

^{72}Br ε decay 1974Co14,1974Ha04

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 111,1 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{72}Br : E=0; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=78.6$ s 24; $Q(\varepsilon)=8799$ 7; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

The assumption that there is no ground state feeding will lead to a 5% direct feeding of the 1637 keV level with $J^\pi=4^+$, as well as a direct feeding of about 20% to the first 2^+ levels. As a result, the ground state of ^{72}Br could be characterized as having $J^\pi=3^+$. A recent experiment by Piqueras et al (2003Pi03) points to a $J^\pi=1^+$ for the ground state ^{72}Br based on the decay of ^{72}Kr . This result will in turn mean that the ground state feeding of ^{72}Se is not zero. In consequence, all gamma and beta intensities, as well as log ft values should be taken as approximate.

The decay scheme is based on $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence measurements with Ge(Li)-NaI(Tl) (1974Co14).

$\gamma\gamma(t)$ for 936.8 level (1974Ha04).

 ^{72}Se Levels

J^π : from Adopted Levels.

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0^+		
862.01 17	2^+		
937.0 3	0^+	15.8 ns 10	E(level): placed by arguments from $\gamma\gamma$ data. $T_{1/2}$: from delayed $\gamma\gamma$ between 1062 and 862 γ 's (1974Ha04), with the assumption that $T_{1/2}$ of the 862 level is comparatively short.
1316.78 20	2^+		
1636.8 3	4^+		
1876.2 3	(2,4)		
1998.7 3	2^+		
2150.1 8	(2 $^+$)		
2371.5 3			
2433.2 4	3^-		
2586.1 3	(3)		
2965.6 3			
3123.9 3	(4 $^+$)		
3226.1 3	(2,3,4 $^+$)		
3239.3 9			E(level): level suggested by coincidence spectra but argument complex.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \frac{\dagger}{\ddagger}$	$I\varepsilon \frac{\dagger}{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \frac{\dagger\dagger}{\ddagger\ddagger}$	Comments
(5560 7)	3239.3	<3.2	<0.038	>6.6	<3.2	av $E\beta=2090.4$ 35; $\varepsilon K=0.01051$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.001192$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002332$ 1
(5573 7)	3226.1	4.5 7	0.054 8	6.45 7	4.6 7	av $E\beta=2096.7$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.01042$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.001182$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002312$ 1
(5675 7)	3123.9	11.2 9	0.125 10	6.10 4	11.3 9	av $E\beta=2146.2$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.00976$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.001108$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0002166$ 1
(5833 7)	2965.6	4.9 24	0.049 24	6.53 22	4.9 24	av $E\beta=2222.8$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.00885$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.001004$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001963$ 9
(6213 7)	2586.1	2.8 19	0.023 15	6.9 3	2.8 19	av $E\beta=2407.0$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.00708$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.000803$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001570$ 7
(6366 7)	2433.2	5.1 4	0.038 3	6.73 4	5.1 4	av $E\beta=2481.4$ 35; $\varepsilon K=0.00650$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.000737$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001442$ 6
(6428 7)	2371.5	12.5 13	0.090 9	6.36 5	12.6 13	av $E\beta=2511.4$ 35; $\varepsilon K=0.006286$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.000713$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0001394$ 6
(6649# 7)	2150.1	<2.9	<0.018	>7.1	<2.9	av $E\beta=2619.3$ 35; $\varepsilon K=0.005586$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.0006335$ 2;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{72}Br ε decay 1974Co14,1974Ha04 (continued)

 ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\ddagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \ddagger$	Comments
(6800 7)	1998.7	6.2 11	0.036 6	6.80 8	6.2 11	$\varepsilon M+=0.0001239 5$ av $E\beta=2693.1 35$; $\varepsilon K=0.005167 19$; $\varepsilon L=0.0005859 2$;
(7162 7)	1636.8	5.1 9	0.025 4	7.01 8	5.1 9	$\varepsilon M+=0.0001146 4$ av $E\beta=2869.9 35$; $\varepsilon K=0.004322 15$; $\varepsilon L=0.0004900 1$;
(7482 7)	1316.78	20.0 19	0.085 8	6.51 5	20.1 19	$\varepsilon M+=9.58 \times 10^{-5} 4$ av $E\beta=3026.5 35$; $\varepsilon K=0.003722 12$; $\varepsilon L=0.0004219 1$;
(7937 7)	862.01	22.6 14	0.079 5	6.60 3	22.7 14	$\varepsilon M+=8.25 \times 10^{-5} 3$ av $E\beta=3249.5 35$; $\varepsilon K=0.003046 9$; $\varepsilon L=0.0003453 1$; $\varepsilon M+=6.751 \times 10^{-5} 21$

[†] From intensity balance.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{72}\text{Se})$

I_γ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ (to g.s.)=100, assuming no ε decay to g.s. nor 937, 0⁺ levels.

E_γ	$I_\gamma \dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha @$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} \#$	Comments
75 2		937.0	0 ⁺	862.01	2 ⁺	[E2]	2.45	9.0 [†] 27	I_γ : peak contamination and unknown detector efficiency prevent determination. Mult.: from adopted J^π values.
379.9 & 3	5.1 & 8	1316.78	2 ⁺	937.0	0 ⁺				
379.9 & a 3	<5.1 &	2965.6		2586.1	(3)				
454.7 3	18.7 11	1316.78	2 ⁺	862.01	2 ⁺				
512 ^a 2	2.9 11	2150.1	(2 ⁺)	1636.8	4 ⁺				E_γ, I_γ : tentative transition, E_γ from $E(\text{level})$ difference and I_γ from $\gamma\gamma$ only.
537.6 3	1.8 6	3123.9	(4 ⁺)	2586.1	(3)				
559.3 3	3.7 4	1876.2	(2,4)	1316.78	2 ⁺				
710.2 4	2.3 5	2586.1	(3)	1876.2	(2,4)				
752.8 4	4.2 6	3123.9	(4 ⁺)	2371.5					
774.8 3	10.1 6	1636.8	4 ⁺	862.01	2 ⁺				E_γ : obscured by 834 γ from ^{72}As .
832 2	2.9 11	2150.1	(2 ⁺)	1316.78	2 ⁺				
862.0 2	100	862.01	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				Mult.: from total conversion; see Adopted Levels.
(937)		937.0	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0	3.3 [†] 17		
1014.0 8	1.0 5	1876.2	(2,4)	862.01	2 ⁺				
1054.7 3	5.3 9	2371.5		1316.78	2 ⁺				
1061.6 3	7.9 7	1998.7	2 ⁺	937.0	0 ⁺				
1089.2 & 3	<4.5 &	2965.6		1876.2	(2,4)				E_γ : possible multiplet from coincidence data.
1089.2 & 3	<4.5 &	3239.3		2150.1	(2 ⁺)				E_γ : multiplet nature of 1089 γ suggested by coincidence data.
1125.1 3	7.6 8	3123.9	(4 ⁺)	1998.7	2 ⁺				
1136.4 4	10.0 10	1998.7	2 ⁺	862.01	2 ⁺				
1227.3 4	1.5 6	3226.1	(2,3,4 ⁺)	1998.7	2 ⁺				
1269.5 5	1.2 6	2586.1	(3)	1316.78	2 ⁺				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{72}Br ε decay 1974Co14, 1974Ha04 (continued) **$\gamma(^{72}\text{Se})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
1316.7 3	24.6 15	1316.78	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	1807.4 6	2.5 5	3123.9	(4 ⁺)	1316.78	2 ⁺
1349.9 3	3.2 6	3226.1	(2,3,4 ⁺)	1876.2	(2,4)	1909.4 7	1.9 5	3226.1	(2,3,4 ⁺)	1316.78	2 ⁺
1433.6 10	1.4 5	2371.5		937.0	0 ⁺	2150.7 10	1.4 4	2150.1	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺
1509.8 4	4.7 8	2371.5		862.01	2 ⁺	2371.9 7	10.7 11	2371.5		0.0	0 ⁺
1571.3 4	5.4 4	2433.2	3 ⁻	862.01	2 ⁺	2432.7 8	1.8 4	2433.2	3 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺
1648.5 5	2.2 6	2965.6		1316.78	2 ⁺	^x 2465.0 8	1.4 4				
1724.0 5	4.9 4	2586.1	(3)	862.01	2 ⁺						

[†] From $I(\gamma+\text{ce})(75+937)=\Sigma I_\gamma$ (feeding the 937 level) and $I(\gamma+\text{ce})(937\gamma)/I(\gamma+\text{ce})(75\gamma)=0.37$ 23, from a comparison of singles and $\gamma\gamma$ intensities (1974Ha04). $I_\gamma(379.9\gamma)$ feeding the 937 level is taken as 3.0 30.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.702.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

& Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{72}\text{Br} \epsilon$ decay 1974Co14,1974Ha04

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

- I $_{\gamma}$ < 2% $\times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- I $_{\gamma}$ < 10% $\times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- I $_{\gamma}$ > 10% $\times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

