## <sup>73</sup>Zn $\beta^-$ decay (24.5 s) 2017Ve05,1983Ru06

	Hist	ory	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 158, 1 (2019)	16-May-2019

Parent: <sup>73</sup>Zn: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=1/2^{-}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=24.5$  s 2;  $Q(\beta^{-})=4105.9$  25;  $\%\beta^{-}$  decay=100.0

 $^{73}$ Zn-J<sup> $\pi$ </sup>,T<sub>1/2</sub>: From Adopted Levels of  $^{73}$ Zn, where half-life is from measurement by 2017Ve05.

2017Ve05: <sup>73</sup>Zn ions were produced by the collision of 1.4 GeV protons on the neutron converter of a UC<sub>x</sub> target at the ISOLDE-CERN facility. Fragments were separated and selected by a magnetic high-resolution mass separator (HRS).  $\gamma$  rays were detected with two HPGe detectors for  $\gamma$ -ray identification and with two LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) crystals for fast-timing;  $\beta$  particles were detected with an ultrafast plastic scintillator. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , E $\beta$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\beta\gamma(t)$ ,  $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels,  $T_{1/2}$ ,  $\beta$ - decay branching ratios, log *ft*,  $\gamma$  transition strengths. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.

1983Ru06: <sup>73</sup>Zn source was produced by bombarding a 23 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> <sup>nat</sup>W target with a 9 MeV/nucleon <sup>76</sup>Ge beam from the UNILAC accelerator at GSI.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with two Ge detectors and  $\beta$  particles were detected with a plastic scintillator. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , E $\beta$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta\gamma$ (t). Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ -decay branching ratios, log *ft*. Comparisons with available data.

Others:

2010Di14: re-analyzed data from the measurement by 2009Va01 for <sup>73</sup>Zn decay, which is not reported in 2009Va01.

1972Er05: measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , E $\beta$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta$ (t). Deduced parent T<sub>1/2</sub>.

The total average radiation energy of 4113 keV 98 calculated using the RADLIST code agrees well the expected value of  $Q(\beta^{-})=4105.9 \text{ keV } 25 \text{ (2017Wa10)}$  indicating the completeness of the decay scheme.

### <sup>73</sup>Ga Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @	$T_{1/2}^{\&}$	Comments
0.0#	1/2-		
<0.3 <sup>#</sup>	3/2-		E(level): from 2017Ve05.
198.65 20	5/2-		
217.69 10	3/2-	47 ps 6	
495.93 18	5/2-,7/2-	22 ps 6	
911.03 18	3/2-	≤28 ps	
1113.6 3	$1/2^{-}$		
1393.07 <sup>‡</sup> 21	$(5/2^{-})$		
1692.85 20	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-})$		
1721.54 <sup>‡</sup> 22	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-})$		
1924.61 20	1/2-,3/2-		
1980.01 <sup>‡</sup> 21	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-})$		
2109.07 20	3/2-		
2246.2 <sup>‡</sup> 3	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2466.5 <sup>‡</sup> 3	$(3/2^+)$		
2770.38 <sup>‡</sup> 24	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$		
2814.6 <sup>‡</sup> 4			
2986.8 <i>3</i>	$(1/2, 3/2)^{-}$		
3099.1 <sup>‡</sup> 4	$(1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-})$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies for levels populated in  $\gamma$ -ray studies. Several ground-transitions could decay to either the g.s. or the level at <0.3 keV. In the fitting procedure final level energy is assumed as 0.15 keV *15* to cover the range up to 0.3 keV.

<sup>‡</sup> Levels proposed by 2017Ve05.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of a level near the g.s. is deduced from the observed Doppler-broadening of 199.2 $\gamma$  from the 199.2, 5/2<sup>-</sup> level in Coulomb excitation (2010Di14), which restricts the lifetime of the 5/2<sup>-</sup> level considerably smaller than 3.5 ns (maximum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup>Zn-Q( $\beta^{-}$ ): From 2017Wa10.

#### <sup>73</sup>Zn $β^-$ decay (24.5 s) 2017Ve05,1983Ru06 (continued)

### <sup>73</sup>Ga Levels (continued)

time-of-flight between target and detector) consistent with the lifetime=3.3 ps from Weisskopf estimate for a pure 199-keV M1 transition but not with 13 ns 2 from measured B(E2)(W.u.)=11 2 for the assumption of a pure E2 to  $1/2^-$  g.s. Energy of the closely-spaced level near the g.s. is estimated as <0.3 keV from 2017Ve05 in <sup>73</sup>Zn  $\beta^-$  decay based on a search for energy differences between  $\gamma$  cascades deexciting the same level but proceeding through distinct paths, and also based on a search for doublets in  $\gamma$ -ray spectra. The energy of this level is also estimated by 2010Di14 as 0.4 keV 4 (or <0.8 keV) using the approach based on energy differences between  $\gamma$  cascades.

<sup>@</sup> From Adopted Levels. Assignments adopted only from this dataset are also noted separately.

<sup>&</sup> From  $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$  in 2017Ve05 using the Advanced Time-Delayed method with an ultrafast plastic scintillator and LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) detector.

#### $\beta^{-}$ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Ιβ <sup>-†‡</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(1007 3)	3099.1	0.033 14	6.0 2	av E $\beta$ =364.1 11
(1119 3)	2986.8	0.18 5	5.4 1	av E $\beta$ =412.3 11
(1291 3)	2814.6	0.020 11	6.6 3	av E $\beta$ =487.5 12
(1336 3)	2770.38	0.19 5	5.7 1	av $E\beta = 507.1 \ 12$
(1639 3)	2466.5	0.046 16	6.7 2	av E $\beta$ =643.6 12
(1860 3)	2246.2	0.10 3	6.6 1	av E $\beta$ =744.5 12
(1997 3)	2109.07	2.6 7	5.3 1	av E $\beta$ =807.8 12
(2126 3)	1980.01	0.58 14	6.0 1	av E $\beta$ =867.9 12
(2181 3)	1924.61	0.77 19	6.0 1	av E $\beta$ =893.7 12
(2384 3)	1721.54	0.27 7	6.6 1	av E $\beta$ =988.9 12
(2413 3)	1692.85	0.77 19	6.2 1	av E $\beta$ =1002.5 12
(2713 3)	1393.07	0.013 15	8.1 5	av E $\beta$ =1144.2 <i>12</i>
(2992 3)	1113.6	0.32 8	6.9 <i>1</i>	av E $\beta$ =1277.1 12
(3195 3)	911.03	1.8 5	6.3 1	av E $\beta$ =1374.0 12
(3610 3)	495.93	0.05 14	8.1 <i>13</i>	av E $\beta$ =1573.2 12
(3888 3)	217.69	5.6 14	6.2 1	av E $\beta$ =1707.2 12
(3907 3)	198.65	0.10 4	7.9 2	av E $\beta$ =1716.3 <i>12</i>
(4105.9 25)	0.0	86.6 <i>30</i>	5.10 2	av E $\beta$ =1812.1 12
				E(decay): end-point energy of the detected $\beta^-$ radiation is 4700 200 (1972Er05), not from a normal Kurie plot, see 1984Be10. 1984Be10 quote $E\beta \approx 4500$ from data of 1972Er05 if a Kurie plot is used.

89 deduced by 1983Ru06 from comparison of single  $\beta$ -rays and  $\beta$ -coincident

 $\gamma$ -rays assuming a 100% branch of the 450-keV transition following <sup>73</sup>Cu decay.

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$ -ray intensity imbalance at each level except for I $\beta$ (g.s. doublet)=86.6 30 which is deduced by 2017Ve05 based on known absolute intensities of the most intense transitions (325.7 $\gamma$ , 739.4 $\gamma$ , and 767.8 $\gamma$ ) in <sup>73</sup>Ge from the adopted dataset of <sup>73</sup>Ga  $\beta$  decay in ENSDF (2004 update; remain unchanged in current update), with the observed <sup>73</sup>Zn activity corrected for saturation using known T<sub>1/2</sub> of <sup>73</sup>Ga for each implantation pulse.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

# $\gamma(^{73}\text{Ga})$

I $\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma I(\gamma \text{ to g.s. doublet})=100-I\beta(g.s. doublet)=13.4$ *30* $assuming negligible contributions from conversion electrons, with I<math>\beta$ (g.s. doublet)=86.6 *30*, deduced by 2017Ve05 based on known absolute intensities of the most intense transitions (325.7 $\gamma$ , 739.4 $\gamma$ , and 767.8 $\gamma$ ) in <sup>73</sup>Ge from the adopted dataset of <sup>73</sup>Ga  $\beta$  decay in ENSDF (2004 update; remain unchanged in current update), with the observed <sup>73</sup>Zn activity corrected for saturation using known T<sub>1/2</sub> of <sup>73</sup>Ga for each implantation pulse.

			$^{73}$ Zn $\beta^-$ d	ecay (24.5	s) <b>2017</b>	Ve05,1983Ru06 (continued)	
$\gamma$ <sup>(73</sup> Ga) (continued)							
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \#}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Comments	
198.4 <sup>‡</sup> 2	2.8 <i>2</i>	198.65	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	<0.3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 217.4 2 (2017Ve05), 217.9 2 (2010Di14) and 218.1 2 (1983Ru06).	
217.8 <sup>‡</sup> 2	100 <i>3</i>	217.69	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		
278.1 3	1.8 2	495.93	5/2-,7/2-	217.69	3/2-	<ul> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: from 1983Ru06. Other: 100 5 from 2017/ve05.</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 277.9 3 (2017Ve05) and 278.4 4 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 2.1 3 (2017Ve05) and 1.6 2</li> </ul>	
297.3 2	0.2 <i>1</i>	495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	198.65	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>(1983Ru06).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 415.2 2 (2017Ve05) and 415.2 4 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: unweighted average of 2.3 1 (2017Ve05) and 1.7 2</li> </ul>	
415.2 2	2.0 <i>3</i>	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>		
482.2 2	0.1 <i>1</i>	1393.07	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>(1983Ru06).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 495.6 <i>I</i> (2017Ve05), 496.2 <i>2</i> (2010Di14) and 495.6 <i>3</i> (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 28.4 <i>14</i> (2017Ve05) and 24.7 <i>13</i> (1983Ru06).</li> </ul>	
495.7 <sup>‡</sup> 2	26.4 <i>19</i>	495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	<0.3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
579.3 <i>3</i> 586.6 <sup>@</sup> <i>5</i> 608.4 <sup>@</sup> <i>5</i> 693.4 <i>1</i>	0.1 <i>I</i> 0.1 <i>I</i> 0.1 <i>I</i> 7.0 <i>7</i>	1692.85 1980.01 1721.54 911.03	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$ $(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$ $(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$ $3/2^-$	1113.6 1393.07 1113.6 217.69	1/2 <sup>-</sup> (5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 1/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 693.4 <i>I</i> (2017Ve05), 693.3 <i>3</i> (2010Di14) and 693.1 <i>3</i> (1983Ru06). I <sub>γ</sub> : unweighted average of 7.7 <i>4</i> (2017Ve05) and 6.3 <i>4</i> (1983Ru06)	
716.1 2	0.8 <i>I</i>	2109.07	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1393.07	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: unweighted average of 910.6 <i>1</i> (2017Ve05), 911.4 <i>2</i> (2010Di14) and 910.5 <i>4</i> (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 31.6 <i>16</i> (2017Ve05) and 31.9 <i>13</i> (1983Ru06)</li> </ul>	
781.7 2	1.0 <i>I</i>	1692.85	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
810.5 4	0.3 <i>I</i>	1721.54	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
910.8 <sup>‡</sup> 3	31.8 <i>I3</i>	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	<0.3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1013.4 <i>4</i>	0.3 <i>1</i>	1924.61	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1113.6 2 (2017Ve05) and 1113.0 4 (1983Ru06); unplaced in 1983Ru06.</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 5.3 4 (2017Ve05) and 4.9 5</li> </ul>	
1069.7 <i>3</i>	0.2 <i>1</i>	1980.01	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1113.5 <sup>‡</sup> <i>3</i>	5.1 <i>4</i>	1113.6	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1194.2 <i>4</i>	0.2 <i>1</i>	1393.07	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	198.65	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>(1983Ru06).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1198.1 <i>4</i> (2017Ve05) and 1197.3 <i>4</i> (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 11.9 <i>23</i> (2017Ve05) and 12.9 <i>9</i></li> </ul>	
1196.9 <i>3</i>	5.4 8	1692.85	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1197.7 <i>4</i>	12.8 9	2109.07	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	911.03	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1392.9 <sup>‡</sup> 3	0.9 <i>1</i>	1393.07	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>(1263Ru00).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1428.7 2 (2017Ve05) and 1428.3 5 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: unweighted average of 2.9 2 (2017Ve05) and 1.5 4</li> </ul>	
1428.6 2	2.2 7	1924.61	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1475.1 <i>3</i>	2.6 2	1692.85	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	217.69	3/2-	<ul> <li>(1983Ru06).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1475.2 2 (2017Ve05) and 1474.3 5 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 2.6 2 (2017Ve05) and 2.2 5</li> </ul>	
1483.9 <i>3</i>	1.8 2	1980.01	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	495.93	5/2-,7/2-	(1983Ku06).	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{73}_{31}\text{Ga}_{42}$ -4

<sup>73</sup> Zn $β^-$ decay (24.5 s) 2017Ve05,1983Ru06 (continued)							
$\gamma$ <sup>(73</sup> Ga) (continued)							
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \#}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^\pi$	Comments	
1493.5 <sup>@</sup> 6 1504.0 3 1593.7 5 1613.1 2	0.1 <i>1</i> 0.6 <i>1</i> 0.2 <i>1</i> 15.7 9	1692.85 1721.54 2986.8 2109.07	$ \begin{array}{c} (1/2^-,3/2^-)\\(1/2^-,3/2^-)\\(1/2,3/2)^-\\3/2^- \end{array} $	198.65 217.69 1393.07 495.93	5/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> (5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1613.1 2 (2017Ve05) and 1612.9 4 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 16.3 <i>13</i> (2017Ve05) and 15.4 9</li> </ul>	
1692.8 <sup>‡</sup> 2	2.7 4	1692.85	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	1/2-	<ul> <li>(1983Ru06).</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1692.8 2 (2017Ve05) and 1692.5 6 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 2.6 2 (2017Ve05) and 3.6 5</li> </ul>	
1707.0 <i>4</i> 1721.3 <sup>‡</sup> 2 1726.0 <i>4</i> 1761.6 <i>5</i> 1859.5 <i>3</i> 1873.0 <i>5</i> 1891.3 <i>5</i>	0.3 <i>1</i> 3.2 <i>3</i> 0.1 <i>1</i> 0.6 <i>2</i> 0.3 <i>1</i> 0.3 <i>1</i> 0.8 <i>1</i>	1924.61 1721.54 1924.61 1980.01 2770.38 2986.8 2109.07	$1/2^{-},3/2^{-}$ $(1/2^{-},3/2^{-})$ $1/2^{-},3/2^{-}$ $(1/2^{-},3/2^{-})$ $(1/2^{-},3/2^{-})$ $(1/2,3/2)^{-}$ $3/2^{-}$	217.69 <0.3 198.65 217.69 911.03 1113.6 217.69	3/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 1/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	(1983Ru06).	
1924.5 <sup>‡</sup> 2	8.7 7	1924.61	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2-	E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 1924.5 2 (2017Ve05) and 1924.7 8 (1983Ru06). I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 8.1 7 (2017Ve05) and 9.3 7 (1983Ru06)	
1970.5 7	0.2 1	2466.5	$(3/2^+)$	495.93	5/2-,7/2-	(1965)(400).	
1979.7 <sup>‡</sup> 2	6.2 5	1980.01	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.3	3/2-	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 1979.7 2 (2017Ve05) and 1979.9 8 (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 6.2 5 (2017Ve05) and 6.2 6 (1983Ru06).</li> </ul>	
2028.3 5	0.4 1	2246.2	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	217.69	3/2-	(-,).	
2108.9 <sup>‡</sup> 2	10.8 <i>13</i>	2109.07	3/2-	0.0	1/2-	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 2108.9 2 (2017Ve05) and 2109 <i>I</i> (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: unweighted average of 9.5 8 (2017Ve05) and 12.1 9 (1983Ru06).</li> </ul>	
2246.1 <sup>‡</sup> 3 2248.6 5 2274.3 3 *2343 6 3	1.1 2 0.3 <i>I</i> 1.1 <i>I</i> 2.4 2	2246.2 2466.5 2770.38	$(1/2^-,3/2^-)$ $(3/2^+)$ $(1/2^-,3/2^-)$	0.0 217.69 495.93	1/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	L : other: 2.3.4 (1983Ru06)	
$2466.4^{\ddagger} 3$ $2571.2 7$ $2616.1 5$ $2769.3^{\textcircled{0}} 5$	0.2 <i>I</i> 0.1 <i>I</i> 0.1 <i>I</i> 0.2 <i>I</i>	2466.5 2770.38 2814.6 2986.8	$(3/2^+)$ $(1/2^-,3/2^-)$ $(1/2,3/2)^-$	0.0 198.65 198.65 217.69	1/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	<i>γ</i> . outer. 2.3 + (1965Ku06).	
2770.2 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1.4 <i>3</i>	2770.38	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	1/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ : this transition from 2017Ve05 could correspond to the transition of 2772 <i>3</i> with I $\gamma$ =1.7 <i>3</i> placed from a 2990 level in 1983Ru06.	
2787.9 <i>4</i> 2814.2 <sup>‡</sup> <i>5</i> 2881.7 <i>5</i> 2900.2 <i>5</i>	0.4 <i>1</i> 0.2 <i>1</i> 0.1 <i>1</i> 0.2 <i>1</i>	2986.8 2814.6 3099.1 3099.1	$(1/2,3/2)^{-}$ $(1/2^{-},3/2^{-})$ $(1/2^{-},3/2^{-})$	198.65 <0.3 217.69 198.65	5/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
2986.7 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1.9 2	2986.8	(1/2,3/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1/2-	<ul> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average of 2986.7 <i>3</i> (2017Ve05) and 2989 <i>3</i> (1983Ru06).</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: other: 2.1 <i>3</i> for the 2989 transition in 1983Ru06. Note that 2017Ve05 also observe a transition of 2900.2 placed from 3099 level.</li> </ul>	
3098.8 <sup>‡</sup> 6	0.2 1	3099.1	$(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$	< 0.3	3/2-		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## <sup>73</sup>Zn $β^-$ decay (24.5 s) 2017Ve05,1983Ru06 (continued)

 $\gamma$ (<sup>73</sup>Ga) (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From 2017Ve05, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Final level could be the g.s. and/or closely-spaced level at <0.3 keV according to data in 2017Ve05. In the least-squares fitting procedure, the final level is assumed to be at 0.15 keV *15* to cover the range up to 0.3 keV.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.066 15.

<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $^{x} \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



From ENSDF

 $^{73}_{31}{
m Ga}_{42}$ -6

 $^{73}_{31}{
m Ga}_{42}$ -6