

$^{75}\text{Rb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (19.0 s) 1983Ke08

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alexandru Negret, Balraj Singh		NDS 114, 841 (2013)	30-Jun-2013

Parent:  $^{75}\text{Rb}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=(3/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=19.0$  s 12;  $Q(\varepsilon)=7105$  8;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{75}\text{Rb}$ - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{75}\text{Rb}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{75}\text{Rb}$ - $Q(\varepsilon)$ : From 2012Wa38.

1983Ke08:  $^{75}\text{Rb}$  isotope produced by  $^{58}\text{Ni}(^{20}\text{Ne}, p2n)$  reaction and mass separated with an on-line isotope separator. Measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  using two Ge(Li) detectors.

Others: 1998Sk01, 1977Da04, 1975Bo52, 1975Ra03.

1998Sk01: mass-separated source. Measured precise energies and ce for the 179 doublet; details are given in 1995BeZS thesis.

 $^{75}\text{Kr}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$
0.0	$5/2^+$	4.60 min 7	671.80 7	$(3/2^-, 5/2^-)$
178.90 5	$(3/2)^-$		833.21 9	$(1/2^-, 3/2, 5/2)$
187.05 10	$(7/2)^+$		880.69 10	$(1/2^-, 3/2, 5/2)$
358.11 6	$(5/2)^-$		1026.5 3	$(1/2^+, 3/2, 5/2)$
611.16? 9	$(7/2)^-$		1042.14 10	$(3/2^+, 5/2)$
629.04 10	$(1/2^+, 3/2, 5/2)$			

$^\dagger$  From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

All  $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  feedings are considered as upper limits and associated  $\log ft$  values as lower limits since the level scheme is known only up to 1042 keV whereas  $Q$  value is 7 MeV.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(6063 8)	1042.14	<4.3	<0.048	>6.1	<4.3	av $E\beta=2333.7$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00970$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.001120$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002298$ 1
(6079 8)	1026.5	<1.1	<0.012	>6.7	<1.1	av $E\beta=2341.3$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00962$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.001110$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002278$ 1
(6224 8)	880.69	<3.1	<0.031	>6.3	<3.1	av $E\beta=2412.1$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00885$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.001021$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002095$ 1
(6272 8)	833.21	<3.9	<0.038	>6.2	<3.9	av $E\beta=2435.1$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00862$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.000994$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002040$ 1
(6433 8)	671.80	<17	<0.15	>5.6	<17	av $E\beta=2513.6$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00788$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.000910$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001867$ 9
(6476 8)	629.04	<6.8	<0.061	>6.0	<6.9	av $E\beta=2534.3$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00771$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.000889$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001824$ 8
(6494 8)	611.16?	<3.2	<0.028	>6.3	<3.2	av $E\beta=2543.0$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00763$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.000881$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001807$ 8
(6747 8)	358.11	<36	<0.27	>5.4	<36	$I\varepsilon, I\beta^+$ : very small $\varepsilon$ feeding is expected for $\Delta J=2, \Delta\pi=\text{yes}$ . av $E\beta=2666.3$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.00668$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.000771$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001582$ 7
(6918 8)	187.05	<7.2	<0.051	>6.1	<7.3	av $E\beta=2749.7$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.006131$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.000707$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001451$ 6
(6926 8)	178.90	<18	<0.13	>5.8	<18	$I\varepsilon, I\beta^+$ : almost no $\varepsilon$ feeding is expected for $\Delta J=2, \Delta\pi=\text{no}$ . av $E\beta=2753.6$ 39; $\varepsilon K=0.006107$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.000704$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001445$ 6

$^\dagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{75}\text{Rb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (19.0 s)  $^{1983}\text{Ke08}$  (continued) $\gamma(^{75}\text{Kr})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: from Ti( $\gamma$ 's to g.s.)=100. From I( $\gamma^{\pm}$ )=140 14 ([1983Ke08](#)), the  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding to g.s. and 179 level is expected to be negligible, but in the present decay scheme apparent  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding is  $\approx 18\%$  to the 179 level. The normalization is considered as approximate since level scheme is known up to only 1042, whereas the Q value is 7.1 MeV.  
I( $\gamma^{\pm}$ )=140 14 ([1983Ke08](#)).

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\text{a}}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. #	$\delta^{\text{b}}$	$\alpha^{\text{c}}$	Comments
171.8 1 178.95 6	$\approx 0.1$ 68 4	358.11 178.90	(5/2) <sup>-</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup>	187.05 0.0	(7/2) <sup>+</sup> 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1)		0.01615	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01434$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001536$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000247$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.46 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 E $\gamma$ , Mult.: from <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> . I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (178.95)/ I $\gamma$ (179.26)=90 4/43 4 ( <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> ).
179.26 6	32 3	358.11	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	178.90	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.6 2	0.048 11	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.042$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0050$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00081$ 19; $\alpha(\text{N})=7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 18 E $\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> . I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (178.95)/ I $\gamma$ (179.26)=90 4/43 4 ( <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> ). Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.044$ 13 ( <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> ) deduced from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}(178.95\gamma+179.$ 26 $\gamma)=0.022$ 3 ( <a href="#">1998Sk01</a> ) and mult(178.95 $\gamma$ )=E1. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}(178.95\gamma+179.26\gamma)$ =0.024 6 listed in <a href="#">1995BeZS</a> thesis.
187.0 1	7.6 15	187.05	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.55 6	0.040 3	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0349$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0041$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00066$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.07$ 3 ( <a href="#">1995BeZS</a> )
253.1 1	1.7 3	611.16?	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	358.11	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-1.2 2	0.0227 18	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0200$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00232$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00037$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 3
313.6 1 358.0 1 432.2 1 450.2 1 475.2 1 493.0 1 522.5 2	2.8 6 5.6 11 1.2 2 5.5 11 1.0 2 5.9 12 1.0 2	671.80 358.11 611.16? 629.04 833.21 671.80 880.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (5/2) <sup>-</sup> (7/2) <sup>-</sup> (1/2 <sup>+</sup> , 3/2, 5/2) (1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2, 5/2) (3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2, 5/2)	358.11 0.0 178.90 178.90 358.11 178.90 358.11	(5/2) <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>+</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup> (5/2) <sup>-</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup> (5/2) <sup>-</sup>	E2			
<sup>x</sup> 608.1 † 628.8 2 654.2 1	0.8 2 2.5 5	629.04 833.21	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> , 3/2, 5/2) (1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2, 5/2)	0.0 178.90	5/2 <sup>+</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup>				
<sup>x</sup> 670.8 † 671.8 1 701.8 1	7.0 14 1.8 4	671.80 880.69	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2, 5/2)	0.0 178.90	5/2 <sup>+</sup> (3/2) <sup>-</sup>				
<sup>x</sup> 725.1 †									

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{75}\text{Rb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (19.0 s)  $^{1983}\text{Ke08}$  (continued) $\gamma(^{75}\text{Kr})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ @	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
$^{x}791.3$ 1	0.7 2				
848.2		1026.5	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2,5/2)	178.90	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
854.9 2	1.0 2	1042.14	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2)	187.05	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>
863.2 1	2.1 4	1042.14	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2)	178.90	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>
1026.4 3	1.0 2	1026.5	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2,5/2)	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
1042.9 3	0.8 2	1042.14	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2)	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
$^{x}1139.3$ †					
$^{x}1192.5$ †					
$^{x}1197.1$ 3	3.1 6				
$^{x}1683.8$ †					
$^{x}1690.1$ 3	4.1 8				
$^{x}1877.5$ †					

† Observed in coincidence with 178.9 $\gamma$ .

‡ [Additional information 1.](#)

# From Adopted Gammas.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by  $\approx 1.1$ .

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

<sup>75</sup>Rb ε decay (19.0 s) 1983Ke08

Legend

- I<sub>γ</sub> < 2% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> < 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> > 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I<sub>(γ+ce)</sub> per 100 parent decays

