## $^{1}$ H( $^{77}$ Cu,2p $\gamma$ ) **2019El02**

History									
Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jun Chen and Ameenah R. Farhan	NDS 194,3 (2024)	8-Jan-2024						

2019E102: 229 MeV/nucleon  $^{77}$ Cu isomer was produced in  $^{9}$ Be( $^{238}$ U,F),E=345 MeV/nucleon reaction with the  $^{238}$ U beam provided by the RIBF accelerator complex at RIKEN facility. In-flight fission fragments were separated and analyzed by BigRIPS separator, based on B $\rho$ -tof- $\Delta$ E-B $\rho$  method, where B $\rho$  was analyzed by the BigRIPS magnetic spectrometer, tof measured by plastic scintillators, and the trajectory ( $\Delta$ E) of the particles by a set of parallel-plate avalanche counters (PPACs). The final  $^{76}$ Ni nuclei, after proton removal from  $^{77}$ Cu were analyzed using the ZeroDegree magnetic spectrometer, again based on B $\rho$ -tof- $\Delta$ E method. Prompt  $\gamma$  rays from excited states in  $^{76}$ Ni were measured using DALI2 array of 186 NaI(Tl) scintillators. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ -coin, and  $^{76}$ Ni particles. Deduced levels, J $^{\pi}$ , and exclusive cross sections for level population. Geant4 simulation of detector system to compare with experimental  $\gamma$ -ray spectra. Comparison with large-scale shell-model calculations in the valence configuration space of ( $f_{5/2}$ P1/2 $g_{9/2}$ d<sub>5/2</sub>) neutron orbitals, and fp proton orbitals.

#### <sup>76</sup>Ni Levels

Cross section data are from 2019El02.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi #}$	Comments			
0	0+	Exclusive $\sigma \leq 6.9$ mb.			
		Inclusive $\sigma$ =8.4 mb 4.			
990	$(2^{+})$	Exclusive $\sigma \leq 0.57$ mb.			
1920	$(4^{+})$	Exclusive $\sigma \leq 0.68$ mb.			
3431? <sup>‡</sup> <i>50</i>		Exclusive $\sigma$ =0.65 mb 33.			
3828? <sup>‡</sup> <i>69</i>		Exclusive $\sigma$ =0.38 mb 16.			
4147‡ 35	$(4^{+}5^{+})$	Exclusive $\sigma = 1.17$ mb 25			

<sup>†</sup> From Ey values.

 $\gamma$ (76Ni)

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_i(level)$	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f$ $\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	
930	70 15	1920	$(4^+)$	990 (2+)	
990	100 12	990	$(2^{+})$	0 0+	
2227 <sup>†</sup> <i>35</i>	62 22	4147	$(4^+,5^+)$	1920 (4+)	
2441 <sup>†‡</sup> <i>50</i>	35 17	3431?		990 (2+)	
2838 <sup>†‡</sup> 69	20.9	3828?		990 $(2^+)$	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> New  $\gamma$  ray from 2019El02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Newly proposed level in 2019El02. The 3431 and 3828 levels are tentative in this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> As given in Fig. 3 of 2019El02, based on previous assignments for the first three excited states, and from comparison with their shell-model calculations for the newly-proposed 4147 level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

# $^{1}$ H( $^{77}$ Cu,2p $\gamma$ ) 2019El02

### Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_{\gamma}$ 

# Legend $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$ $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$ $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$ $\gamma \text{ Decay (Uncertain)}$



