

**Be( $^{86}\text{Kr},\text{X}\gamma$ ) 2013Pe03,2010Da06**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alexandru Negret, Balraj Singh		NDS 114, 841 (2013)	30-Jun-2013

**2013Pe03:**  $^{75}\text{Cu}$  produced by the fragmentation of  $^{86}\text{Kr}$  on a Be target at 60.4 MeV/nucleon at GANIL. The fragments were separated using the LISE2000 spectrometer. The  $B\rho$ - $\Delta E$ -tof technique used to identify the fragments.

**2010Da06:**  $^{75}\text{Cu}$  was produced following the fragmentation of  $^{86}\text{Kr}$  (60.5 MeV/nucleon) beam on natural Ni (thickness 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ) at GANIL, using LISE spectrometer. Also **1999DaZQ** thesis related to the same study. The  $B\rho$ - $\Delta E$ -tof technique used to identify the fragments.

Level scheme is based on observation of the two gamma rays, 61.7(4) and 66.2(4) keV, observed in both experiments with similar decay times. Although **2010Da06** claims that 66.5-61.8  $\gamma\gamma$  represents a cascade, the later experiment of the same group (**2013Pe03**) shows that they are not coincident. From Shell Model arguments, it is considered that the two levels at 61.7 keV and 66.2 keV are  $1/2^-$  and  $3/2^-$  spins but the authors of **2013Pe03** cannot decide on the correspondence energy-spin. The  $1/2^- \rightarrow 5/2^-$  transition is E2, the  $3/2^- \rightarrow 1/2^-$  (or  $1/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^-$ ) unobserved transition between the two excited levels is M1 and the  $3/2^- \rightarrow 5/2^-$  transition is M1+E2.

 $^{75}\text{Cu}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$	Comments
0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$		$J^\pi$ : from Adopted Levels.
61.7 4	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)^\ddagger$	310 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$ : from <b>2013Pe03</b> . <b>2010Da06</b> gives $T_{1/2}=370$ ns 40.
66.2 4	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)^\ddagger$	149 ns 6	$T_{1/2}$ : from <b>2013Pe03</b> . <b>2010Da06</b> gives $T_{1/2}=170$ ns 15.

$^\dagger$  From time difference between the implantation of the heavy ions in Si detectors and the delayed  $\gamma$  rays detected with four HPGe detectors.

$^\ddagger$  From comparison with Shell Model calculations. No preference between the two possibilities, one excited level being  $1/2^-$  and the other  $3/2^-$ .

 $\gamma(^{75}\text{Cu})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
(4.5 6)		66.2	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	61.7	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	[M1]	54 20	$E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ not observed directly. Its existence is assumed based on the decay and population time pattern of the two observed gammas, 61.7 and 66.2 (Figure 2 from <b>2013Pe03</b> ): the 61.7 $\gamma$ seems to appear later, showing that the 61.7 level is populated through the decay of the 66.2 level.
61.7 4	100	61.7	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$	[M1,E2]	2.0 18	$E_\gamma$ : 61.8 5 ( <b>2010Da06</b> ).
66.2 4		66.2	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	0.0	$5/2^{(-)}$	[M1,E2]	1.5 13	$E_\gamma$ : 66.5 5 ( <b>2010Da06</b> ).

$^\dagger$  Additional information 1.

**Be( $^{86}\text{Kr}, X\gamma$ ) 2013Pe03,2010Da06**

Legend

Level SchemeIntensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ -----►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)