# <sup>76</sup>Zn $β^-$ decay (5.7 s) 1986Ek01,2022Ch09

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jun Chen and Ameenah R. Farhan	NDS 194,3 (2024)	8-Jan-2024

Parent: <sup>76</sup>Zn: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=5.7$  s 3;  $Q(\beta^-)=3993.6\ 24$ ;  $\%\beta^-$  decay=100

<sup>76</sup>Zn-T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <sup>76</sup>Zn Adopted Levels.

<sup>76</sup>Zn-Q( $\beta^{-}$ ): From 2021Wa16.

1986Ek01: <sup>76</sup>Zn produced as a fission fragment at the OSIRIS-ISOL in Studsvik. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin and  $\beta\gamma$ -coin.

2022Ch09: <sup>76</sup>Zn activity obtained as daughter of <sup>76</sup>Cu decay, the latter produced in <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>86</sup>Kr,X),E=140 MeV/nucleon, and <sup>76</sup>Cu fragments separated by A1900 fragment separator at NSCL-MSU. Separated <sup>76</sup>Cu ion beam was delivered to an experimental station consisting of three Si detectors for particle identification based on  $\Delta$ E-tof measurement. The ion beam was finally implanted in a CeBr<sub>3</sub> scintillator detector, coupled to a position-sensitive photomultiplier tube (PSPMT). Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ (t) and  $\beta\gamma$ (t), half-life of a new isomer at 199 keV. Comparison with shell-model calculations.

Others:

1981Ru07:  $\gamma$ -spectrum of A=76 nuclides.

1977Al17:  $\beta\gamma$ -coin data for four gate positions.

1975Al11, 1984Ha58:  $\beta^-$  strength functions.

1988BaZX, 1981Gi17, 1974Gr29, 1970OsZZ: T<sub>1/2</sub> (<sup>76</sup>Zn) and isotope production.

 $\beta^-$  systematics: 1983Be56.

### <sup>76</sup>Ga Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$2^{(-)}$	30.5 s 4	
172.29.3	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$	0010 0 1	
199.50 3	1+	34 ns 8	<ul> <li>T<sub>1/2</sub>: 34 ns <i>I</i>(stat) 8(syst) from 2022Ch09, weighted average of 33.9 ns <i>I1</i> from (γ rays in coin with the 199γ)(199γ)(t) and 30 ns 4 from βγ(t). Evaluators combined statistical and systematic uncertainties in quadrature.</li> <li>Structure of this isomeric state is proposed as a highly-mixed state of negative-parity proton configurations coupled to 1/2<sup>-</sup> neutron configurations (2022Ch09).</li> </ul>
275.28 <i>3</i>	$1^{+}$		
281.57 <i>3</i>			
369.81 6			
565.53 <i>3</i>	1+		
680.83 <i>3</i>			
781.55 5			
1030.30 <i>3</i>	$1^{+}$		
1106.03 8	(1)		
1545.44 <i>3</i>	1+		
1568.12 4	$1^{+}$		
1621.22 8	$(1^{+})$		
1750.14 3	$1^{+}$		
1810.50 6	$(1^{+})$		
1825.99 7	$1^{+}$		
1896.05 6	(1)		
1977.41 6	$(1^{+})$		
2091.02 4	1+		
2166.64 12	$(1^{+})$		
2422.73 11	$1^{+}$		

2422.73 11 1<sup>+</sup> 2602.44 11 1<sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

# <sup>76</sup>Zn $β^-$ decay (5.7 s) 1986Ek01,2022Ch09 (continued)

### $\beta^-$ radiations

av E $\beta$ : Additional information 1.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments
(1391.2 26)	2602.44	0.13 2	5.32 +10-9	av E $\beta$ =530.3 11
(1570.9 26)	2422.73	0.17 2	5.42 +8-7	av $E\beta = 610.9 \ 11$
(1827.0 26)	2166.64	0.16 2	5.71 8	av $E\beta = 727.5 \ 11$
(1902.6 26)	2091.02	2.1 2	4.66 7	av $E\beta = 762.3 \ 11$
				E(decay): 1980 170 from $(2091\gamma)\beta$ -coin (1986Ek01).
(2016.2 26)	1977.41	0.24 4	5.71 +10-9	av E $\beta$ =814.8 11
(2097.6 26)	1896.05	0.13 2	6.05 +10-9	av E $\beta$ =852.5 11
(2167.6 26)	1825.99	0.86 16	5.29 +11-10	av E $\beta$ =885.1 11
				E(decay): 2380 220 from (1456γ)β-coin (1986Ek01).
(2183.1 26)	1810.50	0.31 4	5.74 8	av E $\beta$ =892.3 11
(2243.5 26)	1750.14	2.00 11	4.98 5	av E $\beta$ =920.5 11
				E(decay): 2440 140 from (1468 $\gamma$ ) $\beta$ -coin (1986Ek01). Other: 2400 210 from (1069 $\gamma$ ) $\beta$ -coin (1986Ek01)
(2372426)	1621 22	0 36 4	583 + 8 - 7	av $F\beta = 980.9.11$
(2425526)	1568.12	0.97 6	5 44 5	av $E\beta = 1005.8.11$
(2123.3 20)	1500.12	0.97 0	5.115	$E(\text{decay}): 2730 \ 160 \text{ from } (1286\gamma)\beta\text{-coin } (1986\text{Ek}01).$
(2448.2 26)	1545.44	4.1 3	4.83 6	av $E\beta = 1016.5 11$
				E(decay): 2630 220 from (1346γ)β-coin (1986Ek01). Others from 1986Ek01: 2570 110, 2530 180 for gates at 1545γ, 1264γ, respectively.
(2887.6 26)	1106.03	0.16 3	6.55 +11-10	av Eβ=1224.2 <i>11</i>
(2963.3 26)	1030.30	10.7 5	4.77 4	av $E\beta = 1260.1 \ 11$
				E(decay): 3120 90 from (858γ)β-coin (1986Ek01). Others from 1986Ek01: 3100 100, 3210 170, 3190 120, 3190 190 for gates at 749γ, 755γ, 831γ, 1030γ, respectively. From $(282\gamma)\beta$ -coin, E $\beta$ =2770 370 (1977Al17). In 1977Al17, 282γ was incorrectly placed from a 1312 level.
(3212.1 26)	781.55	0.10 4	6.95 +25-17	av E $\beta$ =1378.7 11
(3312.8 26)	680.83	0.59 18	6.24 +18-14	av E $\beta$ =1426.8 11
(3428.1 26)	565.53	11.0 6	5.03 5	av E $\beta$ =1481.9 11
				E(decay): 3540 190 from (366γ)β-coin (1986Ek01). Others: from (290γ)β-coin, Eβ=3460 250 (1986Ek01), 3420 610 (1977Al17). From (366γ)β-coin, Eβ=3530 210 (1977Al17).
(3623.8 <sup>#</sup> 26)	369.81	< 0.4	>6.6	av E $\beta$ =1575.7 12
$(3712.0^{\#} 26)$	281.57	2.7 21	5.8 + 7 - 3	av $E\beta = 1618.0$ /2
(3718.3 26)	275.28	29 5	4.77 + 11 - 9	av $E\beta = 1621.0 \ 12$
(3794.1 26)	199.50	33 6	4.75 +11-10	av E $\beta$ =1657.4 12
. /				E(decay): 3720 140 from $(199\gamma)\beta$ -coin (1977Al17).
(3821.3 <sup>#</sup> 26)	172.29	0.8 5	6.4 +4-2	av E $\beta$ =1670.5 <i>12</i>
(3993.6 <sup>#</sup> 28)	0.0	< 0.3	>8.5 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i>	av E $\beta$ =1755.3 11

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$ +ce intensity balance at each level.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{76}{\rm Ga})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: Summed transition intensity to g.s.=99.85 15, assuming <0.3% feeding to the g.s. consistent with log  $f^{1u}t$ >8.5 for first forbidden unique (0<sup>+</sup> to 2<sup>(-)</sup>)  $\beta$  transition.

	$^{76}$ Zn $\beta^-$ decay (5.7 s) 1986Ek01,2022Ch09 (continued)							ued)
$\gamma(^{76}\text{Ga})$ (continued)								
${\rm E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \#}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	${ m J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	α <sup>@</sup>	Comments
75.9 5	32 5	275.28	1+	199.50	1+	(M1) <sup>‡</sup>	0.149	$\alpha(K)=0.132 \ 3; \ \alpha(L)=0.0141 \ 4; \ \alpha(M)=0.00207 \ 5; \ \alpha(N)=0.000109 \ 3$
82.1 3	3.6 3	281.57		199.50	1+	[D,E2]	0.8 7	$\gamma$ not observed by 2022Ch09.
88.3 2	0.3 1	369.81		281.57		[D,E2]	0.8 7	, ,
94.53 5	0.9 1	369.81		275.28	$1^{+}$	[D,E2]	0.5 4	$\gamma$ not observed by 2022Ch09.
102.88 5	1.7 2	275.28	1+	172.29	(1+,2+,3+)	(E2) <sup>‡</sup>	0.629	$\alpha$ (K)=0.551 8; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0682 10; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00985 14; $\alpha$ (N)=0.000423 6
109.23 8	0.6 1	281.57		172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$	[D,E2]	0.28 23	
172.44 5	9.6 3	172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$	0.0	2 <sup>(-)</sup>	(D) <sup>‡</sup>	0.014 2	
199.2.5	100.2	199.50	1+	0.0	2(-)	(E1) <sup>‡</sup>	0.00815 13	$I_{\gamma} = 100 (2022 Ch09).$
	100 2	177100	-	0.0	-	(21)	0100012 12	B(E1)= $0.016 \times 10^{-6} e^{2}b I(\text{stat})$ 4(syst) (2022Ch09).
275.34 5	6.4 4	275.28	1+	0.0	$2^{(-)}$			
281.56 5	4.0 3	281.57	14	0.0	$2^{(-)}$			
290.23 8	1.2.2	565.53	1 <sup>+</sup>	275.28	1+ 1+			$L_{1} = 8.8 12 (2022 Ch00)$
303.98.5	9.74	202.22 565.53	1 * 1 +	199.50	$(1^+ 2^+ 3^+)$			$1\gamma = 8.8 \ 12 \ (2022 \text{Ch09}).$
405 5 1	0.10.3	680.83	1	275 28	(1,2,5) $1^+$			
481.42 5	0.40 4	680.83		199.50	1+			
508.8 2	1.0 2	680.83		172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$			
565.52 5	2.0 3	565.53	1+	0.0	$2^{(-)}$			
609.29 5	0.33 3	781.55		172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$			
680.70 4	0.20 3	680.83		0.0	2 <sup>(-)</sup>			$E_{\gamma}$ : somewhat poor fit in the level scheme, level-energy difference=680.82.
736.21 6	0.20 3	1106.03	(1)	369.81				
748.72 5	3.7 4	1030.30	1+	281.57				$I\gamma = 1.5 4$ (2022Ch09).
755.03 2	5.3 3	1030.30	1+	275.28	1+			$I\gamma = 4.6 \ 9 \ (2022Ch09).$
78651	0.04 2	1545.44	1' 1+	/81.55				
780.5 T 830 7 5	$0.04\ 2$ 2 2 2	1030 30	1 1+	199 50	1+			$I_{\nu}=1.9.5(2022Ch09)$
857.98.5	1.5 2	1030.30	1+	172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$			ly=1.9 5 (2022Ch09).
864.59 5	0.40 5	1545.44	1+	680.83	(1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
968.7 2	0.07 1	1750.14	1+	781.55				
979.85 <i>5</i>	0.38 5	1545.44	1+	565.53	1+			
1030.26 5	0.92 5	1030.30	1+	0.0	$2^{(-)}$			
1069.22 5	0.45 5	1750.14	1+	680.83	1+			
1184.49 8 1251 40 5	0.13 3	1/50.14	$(1^+)$	360.81	1.			
1263 89 5	162	1545 44	(1) $1^+$	281 57				$I_{\gamma}=0.9.3$ (2022Cb09)
1286.53 5	0.73 5	1568.12	1+	281.57				$I_{\gamma}=0.6 \ 3 \ (2022Ch09).$
1309.6 <i>1</i>	0.05 2	2091.02	1+	781.55				,
1345.94 5	1.8 2	1545.44	1+	199.50	1+			Iγ=2.6 6 (2022Ch09).
1368.59 4	0.31 3	1568.12	1+	199.50	1+			
13/3.1 1	0.07 2	1545.44	l <sup>⊤</sup> 1+	172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$			
1393.94 /	0.15 2	1008.12	1 ' 1+	680.82	$(1^{+},2^{+},3^{+})$			
1410.2 1	112	1825.99	1 1+	369.81				$I_{\gamma}=1.5.4$ (2022Ch09)
1468.53.5	1.2 1	1750.14	1+	281.57				$I_{\gamma} = 0.9 \ 3 \ (2022 \text{Ch09}).$
1545.48.5	0.98.5	1545.44	1+	0.0	$2^{(-)}$			,
1550.60 7	0.18 2	1750.14	1+	199.50	1+			
1578.00 5	0.28 3	1750.14	1+	172.29	$(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$			$E_{\gamma}$ : somewhat poor fit in the level

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{76}$ Zn $\beta^-$ decay (5.7 s) 1986Ek01,2022Ch09 (continued)

## $\gamma(^{76}\text{Ga})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \#}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Comments
						scheme, level-energy difference=1577.82.
1610.99 5	0.39 5	1810.50	$(1^{+})$	199.50 1+		
1695.7 <i>1</i>	0.09 3	1977.41	$(1^{+})$	281.57		
1702.12 9	0.14 2	1977.41	$(1^{+})$	275.28 1+		
1723.74 5	0.17 2	1896.05	(1)	172.29 (1+	$,2^{+},3^{+})$	
1750.06 6	0.23 2	1750.14	$1^{+}$	$0.0  2^{(-)}$		
1796.8 <i>1</i>	0.21 2	2166.64	$(1^{+})$	369.81		
1815.62 5	0.88 5	2091.02	1+	275.28 1+		$I\gamma = 1.4 \ 5 \ (2022 \text{Ch09}).$
1918.9 <i>1</i>	0.09 2	2091.02	$1^{+}$	172.29 (1+	$,2^{+},3^{+})$	
1977.5 <i>1</i>	0.08 2	1977.41	$(1^{+})$	$0.0  2^{(-)}$		
2091.0 1	1.6 2	2091.02	$1^{+}$	$0.0  2^{(-)}$		
2223.2 1	0.22 2	2422.73	$1^{+}$	199.50 1+		
2402.9 1	0.16 2	2602.44	$1^{+}$	199.50 1+		

<sup>†</sup> From 1986Ek01. 2022Ch09 report data for only 12  $\gamma$  rays, taking E $\gamma$  values from 1986Ek01. Intensities in 2022Ch09 seem to be nominal values which are listed under comments.

<sup>‡</sup> From the decay scheme in Fig.6 of 1986Ek01, probably deduced by the authors based on their measured ce data which however are not given in the paper.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.786 *14*. <sup>@</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.



ς

