

$^{71}\text{Cu} \beta^-$ decay (19.4 s) 1983Ru06

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 188,1 (2023)	17-Jan-2023

Parent: ^{71}Cu : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=3/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=19.4$ s 16; $Q(\beta^-)=4618$ 3; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100

$^{71}\text{Cu}-Q(\beta^-)$: From 2021Wa16.

$^{71}\text{Cu}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{71}Cu Adopted Levels.

1983Ru06: ^{71}Cu produced by $\text{W}(^{72}\text{Ge}, \text{X})$ at $E=9$ MeV/nucleon from UNILAC at GSI facility. The reaction products were stopped in a 30 mg/cm² graphite catcher in FEBIAD-E ion source of the on-line mass separator. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\beta$, β , isotopic half-life using two Ge(Li) detectors at $\theta=180^\circ$ and a plastic scintillator.

1999Pr10: ^{71}Cu from fragmentation of ^{76}Ge beam with ^9Be target at NSCL facility. Measured E_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, isotopic half-life. A 1298 γ was seen in coin with 490 γ and seven γ rays were shown in a spectrum between 1150 and 1660 keV. The authors stated that there were discrepancies between their data and those from 1983Ru06, but details of this study are not available.

The decay scheme given here from 1983Ru06 is considered incomplete in view of a gap of about 2.2 MeV between Q value and the highest level at 2377 keV, where additional levels and γ rays could be present. Moreover, some γ -ray spectra shown by 1999Pr10 show additional transitions assigned to this decay, albeit, unplaced at present.

 ^{71}Zn Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	J^π^\ddagger	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^-$	2.42 min 10	
155.62 6	$9/2^+$	4.140 h 15	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \text{IT} < 0.05$ E(level): from the Adopted Levels. Other: 157.7 13 (2021Ko07).
286.3 14	$(5/2)^+$	≈ 40 ns	
489.8 3	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$	5.1 ps 14	
674.7 3	$(3/2)^-$	≤ 0.42 ps	
1261.3 5	$(5/2)^+$		
1791.3 8	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^-)$		
1856.5 6	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		
2179.5 5	$(5/2)^+$		
2377.0 5	$1/2^+$		

† From a least-squares fit to E_γ data.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{71}\text{Zn})$

All γ rays were observed in coincidence with β particles.

γ normalization factor cannot be deduced since work of 1999Pr10 suggests additional transitions as well as discrepancies with the data from 1983Ru06, but details of 1999Pr10 work are not available.

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	Comments
128.6 2	100 7	286.3	$(5/2)^+$	155.62	$9/2^+$	[E2]	0.254 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.2241$ 34; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0257$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00364$ 6
184.8 3	22 6	674.7	$(3/2)^-$	489.8	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$	[M1, E2]	0.038 26	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001193$ 18 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.034$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0037$ 26; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.E-4$ 4
197.5 2	53 6	2377.0	$1/2^+$	2179.5	$(5/2)^+$	[E2]	0.0505 7	$\alpha(\text{N})=1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0449$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00486$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000691$ 10
489.7 † 4	416 † 34	489.8	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$	0.0	$1/2^-$			$\alpha(\text{N})=2.46 \times 10^{-5}$ 4

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{71}\text{Cu} \beta^-$ decay (19.4 s) [1983Ru06](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{71}\text{Zn})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
520.4 3	22 9	2377.0	1/2 ⁺	1856.5	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	
586.5 4	126 9	1261.3	(5/2) ⁺	674.7	(3/2) ⁻	
595.2 [†] 5	127 [†] 8	1856.5	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	1261.3	(5/2) ⁺	
^x 668.4 10	21 8					
674.8 3	106 10	674.7	(3/2) ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	
^x 1233.6 5	52 20					
1298 [#]		1791.3	(1/2, 3/2, 5/2) ⁻	489.8	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	E_γ : reported by 1999Pr10 in coin with 490 γ , placement by the evaluators.
1504.8 5	42 11	2179.5	(5/2) ⁺	674.7	(3/2) ⁻	
1791.3 8	54 16	1791.3	(1/2, 3/2, 5/2) ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁻	
2021.7 12	15 10	2179.5	(5/2) ⁺	155.62	9/2 ⁺	

[†] Unresolved doublet, the second component belongs to ^{71}Zn decay. [1983Ru06](#) probably divided the intensity amongst the two components.

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{71}\text{Cu} \beta^-$ decay (19.4 s) 1983Ru06

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

