

^{76}Kr $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (14.79 h) 1973Pa02,1973Lo07

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jun Chen and Ameenah R. Farhan		NDS 194,3 (2024)	8-Jan-2024

Parent: ^{76}Kr : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=14.79$ h 5; $Q(\varepsilon)=1275$ 10; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100

^{76}Kr - $T_{1/2}$: From ^{76}Kr Adopted Levels.

^{76}Kr - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

1973Pa02: ^{76}Kr source produced in $^{79}\text{Br}(p,4n)$, $E=45$ MeV reaction at the McGill synchrocyclotron. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, ce data and half-life of 14.6 h 2 from γ -decay curves using Ge(Li) detectors for γ radiation and Si detector for electrons. Contaminant γ rays were from decays of ^{76}Br and ^{79}Kr . Authors quoted, in their Table 2, earlier conversion electron data for 45.5-, (57.2- or 71-), 63.7-, 91.1-, 95.1-, 104-, 135-, 143-, 269- and 315-keV transitions from Ph.D. thesis by A. Carter, McGill University (1958).

1973Lo07: mass-separated sources of ^{76}Kr produced at ISOLDE-CERN using 600-MeV synchrocyclotron facility. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, ce (for two transitions), $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and (ce)(ce)(t) data. Contaminant γ rays were from decays of ^{76}Br , ^{75}Br and ^{77}Kr .

Others:

1979De39: measured thick-target yield in $^{76}\text{Se}(^3\text{He},n)$ and $^{77}\text{Se}(^3\text{He},4n)$, both at $E(^3\text{He})=38.5$ MeV.

1963Do04: ^{76}Kr produced in spallation reaction: $\text{Ag}, \text{U}(p,X)$, $E=3,30$ GeV, and $^{74}\text{Se}(\alpha,2n)$, $E=30$ MeV at the Brookhaven National Laboratory. Measured γ -ray spectra, and half-life of 14.8 h 1 for the decay of ^{76}Kr by growth and decay method. Reported 39-, 73-, 104-, 135-, 197-, 267-, 316-, 360-, 407- and 452-keV γ rays.

1955Th01: ^{76}Kr produced in $^{79}\text{Br}(p,4n)$, $E=60$ MeV. Measured γ spectrum and half-life of ^{76}Kr decay.

1954Ca03: ^{76}Kr produced and identified in spallation reaction: $\text{Y}(p,X)$, $E=150, 175, 240$ MeV from Rochester cyclotron. Measured half-life of 9.7 h 5 for the decay of ^{76}Kr .

 ^{76}Br Levels

The 260 and 280 levels proposed by 1973Pa02 and 432, 808 and 932 levels proposed by 1973Lo07 have been omitted by the evaluators. The transitions connected with these levels have either not been confirmed or have been relocated.

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\#$	Comments
0.0	1^-		
45.50 11	$(2)^-$	1.13 ns 6	
102.66 20	$(4)^+$	1.31 s 2	$\%IT > 99.4$; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ < 0.6$ Population of this level suggested by evaluators.
150.52 13	$(0,1,2)$		
252.05 12	$(2)^+$	2.18 ns 9	
315.68 11	1^+		
317.08 12	(2^+)		
355.28 11	1^+	0.5 ns 2	
446.15 16	$(1)^+$		
451.96 11	1^+	0.4 ns 1	
487.56? 23			
548.5? 4	$(0,1,2)$		Level proposed by evaluators on the basis of (p, $n\gamma$) results.
616.02 16	$1^{(+)}$		
815.2 3	0,1		
868.16 25	1^+		
898.36 17	1^+		
936.44 22	1^+		
1047.83 23	1^+		

† From a least-squares fit to E_γ data, not including the transitions which are uncertain placements.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

$^\#$ From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and (ce)(ce)(t) data of 1973Lo07.

$^{76}\text{Kr } \varepsilon + \beta^+ \text{ decay (14.79 h) } \quad \mathbf{1973Pa02, 1973Lo07 (continued)}$ ε, β^+ radiationsav E β : [Additional information 1.](#)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \ddagger$	$I\varepsilon \ddagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \ddagger \ddagger$	Comments
(227 10)	1047.83		0.84 11	5.33 10	0.84 11	$\varepsilon K=0.8711$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1072$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.02174$ 21
(339 10)	936.44		1.44 17	5.46 +9-8	1.44 17	$\varepsilon K=0.8751$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.10396$ 34; $\varepsilon M+=0.02099$ 15
(377 10)	898.36		2.01 34	5.41 +11-10	2.01 34	$\varepsilon K=0.8759$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.10331$ 30; $\varepsilon M+=0.02084$ 14
(407 10)	868.16		2.11 20	5.46 7	2.11 20	$\varepsilon K=0.8764$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.10288$ 28; $\varepsilon M+=0.02074$ 14
(460 10)	815.2		0.39 6	6.30 +10-9	0.39 6	$\varepsilon K=0.8771$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.10228$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.02060$ 12
(659 10)	616.02		1.78 17	5.96 6	1.78 17	$\varepsilon K=0.87882$ 33; $\varepsilon L=0.10089$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.02028$ 11
(727 [#] 10)	548.5?		0.14 5	7.15 +21-15	0.14 5	$\varepsilon K=0.87918$ 32; $\varepsilon L=0.10060$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.02021$ 11
(787 [#] 10)	487.56?		0.7 3	6.52 +26-17	0.7 3	$\varepsilon K=0.87946$ 31; $\varepsilon L=0.10038$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.02017$ 11
(823 10)	451.96		24.9 19	5.01 5	24.9 19	$\varepsilon K=0.87959$ 31; $\varepsilon L=0.10027$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.02013$ 10
(829 10)	446.15		0.9 5	6.5 +4-2	0.9 5	$\varepsilon K=0.87962$ 31; $\varepsilon L=0.10025$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.02013$ 10
(920 10)	355.28		10.2 9	5.49 5	10.2 9	$\varepsilon K=0.87992$ 30; $\varepsilon L=0.10001$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.02007$ 10
(958 [#] 10)	317.08					$\varepsilon K=0.8771$; $\varepsilon L=0.10236$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.020533$ 6 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: intensity balance gives 0.6% 6, consistent with no β feeding.
(959 10)	315.68		53.3 31	4.81 4	53.3 31	$\varepsilon K=0.88003$ 30; $\varepsilon L=0.09992$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.02005$ 10
(1023 [#] 10)	252.05					$\varepsilon K=0.8773$; $\varepsilon L=0.10222$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.020500$ 5 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: intensity balance gives 0.3% 6, consistent with no β feeding.
(1125 [#] 10)	150.52					$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: intensity balance gives -0.08% 18, consistent with no β feeding.
(1172 [#] 10)	102.66					$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: intensity balance gives 0.4% 3, consistent with no β feeding.
(1230 [#] 10)	45.50	1.664×10^{-5}	<0.09998	>8.5 ^{1u}	<0.1	av E β =106 5; $\varepsilon K=0.87786$ 32; $\varepsilon L=0.10157$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.02040$ 11 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: intensity balance gives -3% 3, consistent with no β feeding.
(1275 [#] 10)	0.0	<0.026	<6.0	>6.0	<6	av E β =114 4; $\varepsilon K=0.8768$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.09896$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.01984$ 10 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: <6% deduced from measured x-ray intensity (1973Pa02); -5% 5 (1973Lo07) deduced from measured $I(K_{\alpha 1} + K_{\alpha 2} \text{ x rays})/I\gamma(45.5\gamma) = 2.87$ 15 and $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ for 45.5 γ . Value of log $ft > 5.9$ for first-forbidden transition gives $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) < 8\%$.

[†] From γ -intensity balance at each level. Average β feeding was taken from intensity balances by including and excluding uncertain γ ray placements (marked with ?) in calculating the intensity balances.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(⁷⁶Br)

I_γ normalization: From summed transition intensity=97.3, based on measured I(γ[±])≤1.00, relative to 100 for 315.8γ in [1973Pa02](#), from which deduced g.s. feeding is <6% (or 3% 3). Note that ≈3% intensity may be associated with unplaced γ rays, but with questionable isotopic assignment. The γ-normalization factor of 0.373 24 can be compared with 0.358 36 in [1973Pa02](#).

I(x rays)=1.70 17 relative to 100 for 315.8γ ([1973Pa02](#)). I(K_{α1}+K_{α2} x rays)/Iγ(45.5γ)=2.87 15 ([1973Lo07](#)).

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡d}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[#]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
35.60 ^{bf} 15	0.46 24	487.56?		451.96	1 ⁺	[D]	2.1 4	%I _γ =0.17 9 E _γ : from 1973Lo07 . Other: 35.6 2 (1973Pa02), placed from 316 level. I _γ : unweighted average of 0.22 5 (1973Pa02) and 0.69 9 (1973Lo07). Mult.: possible dipole since total conversion coefficient of 37.5 for E2 may make it difficult to observe this γ ray.
38.0 ^{bf} 3	0.34 9	936.44	1 ⁺	898.36	1 ⁺	[M1]	1.78 5	%I _γ =0.128 35 α(K)=1.57 4; α(L)=0.178 5; α(M)=0.0283 8 α(N)=0.00261 7
39.5 2	0.15 5	355.28	1 ⁺	315.68	1 ⁺	[M1]	1.590 32	%I _γ =0.057 19 α(K)=1.404 29; α(L)=0.1585 32; α(M)=0.0252 5 α(N)=0.00233 5 E _γ : weighted average of 40.0 6 (1973Pa02) and 39.4 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 0.14 3 (1973Pa02) and 0.34 12 (1973Lo07).
45.50 15	47.8 34	45.50	(2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1	1.056 18	%I _γ =18.0 11 α(K)exp=0.96 9; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.13 (1973Pa02); α(K)exp=1.06 8 (1973Lo07) α(K)=0.932 16; α(L)=0.1051 18; α(M)=0.01673 28 α(N)=0.001545 26 E _γ : weighted average of 45.5 2 (1973Pa02) and 45.50 15 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 50 5 (1973Pa02) and 46.8 34 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=17.5 8, Ice(L+M)=2.28 (1973Pa02). Ice(L+M) is deduced from Ice(L+M)(45.5γ)+Ice(K)(57.2γ)=2.55 16.
57.1 2	0.20 6	102.66	(4) ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	M2	9.59 19	α(K)exp=4.1; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.96 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =0.075 23 α(K)=8.10 16; α(L)=1.263 25; α(M)=0.205 4 α(N)=0.0183 4 E _γ : weighted average of 57.2 2 (1973Pa02) and 57.0 2 (1973Lo07). Placement suggested on the basis of ⁷⁶ Br IT decay; placed from 317 to 260 level by 1973Pa02 ; unplaced in 1973Lo07 . I _γ : unweighted average of 0.14 3 (1973Pa02) and 0.26 5 (1973Lo07). Mult.: from the Adopted dataset. α(K)exp in 1973Pa02 is too low to be consistent with mult=M2. Absolute Ice(K)=0.27, Ice(L+M)(57.2γ)+Ice(K)(63.6γ)=0.078 7 (1973Pa02). Ice(K) is deduced from Ice(L+M)(45.5γ)+Ice(K)(57.2γ)=2.55 16. α(L+M)exp from 1958–Carter work cited in Table 2 of 1973Pa02 .

⁷⁶Kr ε+β⁺ decay (14.79 h) [1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#) (continued)

γ(⁷⁶Br) (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡d}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
63.7 2	0.30 13	315.68	1 ⁺	252.05	(2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.2	0.48 8	α(K)exp=0.46 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =0.11 5 α(K)=0.42 7; α(L)=0.053 13; α(M)=0.0084 20 α(N)=0.00074 16 E _γ : weighted average of 63.6 2 (1973Pa02) and 63.8 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : unweighted average of 0.17 4 (1973Pa02) and 0.43 7 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)(63.6γ)+Ice(L+M)(57.2γ)=0.078 7 (1973Pa02). α(K)exp from 1958-Carter work cited in Table 2 of 1973Pa02 .
^x 76.3 @ ^c 3	0.34 @ ⁹								%I _γ =0.128 35 E _γ : placement from 432 level in 1973Lo07 is not adopted (evaluators).
91.0 2	1.3 8	446.15	(1) ⁺	355.28	1 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.35	0.21 6	α(K)exp=0.16 2 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =0.49 30 α(K)=0.18 5; α(L)=0.022 8; α(M)=0.0036 12 α(N)=3.2×10 ⁻⁴ 10 E _γ : weighted average of 91.1 2 (1973Pa02) and 90.9 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : unweighted average of 0.53 11 (1973Pa02) and 2.1 4 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.030 2 (1973Pa02).
96.7 2	0.44 16	451.96	1 ⁺	355.28	1 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.25	0.151 26	α(K)exp=0.15 1 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =0.17 6 α(K)=0.133 22; α(L)=0.0156 33; α(M)=0.0025 5 α(N)=0.00022 4 E _γ : weighted average of 96.6 2 (1973Pa02) and 96.7 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : unweighted average of 0.28 6 (1973Pa02) and 0.60 9 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.015 3 (1973Pa02).
103.24 15	9.2 9	355.28	1 ⁺	252.05	(2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.15	0.112 8	α(K)exp=0.10 1; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.019 3 (1973Pa02); α(K)exp=0.113 7 (1973Lo07) %I _γ =3.5 4 α(K)=0.099 7; α(L)=0.0112 9; α(M)=0.00179 15 α(N)=0.000164 12 E _γ : weighted average of 103.3 2 (1973Pa02) and 103.20 15 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 8.5 9 (1973Pa02) and 9.8 9 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.300 10, Ice(L+M)=0.061 8 (1973Pa02).
104.9 2	0.42 9	150.52	(0,1,2)	45.50	(2) ⁻	[D]		0.087 14	%I _γ =0.16 4 E _γ : weighted average of 104.9 2 (1973Pa02) and 105.0 3 (1973Lo07). I _γ : other: 0.086 26 (1973Lo07 , seen only in γγ-coin).
^x 113.4 @ ^c 3	0.34 @ ⁹								%I _γ =0.128 35
^x 121.3 @ ^c 3	0.51 @ ⁹								%I _γ =0.19 4
134.9 2	6.8 7	451.96	1 ⁺	317.08	(2) ⁺	(M1)		0.0509 7	α(K)exp=0.044 4; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.0064 9 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =2.57 30

⁷⁶Kr ε+β⁺ decay (14.79 h) [1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#) (continued)

γ(⁷⁶Br) (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡d}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	α [†]	Comments
								$\alpha(K)=0.0451\ 7$; $\alpha(L)=0.00496\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.000790\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=7.34\times 10^{-5}\ 11$ E_γ : other: 134.8 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 6.5 7 (1973Pa02) and 7.2 9 (1973Lo07). Mult.: ce data for 134.9γ+136.4γ consistent with M1 for both γ rays (1973Pa02). Absolute Ice(K)(134.9+136.4)=0.180 10, Ice(L+M)(134.9+136.4)=0.026 4 (1973Pa02).
136.3 2	2.66 28	451.96	1 ⁺	315.68	1 ⁺	(M1)	0.0496 7	$\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.044\ 4$; $\alpha(L)_{\text{exp}}+\alpha(M)_{\text{exp}}=0.0064\ 9$ (1973Pa02) %Iγ=1.00 12 $\alpha(K)=0.0439\ 6$; $\alpha(L)=0.00482\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.000768\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=7.14\times 10^{-5}\ 10$ E_γ : weighted average of 136.4 2 (1973Pa02) and 136.2 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 2.74 28 (1973Pa02) and 2.4 5 (1973Lo07). Mult.: ce data for 134.9γ+136.4γ consistent with M1 for both γ rays (1973Pa02). Absolute Ice(K)(134.9+136.4)=0.180 10, Ice(L+M)(134.9+136.4)=0.026 4 (1973Pa02).
^x 141.9 ^{@c} 3	0.51 [@] 14							
150.5 ^e 2	<0.66 ^e	150.52	(0,1,2)	0.0	1 ⁻	[D]	0.032 7	%Iγ=0.19 6 %Iγ=0.12 12 E_γ : also from 1973Lo07 . I_γ : from 0.56 10 for the doublet, weighted average of 0.50 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.69 14 (1973Lo07).
150.5 ^{ef} 2	<0.66 ^e	252.05	(2) ⁺	102.66	(4) ⁺	[E2]	0.1930 29	%Iγ<0.25 $\alpha(K)=0.1681\ 25$; $\alpha(L)=0.02124\ 32$; $\alpha(M)=0.00336\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.000292\ 4$ E_γ : also from 1973Lo07 ; placement suggested by the evaluators. I_γ : from 0.56 10 for the doublet, weighted average of 0.50 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.69 14 (1973Lo07).
166.7 2	0.46 9	317.08	(2 ⁺)	150.52	(0,1,2)	[D,E2]	0.08 6	%Iγ=0.17 4 E_γ : weighted average of 166.7 2 (1973Pa02) and 166.8 3 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 0.42 9 (1973Pa02) and 0.51 10 (1973Lo07).
171.0 ^{bf} 3	0.34 9	487.56?		317.08	(2 ⁺)			%Iγ=0.128 35 γ from 1973Lo07 only.
^x 179.9 ^{@c} 3	0.43 [@] 9							%Iγ=0.16 4 E_γ : placement from 432 level in 1973Lo07 is not adopted (evaluators). %Iγ=0.045 9 E_γ : weighted average of 192.0 4 (1973Pa02) and 192.8 7 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 0.112 23 (1973Pa02) and 0.17 6 (1973Lo07). 1973Pa02 suggested placement from 452 level to 260 level is not adopted by the evaluators.
^x 192.2 4	0.119 23							

⁷⁶Kr ε+β⁺ decay (14.79 h) [1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#) (continued)

γ(⁷⁶Br) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡ ^d	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
199.9 2	3.11 30	451.96	1 ⁺	252.05	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	0.6 2	0.031 6	α(K)exp=0.027 3 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =1.17 13 α(K)=0.028 6; α(L)=0.0032 7; α(M)=0.00050 11 α(N)=4.5×10 ⁻⁵ 9 E _γ : weighted average of 199.8 2 (1973Pa02) and 199.9 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 3.00 30 (1973Pa02) and 3.43 52 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.030 3 (1973Pa02). %I _γ =0.25 6 α(K)=0.0462 7; α(L)=0.00546 8; α(M)=0.000864 12 α(N)=7.69×10 ⁻⁵ 11 E _γ : weighted average of 214.5 2 (1973Pa02) and 214.4 4 (1973Lo07). Placement suggested by the evaluators on the basis of (135γ)(214γ)-coin in 1973Lo07 ; it is placed from 260 to 46 level in 1973Pa02 and unplaced in 1973Lo07 . I _γ : unweighted average of 0.78 8 (1973Pa02) and 0.51 6 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.09 4 E _γ : weighted average of 232.7 3 (1973Pa02) and 232.3 4 (1973Lo07). I _γ : unweighted average of 0.140 28 (1973Pa02) and 0.34 9 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.128 27 E _γ : placement from 280 level in 1973Pa02 is not adopted (evaluators). %I _γ =0.26 4 α(K)exp=0.0060 5 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =6.4 5 α(K)=0.00498 7; α(L)=0.000526 7; α(M)=8.33×10 ⁻⁵ 12 α(N)=7.72×10 ⁻⁶ 11 E _γ : weighted average of 252.0 2 (1973Pa02) and 252.2 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 16.2 16 (1973Pa02) and 17.2 9 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.035 3 (1973Pa02). α(K)exp=0.0044 4; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.00048 7 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =19.9 17 α(K)=0.00409 6; α(L)=0.000432 6; α(M)=6.84×10 ⁻⁵ 10 α(N)=6.34×10 ⁻⁶ 9 E _γ : weighted average of 270.2 2 (1973Pa02) and 270.4 2 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 54 6 (1973Pa02) and 52.7 34 (1973Lo07). Mult.: α(K)exp and α(L+M)exp for 270.2γ+271.6γ consistent with E1 for both γ rays in 1973Pa02 . Absolute Ice(K)(270.2+271.6)=0.105 3, Ice(L+M)(270.2+271.6)=0.011 2 (1973Pa02). α(K)exp=0.0044 4; α(L)exp+α(M)exp=0.00048 7 (1973Pa02) %I _γ =4.4 5 α(K)=0.00403 6; α(L)=0.000426 6; α(M)=6.74×10 ⁻⁵ 10
214.5 2	0.65 14	317.08	(2) ⁺	102.66	(4) ⁺	[E2]		0.0526 8	
232.6 3	0.24 10	1047.83	1 ⁺	815.2	0,1				
^x 234.7 ^{ac} 3	0.34 7								
^x 239.0 ^{@c} 3	0.69 [@] 9								
252.1 2	17.0 9	252.05	(2) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	E1		0.00560 8	
270.3 2	52.7 34	315.68	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	(E1)		0.00460 7	
271.7 2	11.6 12	317.08	(2) ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	(E1)		0.00453 6	

⁷⁶Kr $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (14.79 h) 1973Pa02,1973Lo07 (continued)

$\gamma(^{76}\text{Br})$ (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡ ^d	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
								$\alpha(\text{N})=6.25\times 10^{-6}$ 9 E_γ : weighted average of 271.6 2 (1973Pa02) and 271.8 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 11.5 12 (1973Pa02) and 11.7 14 (1973Lo07). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and $\alpha(\text{L}+\text{M})_{\text{exp}}$ for 270.2 γ +271.6 γ consistent with E1 for both γ rays in 1973Pa02. See comment for 270.3 γ from 315 level. Absolute Ice(K)(270.2+271.6)=0.105 3, Ice(L+M)(270.2+271.6)=0.011 2 (1973Pa02). %I γ =0.19 4 E_γ : weighted average of 295.0 3 (1973Pa02) and 294.8 3 (1973Lo07). Unplaced in 1973Lo07. I_γ : weighted average of =0.50 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.51 14 (1973Lo07). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.010$ 2 (1973Pa02) %I γ =0.83 10 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.010$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0011$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.8\times 10^{-4}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.6\times 10^{-5}$ 7 E_γ : from 1973Pa02. Other: 299.2 2 in 1973Lo07 probably a doublet corresponding to 299.0 and 300.2 in 1973Pa02. I_γ : from 1973Pa02. Other: 2.57 26 in 1973Lo07 probably for a doublet corresponding to 299.0 and 300.2 in 1973Pa02. Absolute Ice(K)(299.0+300.2)=0.012 3 (1973Pa02). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ for 299.0 γ +300.2 γ . %I γ =0.42 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.010$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0011$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.8\times 10^{-4}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.6\times 10^{-5}$ 7 E_γ, I_γ : other: see comments for 299.0 γ above. Absolute Ice(K)(299.0+300.2)=0.012 3 (1973Pa02). %I γ =2.45 30 E_γ : weighted average of 309.8 2 (1973Pa02) and 309.9 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 6.6 7 (1973Pa02) and 6.2 9 (1973Lo07). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0026$ 2; $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}+\alpha(\text{M})_{\text{exp}}=0.00031$ 4 (1973Pa02) %I γ =37.7 23 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00265$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000280$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.44\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.12\times 10^{-6}$ 6 E_γ : weighted average of 315.7 2 (1973Pa02) and 315.8 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : from 1973Lo07. Other: 100 10 (1973Pa02). Absolute Ice(K)=0.093 6, Ice(L+M)=0.011 1 (1973Pa02). %I γ =0.45 13 E_γ, I_γ : from $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, γ only in 1973Lo07. %I γ =4.8 5 E_γ : also from 1973Lo07. I_γ : weighted average of 12.6 13 (1973Pa02) and 13.2 17 (1973Lo07).
294.9 3	0.50 10	446.15	(1) ⁺	150.52	(0,1,2)			
299.0 3	2.21 22	616.02	1 ⁽⁺⁾	317.08	(2 ⁺)	(M1,E2)	0.011 5	
300.2 2	1.12 11	616.02	1 ⁽⁺⁾	315.68	1 ⁺	(M1,E2)	0.011 5	
309.9 2	6.5 7	355.28	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻			
315.8 2	100 7	315.68	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	E1	0.00298 4	
317.2 4	1.20 34	317.08	(2 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁻			
355.3 2	12.8 13	355.28	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻			

^{76}Kr $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (14.79 h) [1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{76}\text{Br})$ (continued)								
E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡d}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	α [†]	Comments
364.0 3	1.41 15	616.02	1 ⁽⁺⁾	252.05	(2) ⁺			%I γ =0.53 7 E γ : falso from 1973Lo07 . I γ : weighted average of 1.51 15 (1973Pa02) and 1.29 17 (1973Lo07). α (K)exp=0.0016 3 (1973Pa02) %I γ =11.4 12 α (K)=0.001352 19; α (L)=0.0001423 20; α (M)=2.254×10 ⁻⁵ 32 α (N)=2.098×10 ⁻⁶ 30 E γ : also from 1973Lo07 . I γ : weighted average of 30.7 31 (1973Pa02) and 30.0 26 (1973Lo07). Absolute Ice(K)=0.018 3 (1973Pa02).
406.5 2	30.3 26	451.96	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	E1	1.52×10 ⁻³ 2	%I γ =0.16 4 %I γ =0.15 8 E γ : weighted average of 431.6 4 (1973Pa02) and 431.9 5 (1973Lo07). I γ : unweighted average of 0.20 4 (1973Pa02) and 0.60 14 (1973Lo07). %I γ =0.128 35 %I γ <0.57 E γ : weighted average of 446.2 3 (1973Pa02) and 446.5 3 (1973Lo07). Placement from 1973Lo07 . I γ : from 1.27 27 for the doublet, weighted average of 1.00 10 (1973Pa02) and 1.54 26 (1973Lo07). See also 446.4 from 446 level. %I γ =0.28 28 E γ : weighted average of 446.2 3 (1973Pa02) and 446.5 3 (1973Lo07). Placement from 1973Pa02 . I γ : from 1.27 27 for the doublet, weighted average of 1.00 10 (1973Pa02) and 1.54 26 (1973Lo07). See also 446.4 from 898 level. %I γ =9.2 10 E γ : weighted average of 451.9 2 (1973Pa02) and 452.1 2 (1973Lo07). I γ : weighted average of 25.1 25 (1973Pa02) and 23.2 35 (1973Lo07). E γ : placement suggested by evaluators on the basis of (452 γ)(91 γ)-coin in 1973Lo07 , who place this transition from a 807.5 level. %I γ =0.042 9 γ not in 1973Lo07 . %I γ =0.32 5 %I γ =0.072 23 E γ : from 1973Lo07 . Other: 484.5 4 (1973Pa02). I γ : weighted average of 0.17 4 (1973Pa02) and 0.34 10 (1973Lo07). %I γ =0.19 4 E γ : from 1973Lo07 . Other: 490.2 4 (1973Pa02). I γ : weighted average of 0.47 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.60 16 (1973Lo07). %I γ =0.44 5
^x 428.5 ^{@c} 5	0.43 [@] 11							
431.7 4	0.40 20	1047.83	1 ⁺	616.02	1 ⁽⁺⁾			
^x 438.6 ^{@c} 4	0.34 [@] 9							
446.2 ^e 3	<1.5 ^e	898.36	1 ⁺	451.96	1 ⁺			
446.4 ^e 3	<1.5 ^e	446.15	(1) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻			
452.0 2	24.5 25	451.96	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻			
452.1 ^f 3		898.36	1 ⁺	446.15	(1) ⁺			
459.4 ^{af} 5	0.112 22	815.2	0,1	355.28	1 ⁺			
^x 473.1 ^{&c} 3	0.86 12							
484.4 3	0.19 6	936.44	1 ⁺	451.96	1 ⁺			
490.3 3	0.51 10	936.44	1 ⁺	446.15	(1) ⁺			
499.8 3	1.17 12	815.2	0,1	315.68	1 ⁺			

⁷⁶Kr ε+β⁺ decay (14.79 h) **1973Pa02,1973Lo07** (continued)

γ(⁷⁶Br) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡ ^d	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
^x 520.9 ^{@c} 3	0.51 [@] 9					E_γ : weighted average of 499.6 3 (1973Pa02) and 499.9 3 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 1.20 12 (1973Pa02) and 1.12 17 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.19 4
543.5 2	0.77 8	898.36	1 ⁺	355.28	1 ⁺	%I _γ =0.290 34 E_γ : weighted average of 543.2 4 (1973Pa02) and 543.6 2 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 0.75 8 (1973Pa02) and 0.86 17 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.14 5
548.3 ^f 4	0.37 12	548.5?	(0,1,2)	0.0	1 ⁻	E_γ : weighted average of 548.5 4 (1973Pa02) and 548.1 4 (1973Lo07). Placement suggested by evaluators. I_γ : weighted average of 0.50 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.26 9 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =1.55 17
552.7 3	4.1 4	868.16	1 ⁺	315.68	1 ⁺	E_γ : weighted average of 552.5 3 (1973Pa02) and 552.8 3 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 4.3 4 (1973Pa02) and 3.4 7 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.13 5
570.8 ^{bf} 4	0.34 12	616.02	1 ⁽⁺⁾	45.50	(2) ⁻	E_γ : from 1973Lo07 only (probably a contaminant from ⁷⁶ Br ε decay). %I _γ =0.121 24
^x 575.9 ^c 3	0.32 6					E_γ : weighted average of 576.0 3 (1973Pa02) and 575.7 5 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 0.31 6 (1973Pa02) and 0.34 12 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.47 6
581.6 3	1.25 13	898.36	1 ⁺	317.08	(2) ⁺	E_γ : weighted average of 581.5 3 (1973Pa02) and 581.8 4 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 1.31 13 (1973Pa02) and 1.03 26 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =1.02 12
581.8 ^{bf} 4		936.44	1 ⁺	355.28	1 ⁺	E_γ : weighted average of 582.5 3 (1973Pa02) and 581.8 4 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 2.91 29 (1973Pa02) and 2.40 34 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.19 7
582.3 4	2.70 29	898.36	1 ⁺	315.68	1 ⁺	%I _γ =0.36 4 E_γ : also from 1973Lo07. I_γ : weighted average of 1.00 10 (1973Pa02) and 0.77 17 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.19 7
^x 599.2 ^{&c} 4	0.51 17					%I _γ =0.13 5
619.5 4	0.94 10	936.44	1 ⁺	317.08	(2) ⁺	%I _γ =0.24 9 E_γ : weighted average of 684.3 4 (1973Pa02) and 684.6 3 (1973Lo07). I_γ : unweighted average of 0.39 8 (1973Pa02) and 0.86 17 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.19 4
^x 640.9 ^{@c} 4	0.51 [@] 17					E_γ : weighted average of 730.8 4 (1973Pa02) and 731.5 4 (1973Lo07). I_γ : from 1973Pa02. Other: 0.51 17 (1973Lo07). %I _γ =0.29 4
^x 666.0 ^{&c} 4	0.34 14					E_γ : weighted average of 795.8 4 (1973Pa02) and 796.5 5 (1973Lo07). I_γ : weighted average of 0.75 8 (1973Pa02) and 1.20 34 (1973Lo07).
684.5 3	0.63 24	936.44	1 ⁺	252.05	(2) ⁺	
731.2 4	0.50 10	1047.83	1 ⁺	317.08	(2) ⁺	
796.1 4	0.77 10	1047.83	1 ⁺	252.05	(2) ⁺	

⁷⁶Kr ε+β⁺ decay (14.79 h) [1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#) (continued)

γ(⁷⁶Br) (continued)

E _γ [‡]	I _γ ^{‡d}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Comments
822.6 5	0.66 7	868.16	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	%I _γ =0.249 30 E _γ : weighted average of 822.5 6 (1973Pa02) and 822.7 5 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 0.64 7 (1973Pa02) and 0.77 17 (1973Lo07).
853.0 5	0.36 7	898.36	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	%I _γ =0.136 28 E _γ : from 1973Pa02 . Other: 853.1 6 (1973Lo07). I _γ : from 1973Pa02 . Other: 0.34 12 (1973Lo07).
868.3 5	0.84 20	868.16	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	%I _γ =0.32 8 E _γ : weighted average of 868.2 5 (1973Pa02) and 868.3 5 (1973Lo07). I _γ : unweighted average of 0.64 7 (1973Pa02) and 1.03 17 (1973Lo07).
891.0 ^{af} 5	0.31 6	936.44	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	%I _γ =0.117 24
898.3 5	0.44 9	898.36	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	%I _γ =0.166 35 E _γ : weighted average of 898.5 5 (1973Pa02) and 897.9 6 (1973Lo07). I _γ : weighted average of 0.42 9 (1973Pa02) and 0.51 17 (1973Lo07).
^x 911.0 ^{ac} 10	0.31 6					%I _γ =0.117 24
936.0 ^{af} 10	0.28 6	936.44	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	%I _γ =0.106 23
1002.0 ^{af} 10	0.31 6	1047.83	1 ⁺	45.50	(2) ⁻	%I _γ =0.117 24
^x 1030.3 ^{&c} 5	0.69 26					%I _γ =0.26 10
^x 1070.3 ^{@c} 5	0.77 [@] 26					%I _γ =0.29 10

[†] Additional information 2.

[‡] From [1973Pa02](#), unless otherwise stated. Note that original values of intensities are absolute values in [1973Pa02](#) but relative values normalized to I_γ(252γ)=100. The quoted values in this dataset are relative values deduced by the evaluators from those original values by re-normalizing them to I_γ=100 of the strongest 315.8γ.

From ce data ([1973Pa02,1973Lo07](#)).

@ γ reported by [1973Lo07](#) only. Treated as uncertain (evaluators).

& γ reported by [1973Lo07](#) only. Probably contributed by ⁷⁶Br decay.

^a γ reported by [1973Pa02](#) only. Treated as uncertain (evaluators).

^b Placement from [1973Lo07](#), considered as uncertain by evaluators.

^c Questionable assignment to ⁷⁶Kr ε decay.

^d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.377 21.

^e Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

⁷⁶Kr ε decay (14.79 h) 1973Pa02,1973L007

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{\gamma+ce}$ per 100 parent decays
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

