

$^{72}\text{Co} \beta^- \text{n decay (62 ms)}$     2005Ma95

| Type            | Author                           | History | Citation            | Literature Cutoff Date |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Full Evaluation | Khalifeh Abusaleem, Balraj Singh |         | NDS 112, 133 (2011) | 30-Nov-2010            |

Parent:  $^{72}\text{Co}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=(6^-, 7^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=62$  ms 3;  $Q(\beta^- \text{n})=7830$  SY; % $\beta^- \text{n}$  decay  $\leq 8.0$

$^{72}\text{Co}-\text{Q}(\beta^- \text{n})$ : 7830 700 (syst, 2009AuZZ, 2003Au03). Other: 8035 600 from mass excess = -39300 600 (syst, 2003Au03) for  $^{72}\text{Co}$  and mass excess = -55406.2 28 (2007Ra27) for  $^{71}\text{Ni}$ .

$^{72}\text{Co}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From 2003Sa40. Other:  $T_{1/2}=90$  ms 20 (1998Am04).

$^{72}\text{Co}-\% \beta^- \text{n}$  decay:  $\leq 6$  2 (2005Ma95). Note that 2005Ma95 quote  $\geq 6$  2, which seems a misprint.

2005Ma95 (conference report):  $^{72}\text{Co}$  produced by fragmentation of  $^{86}\text{Kr}$  beam at 140 MeV/nucleon with a  $^9\text{Be}$  target at NSCL facility, A1900 fragment separator, double-sided silicon strip (DSSD) detectors, SeGA gamma-detector array. A 566 $\gamma$  assigned to  $^{71}\text{Ni}$  from the  $\beta^- \text{n}$  decay of  $^{72}\text{Co}$ .

 $^{71}\text{Ni}$  Levels

| E(level) | $J^\pi$     | $T_{1/2}$ | Comments                                |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|
| 0        | (9/2 $^+$ ) | 2.56 s 3  | $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels. |

 $\gamma(^{71}\text{Ni})$ 

| $E_\gamma$             | $E_i(\text{level})$ | Comments  |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|
| $^{x}566$ <sup>†</sup> |                     | $E_\gamma$ : this $\gamma$ may be the same as observed in $^{71}\text{Co}$ $\beta^-$ decay and assigned tentatively from a 1065 level, however no 253 $\gamma$ from the same level is reported by 2005Ma95. |

<sup>†</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.