

**$^{75}\text{Se}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15, 1991BaZS, 2005Ra29**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Alexandru Negret, Balraj Singh	NDS 114, 841 (2013)		30-Jun-2013

Parent:  $^{75}\text{Se}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=5/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=119.78$  d 5;  $Q(\varepsilon)=864.7$  9; % $\varepsilon$  decay=100.0

$^{75}\text{Se}$ -J $^\pi$ , T $_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels of  $^{75}\text{Se}$ .

$^{75}\text{Se}$ -Q( $\varepsilon$ ): From 2012Wa38.

Precise Ey data: 2005Ra29, 1990Me15, 1985Ku26, 1984To11, 1978He21, 1977Pr08. 2000He14 is an evaluation of gamma-ray energies; when available, values are taken here from this work.

Precise Iy measurements: 2005Ra29, 1997Lo10, 1994Ra28, 1994Bh07, 1994Mi22, 1992Sc09, 1990An07, 1990Wa03, 1990Me15, 1983Yo03, 1980Sc07, 1977Ge12, 1977Pr08, 1973Su10, 1971Pr07, 1970Pa25 (also 1969Pa05), and 13 measurements of ICRM (1991BaZS).

See also DDEP evaluations (2010BeZQ, 1999BeZS) for decay of  $^{75}\text{Se}$ ; also on DDEP webpage: www.nucleide.org/DDEP.htm. In the opinion of evaluators, the gamma-ray intensities and branching ratios given in the DDEP evaluation for the 821.8-keV level are incorrect. The DDEP evaluators took these data mainly from 2005Ra29.

Although 2005Ra29 is the most recent experiment, but due to many inconsistencies in this paper, the results are not used much in this evaluation. The authors also report ce measurements and give K-shell (also M- and N-shell in some cases) conversion coefficients, some of which are quoted to a precision of 1% or less, which does not seem realistic. No  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidence study was done in this work. The 859.84, 1/2 $^+$  and 586.81, 1/2 $^-$  levels reported to be populated in this decay by 2005Ra29 only are omitted here since none of the  $\gamma$  rays associated with these levels have been seen in other studies. In addition, the 859.84 level is within 5 keV of the Q value and implied log ft=3.2 is impossible for a 5/2 $^+$  to 1/2 $^-$   $\beta$  transition. Branching ratios of  $\gamma$  transitions reported in 2005Ra29 are in severe disagreement with those from (n,n'γ) study where this level is strongly populated.

$\gamma$  (in some cases  $\gamma\gamma$  coin also): 2005Ra29, 1997Lo10, 1996St16, 1994Ra28, 1994Mi22, 1994Bh07, 1994St25, 1994Jo14, 1992Sc09, 1991Jo02, 1990An07, 1990Wa03, 1985Ku26, 1984Si06 (also 1983Si25), 1984To11 (also 1982ToZT), 1983Yo03, 1982Ha37, 1978He21, 1977Ge12, 1977Pr08, 1977Pu04, 1974MeZA, 1974Ca29, 1973Th07, 1973In06, 1973Te06, 1972De67, 1972Bo64, 1971Bo56, 1971Pr07, 1971Ge07, 1970Ch24, 1970Na14, 1970Pa25 (also 1969Pa05), 1970Pr06, 1968Pr07, 1968Na10, 1967Jo02, 1966Ga13, 1966Ra09, 1961Ed02. Others: 1971Ba71, 1969Ho25, 1967Pa14, 1966La25, 1966Na02, 1965Br19, 1962Va02, 1960Pe05, 1959Vo30, 1958Va02, 1955Sc09.

Conversion electron measurements: 2005Ra29, 1970Pa25, 1965Br19, 1961Ed02, 1960Gr03, 1960De06, 1959Me76, 1955Sc09. Note that the uncertainties quoted by 2005Ra29 on measured K-shell conversion electron intensities are unrealistically low for several transitions. Also from Ey, Iy data in 2005Ra29, there seem several lines contributed by impurities, thus these data are not used for multipolarity and mixing ratio assignments.

Double dead-time method and correlation counting: 1996Hw02, 1994Iw04, 1994Ch56, 1992Ch46.

Absolute activity and standardization: 1994Sr04, 1994Sm09, 1994Ra28, 1994Mi22, 1992Sc09, 1992Ra07, 1992Pa10, 1990Wa03, 1990Me15, 1990Je01, 1990An07.

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ , (ce) $\gamma(\theta)$ : 1983Si25, 1977Pu04, 1973Su10, 1970Ch24, 1969Ra12, 1969Be23, 1968Sp02, 1968Vi02, 1958Va02, 1956Ke15, 1955Sc09. Other: 1996Ro16.

ce: particle parameters: 1971Va27, 1969Be23, 1968Sp02, 1968Vi02. Others: 1968Ja03, 1961Ed02, 1967Dr03, 1960Gr03, 1959Me76.

$\beta\gamma$  coin: 1980Sc07, 1972Gu03.

$\gamma$ (lin pol,θ): 1958Va02.

$\gamma\gamma(\theta, H, T)$ : 1990Mo06, 1990Mo22, 1990Mo23, 1989Mo14, 1975Bo13.

$\gamma$  circular polarization: 1971Va27, 1960Bo11 1974Ca23, 1966Ag01, 1960Ma03.

Fluorescence, x-ray yield, K-capture measurements: 1996Sa22, 1994Le29, 1994Bh07, 1993Be08, 1990Ja15, 1990An07, 1984Si02, 1984Si06, 1983Si25.

Theoretical fractional  $\varepsilon$  probabilities: 1998Sc28.

The total average radiation energy released by  $^{75}\text{Se}$  is 864 keV 8 (calculated by evaluators using the computer code RADLST).

This value agrees remarkably well with  $Q(\varepsilon)=864.7$  keV 9 (2012Wa38), thus confirming the accuracy of the present decay scheme.

**$^{75}\text{Se}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d) 1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29 (continued)** $^{75}\text{As}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0 198.6063 8	3/2 <sup>-</sup> 1/2 <sup>-</sup>	stable 885 ps 30	J <sup>π</sup> : isotropic result in $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ consistent with J=1/2. T <sub>1/2</sub> : average of 870 ps 30 ( <a href="#">1969Ho25</a> ) and 900 ps 30 ( <a href="#">1967Se01</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : anisotropic result in $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ rules out J=1/2.
264.6581 6 279.5428 8	3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	11.2 ps 3 273 ps 3	$\mu=+0.918$ 18 ( <a href="#">1989Mo14</a> ); Q=0.30 10 ( <a href="#">1990Mo23</a> ) T <sub>1/2</sub> : from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1989Mo14</a> , <a href="#">1990Mo06</a> ). Others: 280 ps 20 ( <a href="#">1969Ho25</a> ), <a href="#">1973Ch45</a> , <a href="#">1972Gu03</a> , <a href="#">1971Ba71</a> , <a href="#">1970Az01</a> , <a href="#">1969Sh12</a> , <a href="#">1968Go41</a> , <a href="#">1967Se01</a> , <a href="#">1962Va02</a> , <a href="#">1955Sc09</a> .
303.9243 8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	17.62 ms 23	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">1998Hw05</a> . Others: 15.6 ms 9 ( <a href="#">1965La15</a> ), 17.0 ms 7 ( <a href="#">1957Sc11</a> ). See also numerous other experimental values in Adopted Levels.
400.6583 6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.67 ns 5	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">1967Se01</a> . Others: <a href="#">1970Az01</a> , 1.67 ns 14 ( <a href="#">1969Ho25</a> ), 1.8 ns 4 ( <a href="#">1959Ve22</a> ), 1.5 ns 4 ( <a href="#">1958La10</a> ), <10 ns ( <a href="#">1958Va02</a> ).
468.6 4 572.41 3 617.68 4 821.73 16	1/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	2.9 ps 3 3.0 ps 3	The $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios are used from Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset. The ratios in <a href="#">2005Ra29</a> and <a href="#">1977Pr08</a> , where $\gamma$ rays from this level are reported, are in severe disagreement with the ones obtained from Coulomb excitation, where this level is very strongly populated. Energies of 249, 542, and 558 gamma rays are also taken from Adopted Gammas, since the evaluators believe that gamma rays reported near these energies in <a href="#">2005Ra29</a> and <a href="#">1977Pr08</a> are contributed by impurities.

<sup>†</sup> Deduced from a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, unless otherwise stated. $\varepsilon$  radiations $\varepsilon$  subshell ratios measured in [1994Bh07](#), [1984Si06](#); and evaluated in [1995ScZY](#).

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(43.0 9)	821.73	0.000160 10	9.59 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8063$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.1610$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.0327$ 5
(247.0 9)	617.68	0.0169 3	9.29 1	$\varepsilon K=0.8726$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.10680$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.020583$ 7
(292.3 9)	572.41	0.0387 5	9.080 7	$\varepsilon K=0.8742$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.10553$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.020306$ 5
(396.1 10)	468.6	0.00037 6	11.4 1	$\varepsilon K=0.8763$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.10377$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.019919$ 3
(464.0 9)	400.6583	96.2 6	6.098 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8772$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.1031$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.01976$ $\varepsilon$ subshell ratios are from <a href="#">1995ScZY</a> .
(585.2 9)	279.5428	2.1 4	8.0 1	$\varepsilon K=0.8782$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.1022$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.01958$
(600.0 <sup>‡</sup> 9)	264.6581	0.5 5	>8.3	$\varepsilon K=0.8783$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.1021$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.01956$
(666.1 9)	198.6063	0.047 18	10.0 <sup>1u</sup> 2	$\varepsilon K=0.8734$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.10624$ 2; $\varepsilon M+=0.020369$ 3
(864.7 9)	0.0	1.1 6	8.6 3	$\varepsilon K=0.8795$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.1012$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.01935$
				I $\varepsilon$ : from 100-(sum I( $\gamma$ +ce)) of $\gamma$ rays to g.s.). It is consistent with measured I $\varepsilon$ <1.2 with 99% probability ( <a href="#">1976Hu11</a> ) from (x ray) $\gamma$ coin.

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29 (continued) $\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$ 

Iy normalization: from an absolute intensity of 58.9% 3 for the 264-keV  $\gamma$  ray, weighted average (LWM) of the following values measured by  $4\pi\beta\gamma$  coin:

59.44% 83 (1980Sc07), 57.96% 85 and 58.16% 85 (1983Yo03), 59.55% 90 (ICRM value), and 59.03% 32 (1994Mi22). These are values corrected by evaluators for the coincidence events of the 24-keV  $\gamma$ -ray transition missed in the measurements because of the 17 ms half-life of the 303.9-keV level. The correction factor (1.060 5), which equals unity plus the total absolute intensity of the 24-keV transition, is based on the weighted average (LWM) of the following results: 0.055 5, Szvrenyi et al., report to BIPM (1989) (correlation method); 0.065 2 (1990Ja15, correlation method); 0.056 2 (1994Sm09, correlation method); and 0.058 5 (1994Ch56, double dead time method).

The following gamma rays with  $E\gamma/I\gamma$  reported only in 2005Ra29 have been omitted by the evaluators since with the intensity listed in 2005Ra29, these should have been detected in previous experiments: 38.47 8 (0.0038 2), 186.01 5 (0.044 1), 234.79 12 (0.0098 2), 242.22 5 (0.023 1), 270.20 4 (0.037 1), 282.92 19 (0.0047 2), 292.74 15 (0.00058 1), 388.31 7 (0.00063 7), 459.32 8 (0.0026 2), 555.76 5 (0.0041 2), 586.82 7 (0.0084 3), 661.19 2 (0.0057 3), 859.83 2 (0.117 2). In the opinion of the evaluators, the 859.8 $\gamma$  and some other  $\gamma$  rays may be contributed by room background from Th activity.

Other omitted  $\gamma$  rays:  $E\gamma=201.98$  3,  $I\gamma=0.018$  1 (2005Ra29);  $I\gamma=0.005$  2 (1996St16);  $I\gamma=0.0002$  (listed in 1978LeZA from priv comm 1974MeZA).  $E\gamma=204.31$  17,  $I\gamma=0.018$  1 (2005Ra29); 0.0042 16 (1996St16); 0.003 (listed in 1978LeZA from priv comm 1974MeZA).  $E\gamma=307.92$  15,  $I\gamma=0.0039$  1 (2005Ra29), 0.007 3 (1996St16).  $E\gamma=338.27$  5,  $I\gamma=0.145$  1 (2005Ra29), 0.0042 7 (1996St16), 0.0007 (listed in 1978LeZA from priv comm 1974MeZA).

Measured K x-ray relative intensities is 92.6 18, weighted average (LWM) of 94.0 24 (1966Ra09), 91.5 (1974Ca29), 90.3 26 (1970Pa25), and 93.1 18 (1992Sc09). Using a normalization factor Iy normalization=0.589 3, the corresponding absolute intensity is 54.6% 11. This value compares with 56.5% 6, calculated by evaluators using the RADLST computer program and the nuclear and atomic data deduced in this evaluation. The agreement between these quantities constitutes a test for the self-consistency of the decay scheme.

Data details for the relative intensities of the  $\gamma$  rays used in the evaluation process; only data using Ge detectors is considered here. Minimum uncertainty assigned in the recommended value is 0.5% when the one given by the averaging procedure is lower than this.

The data from 2005Ra29 has been used sparingly in the present evaluation since many values seem to be outliers, which suggests large systematic errors in this study. In addition the authors have many gamma rays which have not been confirmed in other studies; these must be contributed from impurities which have remained unidentified in this work.

The 13 measurements from 1991BaZS are independent results from different laboratories which were performed as part of an IAEA CRP.

Note that in 2005Ra29, 1997Lo10, 1994Mi22, 1994Bh07, uncertainties reported for some of the values are below 1%, which seems unrealistic, in view of systematic uncertainties involved.

**14.9 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma \leq 0.002$  adopted from <0.017 (1974Ca29), <0.002 (1984Si06), 0.003 2 (1994Bh07). Others: 0.034 6 (1973Th07), 0.035 1 (2005Ra29). Spectral evidence is provided by 2005Ra29 and 1983Si25; line is close to intense K-x ray lines. Due to inconsistent intensities reported, lower value is adopted while this transition is considered as unconfirmed by the evaluators.

**24.4 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.0473$  18 is the weighted average of 0.044 6 (1970Pa25), 0.032 10 (1970Pr06), 0.063 8 (1973Th07), 0.065 8 (1977Pr08), 0.052 9 (1984Si06), 0.046 4 (1990Me15), 0.045 6 (1991BaZS-1), 0.045 6 (1991BaZS-10), 0.0446 20 (1992Sc09), 0.056 6 (1994Bh07), 0.059 10 (1994Ra28), 0.052 5 (1996St16).  $\chi^2=1.66$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 0.036 4 (1974Ca29), 0.127 12 (1991BaZS-2), 0.035 1 (2005Ra29). Weighted averaged value of 0.0473 further reduced to 0.043 2 so that  $\varepsilon$  feeding to 303.9-keV level is near zero as expected from  $\Delta J=2$ ,  $\Delta J$  no.

**66.1 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=1.887$  12 adopted from NRM average of: 1.40 40 (1969Ra12), 2.0 5 (1973Te06), 1.86 9 (1977Ge12), 1.91 3 (1984Si06), 1.87 1 (1990Me15), 1.959 40 (1990Wa03), 1.957 11 (1990An07), 1.85 3 (1991BaZS-1), 1.91 2 (1991BaZS-10), 1.94 3 (1991BaZS-11), 1.88 1 (1991BaZS-12), 1.85 3 (1991BaZS-2), 1.76 8 (1991BaZS-3), 1.95 6 (1991BaZS-4), 1.78 6 (1991BaZS-6), 2.00 17 (1991BaZS-7), 1.86 2 (1991BaZS-8), 1.96 4 (1991BaZS-9), 1.95 2 (1991BaZS-13), 1.91 2 (1992Sc09), 1.912 12 (1994Bh07 with uncertainty increased by factor of 4 by evaluator), 1.929 43 (1994Ra28), 1.86 2 (1996St16), 1.79 1 (2005Ra29). Others

(omitted as outliers): 1.64 5 ([1966Ra09](#)), 1.54 8 ([1970Na14](#)), 1.72 4 ([1970Pa25](#)), 0.97 6 ([1973Su10](#)), 1.50 15 ([1973Th07](#)), 1.46 2 ([1977Pr08](#)). Superseded results: 1.77 20 ([1971Ge07](#)) superseded by [1977Ge12](#), 1.93 4 ([1980Sc07](#)) superseded by [1992Sc09](#).

**80.9 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =0.0144 11 adopted from weighted average of 0.015 3 ([1970Pa25](#)), 0.011 3 ([1973Th07](#)), 0.012 4 ([1977Pr08](#)), 0.014 4 ([1984Si06](#)), 0.019 4 ([1990Me15](#)), 0.013 4 ([1994Bh07](#)), 0.0135 34 ([1994Ra28](#)), 0.016 2 ([1996St16](#)), 0.017 1 ([2005Ra29](#)).  $\chi^2$ =0.54.

**96.7 $\gamma$ :**

4

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15, 1991BaZS, 2005Ra29 (continued) $\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$  (continued)

I $\gamma$ =5.855 30 adopted from weighted average of: 5.9 3 (1977Ge12), 5.78 17 (1983Yo03), 5.917 32 (1990An07), 5.72 21 (1990Me15), 5.911 91 (1990Wa03), 5.93 8 (1991BaZS-1), 5.91 5 (1991BaZS-10), 5.88 6 (1991BaZS-11), 5.91 5 (1991BaZS-13), 5.68 15 (1991BaZS-2), 5.79 3 (1991BaZS-8), 5.83 4 (1991BaZS-12 with uncertainty increased by factor of 2), 5.91 5 (1992Sc09), 5.779 38 (1994Mi22), 5.89 14 (1994Ra28);  $\chi^2=1.4$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 5.33 16 (1966Ra09), 4.83 96 (1969Ra12), 5.43 16 (1970Na14), 5.12 10 (1970Pa25), 4.7 2 (1973Su10), 5.0 5 (1973Te06), 5.4 4 (1973Th07), 5.22 2 (1977Pr08), 6.13 18 (1991BaZS-3), 6.47 18 (1991BaZS-4), 5.41 13 (1991BaZS-6), 5.13 30 (1991BaZS-7), 5.63 5 (1991BaZS-9), 5.65 5 (1996St16), 5.10 4 (2005Ra29). Superseded results: 5.60 50 (1971Ge07) superseded by 1977Ge12, 5.89 13 (1980Sc07) superseded by 1992Sc09.

**121.1 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =29.21 15 adopted from RT weighted average of: 27.8 8 (1966Ra09), 29.2 29 (1969Ra12), 28.49 85 (1970Na14), 27.70 50 (1970Pa25), 29.8 9 (1977Ge12), 27.1 40 (1977Pr08), 29.24 29 (1983Yo03), 29.96 26 (1984Si06), 29.17 15 (1990An07), 29.8 2 (1990Me15), 29.13 45 (1990Wa03), 29.23 15 (1991BaZS-1), 29.16 23 (1991BaZS-10), 29.43 22 (1991BaZS-11), 29.31 10 (1991BaZS-12), 29.24 20 (1991BaZS-13), 29.1 6 (1991BaZS-2), 29.2 4 (1991BaZS-4), 29.3 5 (1991BaZS-5), 28.5 5 (1991BaZS-6), 30.0 10 (1991BaZS-7), 28.96 14 (1991BaZS-9), 27.9 6 (1991BaZS-3), 28.65 11 (1991BaZS-8), 29.16 23 (1992Sc09), 30.10 90 (1994Bh07), 29.27 52 (1994Ra28), 29.76 14 (1994Mi22), 28.05 27 (1997Lo10). Others (omitted as outliers): 25.4 12 (1973Su10), 25.8 25 (1973Te06), 26.7 30 (1973Th07), 27.620 31 (1996St16), 27.40 22 (2005Ra29). Superseded results: 28.2 14 (1971Ge07) superseded by 1977Ge12, 29.3 3 (1980Sc07) superseded by 1992Sc09.

**136.0 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =99.4 5 from weighted average of: 94.9 20 (1966Ra09), 96.0 96 (1969Ra12), 94.0 28 (1970Na14), 95.0 18 (1970Pa25), 94.6 82 (1973Te06), 95.9 70 (1973Th07), 102 3 (1977Ge12), 95.5 60 (1977Pr08), 99.2 9 (1983Yo03), 102.5 10 (1984Si06), 99.73 62 (1990An07), 100 3 (1990Me15), 99.5 14 (1990Wa03), 99.9 3 (1991BaZS-1), 94.6 20 (1991BaZS-2), 94.6 21 (1991BaZS-3), 99.7 8 (1991BaZS-10), 100.4 7 (1991BaZS-11), 99.4 10 (1991BaZS-13), 99.9 9 (1991BaZS-4), 99.9 18 (1991BaZS-5), 95.9 21 (1991BaZS-6), 99.5 31 (1991BaZS-7), 99.9 5 (1991BaZS-9), , 98.2 4 (1991BaZS-8), 99.7 8 (1992Sc09), 100.22 45 (1994Mi22), 99.8 19 (1994Ra28), 102.3 11 (1994Bh07), 98.0 10 (1996St16), 98.41 36 (1997Lo10);  $\chi^2=2.5$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 90.3 28 (1973Su10), 101.2 2 (1991BaZS-12), 94.05 75 (2005Ra29). Superseded results: 98.3 46 (1971Ge07) superseded by 1977Ge12, 99.8 8 (1980Sc07) superseded by 1992Sc09.

**198.6 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =2.540 13 from NRM average of 2.25 23 (1969Ra12), 2.79 14 (1970Na14), 2.5 1 (1973Su10), 2.59 2 (1973Th07), 2.53 8 (1977Ge12), 2.48 4 (1977Pr08), 2.51 4 (1983Yo03), 2.52 6 (1984Si06), 2.54 2 (1990Me15), 2.504 43 (1990Wa03), 2.490 18 (1990An07), 2.518 13 (1991BaZS-1), 2.534 23 (1991BaZS-10), 2.514 20 (1991BaZS-11), 2.50 3 (1991BaZS-13), 2.52 7 (1991BaZS-2), 2.568 21 (1991BaZS-4), 2.48 5 (1991BaZS-5), 2.53 7 (1991BaZS-7), 2.509 16 (1991BaZS-8), 2.581 12 (1991BaZS-9), 2.586 10 (1991BaZS-12), 2.534 20 (1992Sc09), 2.51 8 (1994Bh07), 2.555 17 (1994Mi22), 2.487 48 (1994Ra28), 2.56 2 (1996St16), 2.58 7 (1997Lo10). Others (omitted as outliers): 2.28 5 (1966Ra09), 2.38 7 (1970Pa25), 2.2 2 (1973Te06), 2.25 8 (1991BaZS-3), 2.38 4 (1991BaZS-6), 2.42 2 (2005Ra29). Superseded results: 2.43 12 (1971Ge07) superseded by 1977Ge12, 2.49 5 (1980Sc07) superseded by 1992Sc09.

**249.4 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =0.000015 3 adopted from branching ratio in Adopted Gammas. Values of I $\gamma$ =0.00016 4 (1977Pr08), and 0.0067 2 (2005Ra29) are much too high as compared to those expected from Coulomb excitation data where the level is very strongly populated.

**264.7 $\gamma$ :**

Adopted I $\gamma$ =100.0 5, reference gamma ray.

**279.5 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =42.48 22 adopted from weighted average of: 43.0 9 (1966Ra09), 41.3 41 (1969Ra12), 41.9 13 (1970Na14), 42.0 8 (1970Pa25), 42.5 15 (1973Su10), 40.0 22 (1973Te06), 42.1 8 (1973Th07), 42.4 13 (1977Ge12), 42.6 8 (1977Pr08), 42.43 20 (1983Yo03), 42.42 40 (1984Si06), 42.53 16 (1991BaZS-1), 42.2 9 (1991BaZS-3), 42.1 3 (1991BaZS-4), 42.6 6 (1991BaZS-5), 42.4 5 (1991BaZS-6), 42.6 11 (1991BaZS-7), 42.48 23 (1991BaZS-8), 42.36 14 (1991BaZS-9), 42.5 3 (1991BaZS-10), 42.25 16 (1991BaZS-12 with uncertainty increased by factor of 4), 42.4 4 (1991BaZS-11), 42.69 21 (1991BaZS-13), 42.60 19 (1990An07),

42.2 4 ([1990Me15](#)), 42.42 67 ([1990Wa03](#)), 42.47 34 ([1992Sc09](#)), 42.55 12 ([1994Bh07](#)), 42.78 18 ([1994Mi22](#)), 42.64 89 ([1994Ra28](#)), 42.56 31 ([1996St16](#)).  
 $\chi^2=0.40$ . Others (excluded as outliers): 43.9 9 ([1991BaZS-2](#)), 43.63 29 ([1997Lo10](#)), 43.07 34 ([2005Ra29](#)). Superseded results: 43.2 22 ([1971Ge07](#)) superseded by [1977Ge12](#), 42.6 4 ([1980Sc07](#)) superseded by [1992Sc09](#).

**303.9 $\gamma$ :**

I $\gamma$ =2.232 12 adopted from weighted average of: 2.06 21 ([1969Ra12](#)), 2.20 11 ([1970Na14](#)), 2.19 7 ([1970Pa25](#)), 2.20 8 ([1973Su10](#)), 2.11 30 ([1973Th07](#)), 2.21 3 ([1977Ge12](#)), 2.26 4 ([1977Pr08](#)), 2.234 17 ([1983Yo03](#)), 2.234 11 ([1990An07](#)), 2.23 2 ([1990Me15](#)), 2.249 41 ([1990Wa03](#)), 2.248 9 ([1991BaZS-1](#)), 2.242 18 ([1991BaZS-10](#)), 2.220 22 ([1991BaZS-11](#)), 2.219 8 ([1991BaZS-12](#)), 2.239 16 ([1991BaZS-13](#)), 2.25 5 ([1991BaZS-2](#)), 2.21 6 ([1991BaZS-3](#)), 2.24 4 ([1991BaZS-5](#)),

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    **1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29** (continued) $\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$  (continued)

2.23 4 (**1991BaZS**-6), 2.24 6 (**1991BaZS**-7), 2.234 15 (**1991BaZS**-8), 2.224 8 (**1991BaZS**-9), 2.242 18 (**1992Sc09**), 2.239 15 (**1994Mi22**), 2.267 46 (**1994Ra28**), 2.24 2 (**1996St16**), 2.27 2 (**2005Ra29**).  $\chi^2=0.46$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 2.39 5 (**1966Ra09**), 2.091 14 (**1991BaZS**-4), 2.199 11 (**1997Lo10**). Superseded results: 2.31 12 (**1971Ge07**) superseded by **1977Ge12**, 2.27 2 (**1980Sc07**) superseded by **1992Sc09**.

**373.5 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.0043$  2 adopted from weighted average of 0.0042 4 (**1977Pr08**), 0.0032 12 (**1996St16**), 0.0044 2 (**2005Ra29**). Others: <0.005 (**1973Th07**), <0.006 (**1970Pa25**).

**400.7 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=19.38$  10 adopted from weighted average of: 19.2 19 (**1969Ra12**), 19.45 58 (**1970Na14**), 19.0 6 (**1973Su10**), 19.6 17 (**1973Te06**), 19.1 3 (**1977Ge12**), 18.8 6 (**1977Pr08**), 19.38 12 (**1983Yo03**), 19.461 88 (**1990An07**), 19.5 3 (**1990Me15**), 19.27 11 (**1991BaZS**-1), 19.49 16 (**1991BaZS**-10), 19.08 17 (**1991BaZS**-11), 19.360 25 (**1991BaZS**-12), 19.51 10 (**1991BaZS**-13), 19.7 4 (**1991BaZS**-2), 19.1 4 (**1991BaZS**-3), 19.1 4 (**1991BaZS**-4), 19.5 3 (**1991BaZS**-5), 19.17 21 (**1991BaZS**-6), 19.5 5 (**1991BaZS**-7), 19.60 10 (**1991BaZS**-8), 19.49 16 (**1992Sc09**), 19.313 88 (**1994Mi22**), 19.56 27 (**1994Ra28**), 19.38 4 (**1996St16** with uncertainty increased by factor of 2).  $\chi^2=0.80$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 22.3 5 (**1966Ra09**), 20.40 50 (**1970Pa25**), 18.0 4 (**1973Th07**), 20.19 32 (**1990Wa03**), 19.79 6 (**1991BaZS**-9), 18.84 16 (**1997Lo10**), 20.13 16 (**2005Ra29**). Superseded results: 19.6 12 (**1971Ge07**) superseded by **1977Ge12**, 19.56 16 (**1980Sc07**) superseded by **1992Sc09**.

**418.8 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.0210$  4 adopted from weighted average of: 0.020 3 (**1969Ra12**), 0.023 2 (**1970Pa25**), 0.017 3 (**1973Th07**), 0.018 4 (**1977Pr08**), 0.0231 21 (**1983Yo03**), 0.018 3 (**1990Me15**), 0.02095 40 (**1990Wa03**), 0.0206 7 (**1991BaZS**-1), 0.0196 11 (**1991BaZS**-10), 0.0217 5 (**1991BaZS**-11), 0.024 9 (**1991BaZS**-2), 0.0196 12 (**1992Sc09**), 0.022 2 (**1996St16**).  $\chi^2=0.97$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 0.0322 6 (**1966Ra09**), 0.0140 16 (**1973Su10**), 0.0247 13 (**1991BaZS**-12), 0.0102 32 (**1991BaZS**-6), 0.0154 10 (**1991BaZS**-7), 0.035 1 (**2005Ra29**).

**468.6 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.00062$  10 adopted from **1977Pr08**; 0.00054 18 (**1971Pr07**) and 0.0010 5 (**1970Pa25**) are in agreement but less precise. Others: 0.0028 4 (**1996St16**), and 0.0036 2 (**2005Ra29**) are much too high.

**542.4 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.000022$  3 adopted from branching ratio in Adopted Gammas. Values of  $I\gamma=0.00022$  4 (**1977Pr08**), and 0.00074 1 (**2005Ra29**) are much too high as compared to those expected from Coulomb excitation data where the level is prominently populated.

**557.8 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.0000016$  5 adopted from branching ratio in Adopted Gammas. Values of  $I\gamma=0.00006$  2 (**1977Pr08**), and 0.0047 2 (**2005Ra29**) are much too high as compared to those expected from Coulomb excitation data where the level is prominently populated.

**572.2 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.0614$  7 adopted from weighted average of: 0.0636 13 (**1966Ra09**), 0.053 8 (**1969Ra12**), 0.063 2 (**1970Pa25**), 0.054 3 (**1973Su10**), 0.0634 29 (**1983Yo03**), 0.0638 16 (**1990An07**), 0.060 3 (**1990Me15**), 0.05894 91 (**1990Wa03**), 0.0602 20 (**1991BaZS**-1), 0.0610 10 (**1991BaZS**-10), 0.0603 7 (**1991BaZS**-11), 0.064 3 (**1991BaZS**-13), 0.0625 22 (**1991BaZS**-2), 0.058 4 (**1991BaZS**-6), 0.059 3 (**1991BaZS**-7), 0.0610 18 (**1991BaZS**-8), 0.0617 14 (**1991BaZS**-9), 0.067 2 (**1991BaZS**-12), 0.0610 10 (**1992Sc09**), 0.063 1 (**1996St16**). 0.066 3 (**1997Lo10**), 0.062 1 (**2005Ra29**). Others (omitted as outliers): 0.048 5 (**1973Th07**), 0.050 4 (**1977Pr08**).

**617.8 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.00765$  15 adopted from weighted average of: 0.00777 15 (**1966Ra09**), 0.0076 10 (**1969Ra12**), 0.0075 2 (**1970Pa25**), 0.0075 31 (**1973Su10**), 0.0078 21 (**1983Yo03**), 0.0062 8 (**1977Pr08**), 0.0077 4 (**1990Me15**), 0.00761 23 (**1990Wa03**), 0.0072 7 (**1991BaZS**-1), 0.0078 5 (**1991BaZS**-10), 0.0077 3 (**1991BaZS**-11),

0.0067 *I*0 ([1991BaZS-2](#)), 0.0076 *I*6 ([1991BaZS-6](#)), 0.0080 *I*6 ([1991BaZS-7](#)), 0.0063 *I*6 ([1991BaZS-9](#)), 0.0078 *I*5 ([1992Sc09](#)), 0.0082 *I*7 ([1996St16](#)), 0.0078 *I*2 ([2005Ra29](#)).  $\chi^2=0.8$ . Others (omitted as outliers): 0.0059 *I*7 ([1973Th07](#)), 0.0108 *I*2 ([1991BaZS-12](#)).

**821.6 $\gamma$ :**

$I\gamma=0.000233$  *I*5 adopted from LWM average of: 0.000216 *I*0 ([1971Pr07](#)), 0.00028 *I*2 ([1977Pr08](#)), 0.00022 *I*2 ([1990Me15](#)), 0.00030 *I*5 ([1991BaZS-6](#)), 0.0016 *I*2 ([1991BaZS-2](#)). Others (omitted as outliers and/or too high values): 0.0013 *I*7 ([1991BaZS-7](#)), 0.0035 *I*6 ([1996St16](#)), 0.0015 *I*2 ([2005Ra29](#)).

---

$^{75}\text{Se } \varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    [1990Me15](#),[1991BaZS](#),[2005Ra29](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\textcolor{blue}{c}}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup><i>b</i></sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^{\dagger\dagger}$	Comments
14.89 <sup><i>d</i></sup>	$\leq 0.002$	279.5428	$5/2^-$	264.6581	$3/2^-$	[M1(+E2)]	0.15 15	50 30	$\alpha(K)=28~9; \alpha(L)=20~18; \alpha(M)=3~3; \alpha(N)=0.15~13$ $E_\gamma$ : from level-energy difference=14.885. <a href="#">Additional information 4</a> . $\delta$ : from RUL(E2)<300.

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^c$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^{\dagger\ddagger}$	Comments
24.38	0.043 2	303.9243	$9/2^+$	279.5428	$5/2^-$	M2(+E3)	0.013 13	205 5	$\alpha(K)=165.6$ 24; $\alpha(L)=33.3$ 22; $\alpha(M)=5.2$ 4; $\alpha(N)=0.364$ 17 $E_\gamma$ : from level-energy difference. $E_\gamma=24.34$ 1 (2005Ra29) fits poorly. $I_\gamma$ : weighted averaged value of 0.047 is reduced to 0.043, so that there is no $\varepsilon$ feeding to 303.9, $9/2^+$ level from $5/2^+$ parent, as expected.
66.0518 <sup>#</sup> 8	1.887 12	264.6581	$3/2^-$	198.6063	$1/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.066 19	0.298 11	$\alpha(K)=0.264$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0296$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.00452$ 22; $\alpha(N)=0.000336$ 14 <b>Additional information 2.</b> $\delta$ : from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1983Si25). Others: 0.11 6 (from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.29$ 3), +0.18 3 (from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ 1973Su10). $\delta=0.18$ is unlikely since it gives $B(E2)(W.u.)$ much larger than RUL( $E2$ )=300. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.308$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.0287$ 3 (2005Ra29). ( $136\gamma(66\gamma)(\theta)$ : $A_2=+0.038$ 4, $A_4=+0.003$ 3 (1983Si25)).
81.15 <sup>&amp;</sup> 9	0.0144 11	279.5428	$5/2^-$	198.6063	$1/2^-$	E2		1.72	$\alpha(K)=1.472$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.213$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0322$ 5; $\alpha(N)=0.00211$ 3 <b>Mult.:</b> from $\alpha(K)\exp=1.477$ 23 (2005Ra29); $\delta(E2/M1)>8.5$ .
96.7340 <sup>#</sup> 9	5.855 30	400.6583	$5/2^+$	303.9243	$9/2^+$	E2		0.893	$\alpha(K)=0.772$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.1044$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.01576$ 22; $\alpha(N)=0.001058$ 15 <b>Additional information 7.</b> Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.86$ 11. Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.613$ 1 (2005Ra29).
121.1155 <sup>#</sup> 11	29.21 15	400.6583	$5/2^+$	279.5428	$5/2^-$	E1		0.0417	$\alpha(K)=0.0372$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00388$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000588$ 9; $\alpha(N)=4.37\times10^{-5}$ 7 <b>Additional information 8.</b> Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp$ (1960Gr03) and K-shell particle parameters from (121ce(K))(280 $\gamma$ ( $\theta$ )) (1969Be23, 1968Sp02). Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0384$ 3, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.00385$ 4, $\alpha(M)\exp=0.00062$ 1 (2005Ra29).
136.0001 <sup>#</sup> 6	99.4 5	400.6583	$5/2^+$	264.6581	$3/2^-$	E1		0.0295	$\alpha(K)=0.0263$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00274$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000415$ 6; $\alpha(N)=3.10\times10^{-5}$ 5 <b>Additional information 9.</b> Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp$ (1960Gr03) and K-shell particle

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{75}\text{As})</math> (continued)</u>									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^c$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^{\dagger\ddagger}$	Comments
198.6060 <sup>#</sup> 12	2.540 13	198.6063	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.389 17	0.0208 6	parameters from (136ce(K))(265 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ) (1969Be23, 1968Sp02). Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0250$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.00249$ 2, $\alpha(M)\exp=0.000382$ 4 (2005Ra29).
									$\alpha(K)=0.0184$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00202$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000307$ 9; $\alpha(N)=2.28\times 10^{-5}$ 7
									<b>Additional information 1.</b>
									$\delta$ : from B(E2) and $T_{1/2}$ (199 level). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0186$ 11 gives 0.39 5. Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0166$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.00122$ 4 (2005Ra29).
									(66 $\gamma$ )(199 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): $A_2=-0.013$ 27, $A_4=+0.004$ 5 (1973Su10).
249.4 <sup>a</sup> 3	0.000015 <sup>a</sup> 3	821.73	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	572.41	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.017 10	$\alpha(K)=0.015$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0017$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.00026$ 15; $\alpha(N)=1.9\times 10^{-5}$ 11
									<b>Additional information 16.</b>
264.6576 <sup>#</sup> 9	100.0 5	264.6581	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-0.07 2	0.00718 11	$\alpha(K)=0.00639$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.000675$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0001030$ 16; $\alpha(N)=7.83\times 10^{-6}$ 12
									<b>Additional information 3.</b>
									$\delta$ : unweighted average of 0.16 5 (from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0067$ 2), 0.0362 15 (from $T_{1/2}$ and B(E2) 1967Ro14), -0.01 4 ( $\gamma(\theta)$ in $(\gamma,\gamma')$ 1967La07) and following $\delta$ values from (136 $\gamma$ )(265 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): -0.043 6 (1968Sp02), -0.044 17 (1968Vi02), -0.061 18 (1969Be23), -0.043 25 (1969Ra12), -0.15 4 (1973Su10). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0062$ , $\alpha(L)\exp=0.00054$ 1 (2005Ra29).
									(136 $\gamma$ )(265 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): $A_2=-0.033$ 1, $A_4=+0.001$ 2 (1968Sp02).
279.5422 <sup>#</sup> 10	42.48 22	279.5428	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-0.49 3	0.0084 3	$\alpha(K)=0.00751$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.000807$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.000123$ 4; $\alpha(N)=9.2\times 10^{-6}$ 3
									<b>Additional information 5.</b>
									$\delta$ : unweighted average of 0.61 6 (from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0083$ 4), 0.60 5 (from $T_{1/2}$ and B(E2) 1967Ro14), -0.42 8 (from $\gamma(\theta)$ in $(\gamma,\gamma')$ 1967La07), -0.50 14 ( $\gamma(\theta)$ in Coul. ex.) and following values from (121 $\gamma$ )(280 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): -0.48 3 (1968Sp02), -0.39 1 (1969Be23), -0.45 3 (1973Su10), -0.48 4 (1989Mo14). Other: -0.250 9 (1968Vi02). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0062$ 1 (2005Ra29).
									(121 $\gamma$ )(280 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): $A_2=-0.431$ 10 (1989Mo14).
303.9236 <sup>#</sup> 10	2.232 12	303.9243	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E3		0.0538	$\alpha(K)=0.0469$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00592$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.000899$ 13; $\alpha(N)=6.30\times 10^{-5}$ 9
									<b>Additional information 6.</b>
									Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.048$ 3. Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.045$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.00473$ 8 (2005Ra29).

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29 (continued)

12

<u><math>\gamma(^{75}\text{As})</math></u> (continued)									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^c$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^{\ddagger\ddagger}$	Comments
373.86 <sup>&amp; 6</sup>	0.0043 2	572.41	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	198.6063	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		0.00651	$\alpha(K)=0.00580$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.000628$ 9; $\alpha(M)=9.54\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(N)=7.09\times 10^{-6}$ 11 <a href="#">Additional information 12</a> .
400.6572 <sup># 8</sup>	19.38 10	400.6583	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		0.00135	$\alpha(K)=0.001202$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0001241$ 18; $\alpha(M)=1.89\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N)=1.432\times 10^{-6}$ <a href="#">Additional information 10</a> . Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0013$ 1. Other: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00123$ 2, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.000126$ 4 (2005Ra29).
419.08 <sup>&amp; 4</sup>	0.0210 4	617.68	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	198.6063	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	<0.35	0.00258 24	$\alpha(K)=0.00230$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.000241$ 23; $\alpha(M)=3.7\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N)=2.8\times 10^{-6}$ 3 <a href="#">Additional information 14</a> . Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0018$ 4 (1970Pa25), 0.0020 6 (2005Ra29).
468.6 <sup>@ 4</sup>	0.00062 10	468.6	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.0025 7	$\alpha(K)=0.0022$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00023$ 7; $\alpha(M)=3.5\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(N)=2.7\times 10^{-6}$ 8 <a href="#">Additional information 11</a> .
542.4 <sup>a 4</sup>	0.000022 <sup>a</sup> 3	821.73	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	279.5428	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.0016 4	$\alpha(K)=0.0015$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00015$ 4; $\alpha(M)=2.3\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(N)=1.8\times 10^{-6}$ 4 <a href="#">Additional information 17</a> .
557.8 <sup>a 9</sup>	$1.6\times 10^{-6}$ <sup>a</sup> 5	821.73	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	264.6581	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		0.00183	$\alpha(K)=0.001628$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.000172$ 3; $\alpha(M)=2.62\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N)=1.97\times 10^{-6}$ 3 <a href="#">Additional information 18</a> .
572.40 <sup>&amp; 3</sup>	0.0614 6	572.41	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	+0.39 5	0.00122 3	$\alpha(K)=0.001093$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.0001138$ 23; $\alpha(M)=1.73\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N)=1.32\times 10^{-6}$ <a href="#">Additional information 13</a> . $\delta$ : from $(\gamma(\theta))$ in Coul. ex., 1967Ro14). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0010$ 1 from 1970Pa25 and 0.0010 3 from 2005Ra29 give M1+E2 with $\delta<1$ .
617.67 <sup>&amp; 7</sup>	0.00765 15	617.68	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1,E2		0.00116 20	$\alpha(K)=0.00103$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.000108$ 20; $\alpha(M)=1.7\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N)=1.25\times 10^{-6}$ 22 <a href="#">Additional information 15</a> .
821.6 <sup>@ 2</sup>	0.000233 15	821.73	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		0.00063	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00086$ 33 (2005Ra29). $\alpha(K)=0.000558$ 8; $\alpha(L)=5.82\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(M)=8.87\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(N)=6.73\times 10^{-7}$ 10 <a href="#">Additional information 19</a> .

<sup>†</sup> [Additional information 20](#).<sup>‡</sup> Theoretical values from BrIcc code.

<sup>75</sup>Se  $\varepsilon$  decay (119.78 d)    [1990Me15](#),[1991BaZS](#),[2005Ra29](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{75}\text{As})$  (continued)

<sup>#</sup> From [2000He14](#), based on a revised energy scale that uses the new fundamental constants and wave lengths from an updated value of the lattice spacing of silicon crystals ([1987Co39](#)). [2000He14](#) fitted the revised  $\gamma$ -ray energies to a level scheme. The values given here are their recommended level-energy differences.

<sup>@</sup> From [1977Pr08](#).

<sup>&</sup> From [2005Ra29](#).

<sup>a</sup> From Adopted Gammas. This  $\gamma$  ray was reported by [2005Ra29](#) and [1977Pr08](#) with an intensity much larger than expected from Adopted Gammas, where the branching ratio is taken from Coulomb excitation which populates 822 level strongly. The evaluators thus consider the observation of this  $\gamma$  ray in [2005Ra29](#) and [1977Pr08](#) as suspect.

<sup>b</sup> From measured conversion coefficients determined by evaluators from relative conversion electron and photon intensities, using  $\alpha(K)(121\gamma)=0.0372$  and  $\alpha(K)(136\gamma)=0.0263$  theoretical values (from BrIcc) for E1 multipolarity to normalize scales for the electron and photon intensities. The electron intensities used were weighted averages (LWM) from [1955Sc09](#), [1959Me76](#), [1960De06](#), [1960Gr03](#), and [1961Ed02](#). Intensities from [2005Ra29](#) were not included due to many inconsistencies in this paper. Only in a few cases their  $\alpha(K)\exp$  values have been used.

<sup>c</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.589 3.

<sup>d</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{75}\text{Se} \epsilon$  decay (119.78 d) 1990Me15,1991BaZS,2005Ra29