	≈ 0.04	≈ 5.4	≈ 0.88	av $E\beta=1404$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.042$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0051$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00111$ 11
(4168 30)	2061.8	≈ 1.83	≈ 0.09	≈ 5.1	≈ 1.92	av $E\beta=1418$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.041$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0049$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00108$ 10
(4282 30)	1948.1	≈ 0.86	≈ 0.04	≈ 5.5	≈ 0.90	av $E\beta=1471$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.037$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0045$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=9.8\times 10^{-4}$ 9
(4590 30)	1640.1	≈ 0.77	≈ 0.03	≈ 5.7	≈ 0.80	av $E\beta=1617$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0283$ 31; $\varepsilon L=0.0034$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=7.6\times 10^{-4}$ 7
(4697 30)	1533.0	≈ 2.2	≈ 0.07	≈ 5.3	≈ 2.27	av $E\beta=1667$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0260$ 28; $\varepsilon L=0.00316$ 34; $\varepsilon M+=6.9\times 10^{-4}$ 6
(4941 30)	1289.1	≈ 4.2	≈ 0.1	≈ 5.2	≈ 4.3	av $E\beta=1783$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0216$ 23; $\varepsilon L=0.00263$ 28; $\varepsilon M+=5.7\times 10^{-4}$ 5
(5247 30)	982.88	≈ 20	≈ 0.4	≈ 4.7	≈ 20.4	av $E\beta=1929$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0174$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.00212$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=4.7\times 10^{-4}$ 4
(5714 30)	515.92	≈ 47.3	≈ 0.7	≈ 4.5	≈ 48	av $E\beta=2152$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0129$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.00157$ 16;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{76}\text{Sr } \varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (7.89 s) 2004De24 (continued) **ε, β^+ radiations (continued)**

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ #	I ε #	Log ft ‡	I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$) $^{\dagger\ddagger\#}$	Comments
(5753 30)	476.83	≈ 11.8	≈ 0.2	≈ 5.1	≈ 12	$\varepsilon M+=3.43 \times 10^{-4}$ 31 av $E\beta=2171$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0126$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.00153$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=3.35 \times 10^{-4}$ 30
(5983 @ 30)	246.56	<1.62	<0.08	>9.7	<1.7	av $E\beta=2292$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.043$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0052$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00114$ 10 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: 0.8 9.
(6129 @ 30)	101.35	<6.8	<0.2	>7.3 ^{1u}	<7	av $E\beta=2348$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0221$ 23; $\varepsilon L=0.00269$ 28; $\varepsilon M+=5.9 \times 10^{-4}$ 5 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: 1 6.
(6230 @ 30)	0.0	<3.0	<0.033	>5.9	<3	av $E\beta=2400$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.0096$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.00116$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=2.54 \times 10^{-4}$ 23 $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: from assumed log ft>5.9 for the first-forbidden transition.

[†] From $\gamma+ce$ intensity balance at each level.

[‡] As pointed out by 2004Na16 the “pandemonium effect” may be significant here in view of large gap of about 3.3 MeV between Q value and the highest level reported here. Thus all the $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feedings are considered as approximate.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

⁷⁶Sr $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (7.89 s) 2004De24 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Rb})$

I γ normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce} \text{ to g.s.})=98.5$ 15, assuming % ε +% β^+ <3.0 to g.s. from assumed $\log ft>5.9$ for the first forbidden transition. 2004De24 quote nearly the same value of 0.495. Due to incomplete decay scheme and unplaced γ transitions, the normalization is considered as approximate.

Following tentative γ rays with E γ (I γ) reported in 1993Ad12 are omitted here since these are not confirmed in later work by 2004De24: 159.8 4(1.2 5); 317.0 3(1.3 4); 665.7 4(0.6 3); 735.8 4(1.1 4). Also a tentative 1174 γ with I γ =22 6 from 1992Gr09 is omitted.

E γ [#]	I γ @ ^a	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. ^{&}	α^{\dagger}	I $_{(\gamma+\text{ce})}$ @ ^a	Comments
39.0 5	29 5	515.92	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)	[M1]	2.11 9	91 17	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.597 13; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0687 32; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0114 6 ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.00128 6; ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)= 5.34×10^{-5} 26 $\alpha(K)=1.86$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.214$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0353$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00397$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.000166$ 7 %I γ ≈14
101.4# 2	59 10	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	1 ⁻	(M1)	0.1393 21	67 11	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.1078 14; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.01220 18; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.002017 31 ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0002276 34; ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)= 9.64×10^{-6} 15 $\alpha(K)=0.1228$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.01390$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.002298$ 35 $\alpha(N)=0.000259$ 4; $\alpha(O)=1.098\times 10^{-5}$ 16 %I γ ≈29 Mult.: intensity balance at 101 level gives $\alpha<0.6$ which implies $\delta(E2/M1)<1$. However, $\gamma(\theta)$ and intensity balance in in-beam γ -ray studies are consistent with $\Delta J=1$, dipole.
145.2# 2	6.7 10	246.56	3 ⁽⁻⁾	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾	(M1)	0.0526 8	7.1 11	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0441 6; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.00494 7; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.000817 12 ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)= 9.23×10^{-5} 13; ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)= 3.93×10^{-6} 6 $\alpha(K)=0.0464$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00520$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.000860$ 12 $\alpha(N)=9.71\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=4.14\times 10^{-6}$ 6 %I γ ≈3.3
192.4 5	2.7 5	708.4		515.92	(1 ⁺)				%I γ ≈1.3
230.3# 3	7.1 13	476.83	(1 ⁺)	246.56	3 ⁽⁻⁾	[M2]	0.0841 12	7.7 14	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0677 9; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.00831 12; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.001384 20 ce(N)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0001552 23; ce(O)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)= 6.41×10^{-6} 9 $\alpha(K)=0.0734$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.00901$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.001501$ 22 $\alpha(N)=0.0001683$ 25; $\alpha(O)=6.94\times 10^{-6}$ 10 %I γ ≈3.5 I γ : 4.7 12 in 1993Ad12 is in disagreement.
246.6# 3	2.2 3	246.56	3 ⁽⁻⁾	0.0	1 ⁻	(E2)	0.0360 5	2.3 3	ce(K)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.0304 4; ce(L)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.00367 5; ce(M)/($\gamma+\text{ce}$)=0.000604 9

⁷⁶Sr $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (7.89 s) 2004De24 (continued) $\gamma(^{76}\text{Rb})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma @a$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^\dagger	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} @a$	Comments
375.4 [#] 2	30 4	476.83	(1 ⁺)	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾	[E1]	2.17×10^{-3} 3	30 4	$\text{ce}(N)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=6.61 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\text{ce}(O)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=2.49 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.0315$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00380$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000626$ 9 $\alpha(N)=6.85 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=2.58 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\%I\gamma \approx 1.1$
414.6 [#] 2	15.0 15	515.92	(1 ⁺)	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾				$\text{ce}(K)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.001920$ 27; $\text{ce}(L)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.0002062$ 29; $\text{ce}(M)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=3.39 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
453.0 5	2.35 23	968.9		515.92	(1 ⁺)				$\text{ce}(N)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=3.83 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\text{ce}(O)/(\gamma+\text{ce})=1.633 \times 10^{-7}$ 23
466.9 [#] 2	2.7 3	982.88	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)				$\alpha(K)=0.001924$ 27; $\alpha(L)=0.0002066$ 29; $\alpha(M)=3.40 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
476.8 [#] 2	100	476.83	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻	[E1]	1.18×10^{-3} 2		$\alpha(N)=3.84 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.637 \times 10^{-7}$ 23 $\%I\gamma \approx 15$
^x 494.0 5	5.8 11								$\%I\gamma \approx 49$
506.1 5	11.7 14	982.88	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 2.9$
515.8 5	6.8 8	515.92	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻				$\%I\gamma \approx 5.8$
550.1 5	4.6 5	1533.0	(1 ⁺)	982.88	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 3.4$
^x 563.7 5	1.3 2								$\%I\gamma \approx 2.3$
580.8 5	6.5 8	1289.1	(1 ⁺)	708.4					$\%I\gamma \approx 0.64$
608.0 5	0.81 12	708.4		101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾				$\%I\gamma \approx 3.2$
657.3 5	0.67 11	1640.1	(1 ⁺)	982.88	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.4$
679.7 5	1.01 16	2090.7	(1 ⁺)	1412.3					$\%I\gamma \approx 0.33$
707.8 5	1.9 4	708.4		0.0	1 ⁻				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.5$
726.8 5	0.98 15	2140.1	(1 ⁺)	1412.3					$\%I\gamma \approx 0.94$
812.8 5	2.51 25	1289.1	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.48$
881.6 [#] 3	10.8 12	982.88	(1 ⁺)	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾				$\%I\gamma \approx 1.2$
935.9 5	1.76 20	1412.3		476.83	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 5.3$
982.9 [#] 2	21.5 25	982.88	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.87$
1124.0 5	0.95 11	1640.1	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 11$
1171.2 5	2.69 26	2140.1	(1 ⁺)	968.9					$\%I\gamma \approx 0.47$
1187.0 5	0.53 7	1289.1	(1 ⁺)	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾				$\%I\gamma \approx 1.3$
1432.1 5	1.19 14	1948.1	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.26$
1471.3 5	0.64 8	1948.1	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.59$
1546.0 5	2.7 3	2061.8	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.32$
^x 1568.3 5	0.56 12								$\%I\gamma \approx 1.3$
1584.9 5	1.19 12	2061.8	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)				$\%I\gamma \approx 0.28$
									$\%I\gamma \approx 0.59$

⁷⁶Sr ε+β⁺ decay (7.89 s) 2004De24 (continued)γ(⁷⁶Rb) (continued)

E _γ [‡]	I _γ ^{@a}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Comments
1592.7 5	0.73 7	2881.8	(1 ⁺)	1289.1	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈0.36
1612.5 5	0.77 9	2090.7	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈0.38
1624.4 5	2.32 22	2140.1	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈1.1
1657.0 5	0.56 7	2172.7	(1 ⁺)	515.92	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈0.28
1695.7 5	0.97 10	2172.7	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈0.48
1805.0 5	2.57 25	2281.9	(1 ⁺)	476.83	(1 ⁺)	%I _γ ≈1.3
2039.0 5	0.66 8	2140.1	(1 ⁺)	101.35	2 ⁽⁻⁾	%I _γ ≈0.33
2140.5 5	0.34 5	2140.1	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻	%I _γ ≈0.17
2172.5 5	0.41 6	2172.7	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻	%I _γ ≈0.2
^x 2248.9 5	0.72 16					%I _γ ≈0.36
^x 2499.9 5	0.27 7					%I _γ ≈0.13
^x 2684.5 5	0.21 5					%I _γ ≈0.1
^x 2719.1 5	0.74 17					%I _γ ≈0.37
^x 2934.6 5	0.77 18					%I _γ ≈0.38

[†] Additional information 2.[‡] From 2004De24, unless otherwise stated. About 18 γ rays were reported by 1993Ad12, some with an uncertainty of 0.2 keV.[#] Weighted averages of values from 1993Ad12 and 2004De24.[@] From 2004De24. For γ energies above 400 keV, evaluators list values as photon intensities since conversion coefficients are negligible. 2004De24 also list branching ratios of I_γ+ce values obtained from corresponding relative transition intensities.[&] From the Adopted Gammas, unless otherwise stated. The assignments in square brackets are assumed based on ΔJ^π.^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.493.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{76}\text{Sr } \varepsilon + \beta^+ \text{ decay (7.89 s) 2004De24}$

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays