

^{72}Zn β^- decay 1968Kj02,1969Ku08

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. Abriola(a), A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 111,1 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{72}Zn : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=46.5$ h I ; $Q(\beta^-)=442.8$ 23; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

The decay scheme is mainly from 1968Kj02.

α : [Additional information 2](#).

[Additional information 1](#).

 ^{72}Ga Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$	Comments
0	3^-	14.10 h 2	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
16.4 3	2^-	39.2 ns 7	
119.2 4	(0^+)	35 ms 6	
128.5 4	1,2		E(level): level confirmed by $^{71}\text{Ga}(n,\gamma)$.
161.1 4	1^+	0.59 ns 3	
207.9 4	1^+	<0.19 ns	

† From $\beta\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ delayed coincidences (1969Ku08), except where noted otherwise.

 β^- radiations

$\beta\gamma$ by 1963De11, 1963Is03, 1963Th03 and 1969Ku08.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^\ddagger$	Log ft	Comments
249	207.9	14.7 3	4.972 17	av $E\beta=67.44$ 76 E(decay): deduced value. $E\beta^-$ endpoint ≈ 250 has been measured by 1963Is03.
296 6	161.1	85.1 2	4.468 12	av $E\beta=82.74$ 80 E(decay): from 1963Th03.
(314.3 ‡ 23)	128.5	0.21 13	7.2 3	av $E\beta=93.76$ 80
(323.6 ‡ 23)	119.2	<0.01	>8.6	av $E\beta=96.93$ 80

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{72}\text{Ga})$

I_γ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ to 16.4)=100. From $\log f^{4u}_t > 8.5$, one expects $I(\beta^- \text{ to } 16.4) < 0.01\%$.

$\gamma\gamma$ -coin measurements by 1963Is03, 1963Kj01, 1963Th03, 1968Kj02 and 1969Ku08.

The $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ values given in comments have been recalculated by the evaluators following 1974A134 from the data of 1968Kj02 with $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.104$ 7 for $^{99}\text{Tc}(6.02\text{-h})$ 140.5 γ and with a correction made for the 142.6 γ $I_{ce}(K)$.

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\dagger\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α	Comments
16.4 3	10 2	16.4	2^-	0	3^-	(M1)	12.4 8	$\alpha(K)=11.0$ 7; $\alpha(L)=1.20$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.176$ 11; $\alpha(N)=0.0092$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0092$ 6 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=7.1$ 23 recalculated from $I(K \times \text{ray})/I_\gamma$ (1968Kj02) with a fluorescence yield of 0.528 from 1972Bb16. 1963Is03 give $\alpha(K)\text{exp}\approx 12$ from $(K \times \text{ray})\gamma$ measurements. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: taken equal to 100.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{72}Zn β^- decay [1968Kj02](#),[1969Ku08](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{72}\text{Ga})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †#	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α	Comments
41.9 2	1.0 1	161.1	1 ⁺	119.2	(0 ⁺)	(M1)	0.795 16	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.65$ 18 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.707$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0765$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01119$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000587$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000587$ 12
46.8 2	0.7 1	207.9	1 ⁺	161.1	1 ⁺	(M1)	0.579 11	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.47$ 24 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.515$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0556$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00813$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000427$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000427$ 8
79.4 2	2.1 1	207.9	1 ⁺	128.5	1,2	(M1)	0.1312 21	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}\leq 0.07$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1168$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01246$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00182$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=9.64\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=9.64\times 10^{-5}$ 15
88.7 1	2.6 1	207.9	1 ⁺	119.2	(0 ⁺)	(M1)	0.0968	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}\leq 0.05$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0862$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00917$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001341$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N})=7.11\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=7.11\times 10^{-5}$ 11
102.8 1	2.8 1	119.2	(0 ⁺)	16.4	2 ⁻	(M2)	0.664	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.581$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0721$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01064$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000533$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000533$ 8 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.45$ 5. Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$. M2 is preferred to E2 by $T_{1/2}$ $_{1/2}$ measurement.
112.1 1	2.5 1	128.5	1,2	16.4	2 ⁻	(M1)	0.0513	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0458$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00483$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000707$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N})=3.76\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=3.76\times 10^{-5}$ 6
144.7 1	100	161.1	1 ⁺	16.4	2 ⁻	(E1)	0.0211	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.018$ 6. $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.017$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0189$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00192$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=1.447\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=1.447\times 10^{-5}$ 21
191.5 2	11.3 2	207.9	1 ⁺	16.4	2 ⁻	(E1)	0.00916 14	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.007$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00820$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000832$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001211$ 18; $\alpha(\text{N})=6.34\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=6.34\times 10^{-6}$

† Data are from [1968Kj02](#).

‡ The $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ are all consistent with mult=E1 or M1, except E2 or M2 for the 102.8 γ . The $\Delta\pi$ character comes from the probable J^π for the levels.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.8278 20.

^{72}Zn β^- decay 1968Kj02,1969Ku08

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

